



# Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report i

Jun 3, 2023 – 04:47 PM EDT

PDB ID : 6XFL  
BMRB ID : 30765  
Title : Structural characterization of the type III secretion system pilotin-secretin complex InvH-InvG by NMR spectroscopy  
Authors : Majewski, D.D.; Okon, M.; Heinkel, F.; Robb, C.S.; Vuckovic, M.; McIntosh, L.P.; Strynadka, N.C.J.  
Deposited on : 2020-06-15

This is a Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at [validation@mail.wwpdb.org](mailto:validation@mail.wwpdb.org)  
A user guide is available at  
<https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp>  
with specific help available everywhere you see the i symbol.

The types of validation reports are described at  
<http://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/FAQs#types>.

---

The following versions of software and data (see [references](#) ①) were used in the production of this report:


MolProbitiy : 4.02b-467  
Percentile statistics : 20191225.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 25th 2019)  
wwPDB-RCI : v\_1n\_11\_5\_13\_A (Berjanski et al., 2005)  
PANAV : Wang et al. (2010)  
wwPDB-ShiftChecker : v1.2  
BMRB Restraints Analysis : v1.2  
Ideal geometry (proteins) : Engh & Huber (2001)  
Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA) : Parkinson et al. (1996)  
Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP) : 2.33

# 1 Overall quality at a glance

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure:  
*SOLUTION NMR*


The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 92%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Metric	Whole archive (#Entries)	NMR archive (#Entries)
Clashscore	158937	12864
Ramachandran outliers	154571	11451
Sidechain outliers	154315	11428

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for >=3, 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions <=5%



## 2 Ensemble composition and analysis i

This entry contains 20 models. Model 1 is the overall representative, medoid model (most similar to other models).

The following residues are included in the computation of the global validation metrics.

Well-defined (core) protein residues			
Well-defined core	Residue range (total)	Backbone RMSD (Å)	Medoid model
1	A:85-A:146, B:543-B:561 (81)	0.33	1

Ill-defined regions of proteins are excluded from the global statistics.

Ligands and non-protein polymers are included in the analysis.

The models can be grouped into 3 clusters and 4 single-model clusters were found.

Cluster number	Models
1	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 15, 16, 20
2	12, 17
3	7, 19
Single-model clusters	11; 13; 14; 18

### 3 Entry composition [\(i\)](#)

There are 2 unique types of molecules in this entry. The entry contains 1987 atoms, of which 988 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

- Molecule 1 is a protein called Type 3 secretion system pilotin.

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms						Trace
			Total	C	H	N	O	S	
1	A	79	1279	403	638	107	127	4	0

There are 4 discrepancies between the modelled and reference sequences:

Chain	Residue	Modelled	Actual	Comment	Reference
A	66	GLY	-	expression tag	UNP P0CL43
A	67	SER	-	expression tag	UNP P0CL43
A	68	HIS	-	expression tag	UNP P0CL43
A	69	MET	-	expression tag	UNP P0CL43

- Molecule 2 is a protein called Type 3 secretion system secretin.

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms						Trace
			Total	C	H	N	O	S	
2	B	45	708	223	350	64	70	1	0

There are 4 discrepancies between the modelled and reference sequences:

Chain	Residue	Modelled	Actual	Comment	Reference
B	516	GLY	-	expression tag	UNP P35672
B	517	SER	-	expression tag	UNP P35672
B	518	HIS	-	expression tag	UNP P35672
B	519	MET	-	expression tag	UNP P35672

## 4 Residue-property plots


### 4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA, DNA and oligosaccharide chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.

- Molecule 1: Type 3 secretion system pilin



- Molecule 2: Type 3 secretion system secretin




### 4.2 Scores per residue for each member of the ensemble

Colouring as in section 4.1 above.


#### 4.2.1 Score per residue for model 1 (medoid)

- Molecule 1: Type 3 secretion system pilin




- Molecule 2: Type 3 secretion system secretin






#### 4.2.2 Score per residue for model 2

- Molecule 1: Type 3 secretion system pilin




- Molecule 2: Type 3 secretion system secretin




#### 4.2.3 Score per residue for model 3

- Molecule 1: Type 3 secretion system pilin




- Molecule 2: Type 3 secretion system secretin



#### 4.2.4 Score per residue for model 4


- Molecule 1: Type 3 secretion system pilin



Q145  
A146  
L147

- Molecule 2: Type 3 secretion system secretin


Chain B:   
23% 15% 55%



#### 4.2.5 Score per residue for model 5

- Molecule 1: Type 3 secretion system pilotin

Chain A:   
40% 33% 21%



- Molecule 2: Type 3 secretion system secretin

Chain B:   
21% 17% 55%



#### 4.2.6 Score per residue for model 6


- Molecule 1: Type 3 secretion system pilotin

Chain A:   
43% 28% 5% 21%




- Molecule 2: Type 3 secretion system secretin

Chain B:   
28% 11% 55%




#### 4.2.7 Score per residue for model 7

- Molecule 1: Type 3 secretion system pilin



- Molecule 2: Type 3 secretion system secretin




#### 4.2.8 Score per residue for model 8

- Molecule 1: Type 3 secretion system pilin




- Molecule 2: Type 3 secretion system secretin




#### 4.2.9 Score per residue for model 9

- Molecule 1: Type 3 secretion system pilin




- Molecule 2: Type 3 secretion system secretin




#### 4.2.10 Score per residue for model 10

- Molecule 1: Type 3 secretion system pilotin




- Molecule 2: Type 3 secretion system secretin




#### 4.2.11 Score per residue for model 11

- Molecule 1: Type 3 secretion system pilotin




- Molecule 2: Type 3 secretion system secretin




#### 4.2.12 Score per residue for model 12

- Molecule 1: Type 3 secretion system pilotin




- Molecule 2: Type 3 secretion system secretin




#### 4.2.13 Score per residue for model 13

- Molecule 1: Type 3 secretion system pilotoin




- Molecule 2: Type 3 secretion system secretin




#### 4.2.14 Score per residue for model 14

- Molecule 1: Type 3 secretion system pilotoin




- Molecule 2: Type 3 secretion system secretin




#### 4.2.15 Score per residue for model 15

- Molecule 1: Type 3 secretion system pilotoin




- Molecule 2: Type 3 secretion system secretin




#### 4.2.16 Score per residue for model 16

- Molecule 1: Type 3 secretion system pilotin




- Molecule 2: Type 3 secretion system secretin




#### 4.2.17 Score per residue for model 17


- Molecule 1: Type 3 secretion system pilotin




- Molecule 2: Type 3 secretion system secretin




- Molecule 1: Type 3 secretion system pilotin






#### 4.2.19 Score per residue for model 19

- Molecule 1: Type 3 secretion system pilotin



- Molecule 2: Type 3 secretion system secretin




#### 4.2.20 Score per residue for model 20

- Molecule 1: Type 3 secretion system pilotin



- Molecule 2: Type 3 secretion system secretin



## 5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview i

The models were refined using the following method: *simulated annealing, torsion angle dynamics.*

Of the 100 calculated structures, 20 were deposited, based on the following criterion: *target function.*

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
CYANA	refinement	3.98.13
CYANA	structure calculation	3.98.13

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section [7](#) of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	working_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	1
Total number of shifts	1561
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	1561
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	92%

## 6 Model quality [\(i\)](#)

### 6.1 Standard geometry [\(i\)](#)

There are no covalent bond-length or bond-angle outliers.

There are no bond-length outliers.

There are no bond-angle outliers.

There are no chirality outliers.

There are no planarity outliers.

### 6.2 Too-close contacts [\(i\)](#)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	A	519	518	516	26±3
2	B	164	164	164	7±1
All	All	13660	13640	13600	553

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 20.

All unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
				Worst	Total
1:A:131:LEU:HD11	1:A:136:THR:HG23	0.98	1.33	17	14
1:A:119:PHE:O	1:A:123:LEU:HD23	0.75	1.82	13	1
1:A:91:THR:HG22	1:A:133:ILE:HG21	0.74	1.60	20	13
1:A:91:THR:HG21	2:B:553:TYR:CE2	0.70	2.22	13	20
1:A:133:ILE:HD12	2:B:553:TYR:CG	0.69	2.23	19	18
1:A:123:LEU:HD23	2:B:552:VAL:HG12	0.66	1.66	18	19
1:A:91:THR:HG21	2:B:553:TYR:CZ	0.66	2.26	12	20
1:A:98:PHE:CD2	1:A:137:LEU:HD21	0.65	2.27	6	4
1:A:123:LEU:HD12	2:B:556:ARG:CD	0.64	2.23	13	1
1:A:131:LEU:CD1	1:A:136:THR:HG23	0.63	2.24	11	14
1:A:118:GLU:O	1:A:122:VAL:HG13	0.62	1.94	16	20
1:A:104:GLN:OE1	1:A:115:LEU:HD12	0.62	1.95	12	4
2:B:548:LYS:O	2:B:552:VAL:HG23	0.61	1.95	19	19

*Continued on next page...*

*Continued from previous page...*

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
				Worst	Total
1:A:91:THR:HB	1:A:133:ILE:HD13	0.61	1.72	16	14
1:A:115:LEU:HG	1:A:144:LEU:HD22	0.60	1.74	6	18
1:A:115:LEU:CG	1:A:144:LEU:HD22	0.60	2.27	6	15
1:A:115:LEU:CD1	1:A:144:LEU:HD22	0.58	2.28	14	9
1:A:115:LEU:HD12	1:A:144:LEU:HD22	0.58	1.74	14	8
2:B:543:ASP:O	2:B:546:LEU:HD23	0.58	1.98	18	1
1:A:104:GLN:NE2	1:A:115:LEU:HD12	0.58	2.14	5	1
1:A:119:PHE:CZ	1:A:123:LEU:HD21	0.58	2.32	15	19
1:A:92:LYS:HD2	2:B:550:VAL:HG21	0.57	1.74	5	3
1:A:131:LEU:HD12	1:A:132:SER:O	0.56	2.01	19	10
1:A:131:LEU:HD13	1:A:135:GLN:HB2	0.56	1.78	2	17
1:A:136:THR:HG21	2:B:556:ARG:NH1	0.55	2.17	18	1
1:A:111:ASP:OD2	1:A:144:LEU:HD21	0.55	2.00	10	5
1:A:104:GLN:NE2	1:A:115:LEU:HD13	0.55	2.16	16	1
1:A:119:PHE:O	1:A:123:LEU:HD22	0.54	2.03	6	19
1:A:131:LEU:HD11	1:A:136:THR:HG22	0.53	1.79	13	6
1:A:123:LEU:HD11	1:A:136:THR:HB	0.53	1.81	8	18
1:A:114:GLN:HG3	1:A:144:LEU:HD11	0.52	1.82	5	1
1:A:87:LYS:O	1:A:91:THR:HG23	0.52	2.04	9	6
1:A:119:PHE:O	1:A:123:LEU:HD13	0.52	2.04	20	19
1:A:104:GLN:HE22	1:A:115:LEU:HD12	0.52	1.64	5	1
1:A:144:LEU:HD23	1:A:145:GLN:HE21	0.52	1.65	5	15
1:A:96:LEU:HD12	1:A:97:THR:N	0.51	2.20	6	20
1:A:115:LEU:HA	1:A:144:LEU:HD13	0.50	1.83	10	4
1:A:91:THR:HG22	1:A:133:ILE:CG2	0.49	2.34	20	4
1:A:128:SER:O	1:A:131:LEU:HD23	0.49	2.08	12	18
1:A:115:LEU:HD22	2:B:549:TRP:CH2	0.48	2.43	13	11
2:B:550:VAL:HG12	2:B:554:LEU:HD23	0.48	1.83	1	4
1:A:119:PHE:CE2	1:A:137:LEU:HD23	0.48	2.43	11	6
2:B:546:LEU:HD12	2:B:547:GLN:N	0.48	2.23	16	3
1:A:122:VAL:HG21	1:A:140:ALA:HB2	0.48	1.85	8	17
1:A:125:GLU:CB	1:A:126:PRO:CD	0.46	2.94	16	20
1:A:122:VAL:HG23	1:A:123:LEU:HD13	0.46	1.87	5	11
1:A:131:LEU:HD11	1:A:136:THR:CG2	0.46	2.30	18	2
1:A:92:LYS:HE2	2:B:550:VAL:HG21	0.46	1.87	7	1
1:A:96:LEU:HB3	2:B:546:LEU:HD13	0.45	1.88	18	1
1:A:92:LYS:HG3	2:B:546:LEU:HD11	0.45	1.87	18	1
1:A:118:GLU:O	1:A:122:VAL:HG22	0.45	2.11	5	3
1:A:115:LEU:CA	1:A:144:LEU:HD13	0.45	2.42	10	1
1:A:96:LEU:HB3	2:B:546:LEU:HD22	0.45	1.88	13	5
1:A:122:VAL:O	1:A:126:PRO:CD	0.45	2.65	9	18

*Continued on next page...*

*Continued from previous page...*

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
				Worst	Total
1:A:125:GLU:N	1:A:126:PRO:HD2	0.45	2.27	19	20
1:A:119:PHE:CE2	1:A:137:LEU:HD12	0.44	2.47	17	1
1:A:123:LEU:HD21	1:A:136:THR:HB	0.44	1.88	13	1
1:A:123:LEU:HD12	2:B:556:ARG:CG	0.43	2.43	13	1
1:A:92:LYS:CD	2:B:550:VAL:HG21	0.43	2.44	5	2
1:A:123:LEU:HD12	2:B:556:ARG:NE	0.43	2.27	13	1
1:A:142:GLU:O	1:A:146:ALA:HB2	0.42	2.14	8	1
1:A:114:GLN:CG	1:A:144:LEU:HD11	0.42	2.45	5	1
1:A:138:LEU:HD12	1:A:138:LEU:O	0.41	2.15	20	1

## 6.3 Torsion angles [\(i\)](#)

### 6.3.1 Protein backbone [\(i\)](#)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	Percentiles
1	A	62/82 (76%)	58±1 (93±2%)	4±1 (7±2%)	0±0 (0±0%)	100 100
2	B	19/47 (40%)	16±1 (87±4%)	2±1 (13±4%)	0±0 (0±1%)	44 80
All	All	1620/2580 (63%)	1480 (91%)	139 (9%)	1 (0%)	54 85

All 1 unique Ramachandran outliers are listed below.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
2	B	557	GLY	1

### 6.3.2 Protein sidechains [\(i\)](#)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Rotameric	Outliers	Percentiles
1	A	57/74 (77%)	49±2 (85±3%)	8±2 (15±3%)	6 45

*Continued on next page...*

*Continued from previous page...*

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Rotameric	Outliers	Percentiles
2	B	17/40 (42%)	12±1 (73±6%)	5±1 (27±6%)	2   21
All	All	1480/2280 (65%)	1219 (82%)	261 (18%)	4   39

All 38 unique residues with a non-rotameric sidechain are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	98	PHE	20
2	B	553	TYR	20
2	B	556	ARG	20
1	A	123	LEU	19
1	A	115	LEU	18
2	B	547	GLN	16
1	A	132	SER	14
1	A	108	SER	11
2	B	558	GLN	11
2	B	551	ARG	10
1	A	92	LYS	10
1	A	104	GLN	10
1	A	129	LYS	10
2	B	554	LEU	8
1	A	121	LYS	8
1	A	89	LYS	7
1	A	109	LYS	6
1	A	87	LYS	5
1	A	137	LEU	4
1	A	138	LEU	3
1	A	128	SER	3
1	A	93	GLU	3
2	B	545	LYS	3
2	B	543	ASP	3
1	A	133	ILE	2
1	A	106	MET	2
1	A	118	GLU	2
1	A	107	ARG	2
1	A	112	GLU	2
1	A	142	GLU	1
1	A	116	MET	1
1	A	90	GLN	1
1	A	134	TYR	1
2	B	559	GLU	1
1	A	94	GLN	1

*Continued on next page...*

*Continued from previous page...*

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	111	ASP	1
1	A	113	GLU	1
2	B	544	ASP	1

### 6.3.3 RNA [\(i\)](#)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

## 6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains [\(i\)](#)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

### 6.5 Carbohydrates [\(i\)](#)

There are no monosaccharides in this entry.

### 6.6 Ligand geometry [\(i\)](#)

There are no ligands in this entry.

### 6.7 Other polymers [\(i\)](#)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

### 6.8 Polymer linkage issues [\(i\)](#)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.

## 7 Chemical shift validation i

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 92% for the well-defined parts and 92% for the entire structure.

### 7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: working\_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: *2020-06-04\_HG\_Shifts.star*

#### 7.1.1 Bookkeeping i

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	1561
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	1561
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	1

#### 7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing i

The following table shows the suggested chemical shift referencing corrections.

Nucleus	# values	Correction $\pm$ precision, ppm	Suggested action
$^{13}\text{C}_\alpha$	122	-0.58 $\pm$ 0.11	Should be checked
$^{13}\text{C}_\beta$	119	0.18 $\pm$ 0.10	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)
$^{13}\text{C}'$	117	-0.42 $\pm$ 0.09	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)
$^{15}\text{N}$	118	-0.14 $\pm$ 0.11	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)

#### 7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments i

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 92%, i.e. 1084 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 1179. 0 out of 13 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^1\text{H}$	$^{13}\text{C}$	$^{15}\text{N}$
Backbone	401/403 (100%)	162/162 (100%)	160/162 (99%)	79/79 (100%)
Sidechain	601/682 (88%)	407/436 (93%)	185/215 (86%)	9/31 (29%)

*Continued on next page...*

*Continued from previous page...*

	Total	<sup>1</sup> H	<sup>13</sup> C	<sup>15</sup> N
Aromatic	82/94 (87%)	41/45 (91%)	40/44 (91%)	1/5 (20%)
Overall	1084/1179 (92%)	610/643 (95%)	385/421 (91%)	89/115 (77%)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the full structure. The overall completeness is 92%, i.e. 1561 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 1705. 0 out of 17 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	<sup>1</sup> H	<sup>13</sup> C	<sup>15</sup> N
Backbone	601/616 (98%)	244/248 (98%)	239/248 (96%)	118/120 (98%)
Sidechain	852/965 (88%)	578/621 (93%)	260/305 (85%)	14/39 (36%)
Aromatic	108/124 (87%)	54/60 (90%)	52/56 (93%)	2/8 (25%)
Overall	1561/1705 (92%)	876/929 (94%)	551/609 (90%)	134/167 (80%)

#### 7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts [\(i\)](#)


The following table lists the statistically unusual chemical shifts. These are statistical measures, and large deviations from the mean do not necessarily imply incorrect assignments. Molecules containing paramagnetic centres or hemes are expected to give rise to anomalous chemical shifts.

List Id	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Shift, ppm	Expected range, ppm	Z-score
1	A	133	ILE	HB	0.32	0.35 – 3.22	-5.1


#### 7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots [\(i\)](#)

The image below reports *random coil index* values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition. If well-defined core and ill-defined regions are not identified then it is shown as gray bars.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain A:



Random coil index (RCI) for chain B:



## 8 NMR restraints analysis (i)

### 8.1 Conformationally restricting restraints (i)

The following table provides the summary of experimentally observed NMR restraints in different categories. Restraints are classified into different categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved.

Description	Value
Total distance restraints	124
Intra-residue ( $ i-j =0$ )	0
Sequential ( $ i-j =1$ )	0
Medium range ( $ i-j >1$ and $ i-j <5$ )	0
Long range ( $ i-j \geq 5$ )	0
Inter-chain	0
Hydrogen bond restraints	124
Disulfide bond restraints	0
Total dihedral-angle restraints	0
Number of unmapped restraints	0
Number of restraints per residue	1.0
Number of long range restraints per residue <sup>1</sup>	0.0

<sup>1</sup>Long range hydrogen bonds and disulfide bonds are counted as long range restraints while calculating the number of long range restraints per residue

### 8.2 Residual restraint violations (i)

This section provides the overview of the restraint violations analysis. The violations are binned as small, medium and large violations based on its absolute value. Average number of violations per model is calculated by dividing the total number of violations in each bin by the size of the ensemble.

#### 8.2.1 Average number of distance violations per model (i)

Distance violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the calculation.

Bins (Å)	Average number of violations per model	Max (Å)
0.1-0.2 (Small)	5.3	0.2
0.2-0.5 (Medium)	0.1	0.23
>0.5 (Large)	None	None

### 8.2.2 Average number of dihedral-angle violations per model [\(i\)](#)

Dihedral-angle violations less than 1° are not included in the calculation. There are no dihedral-angle violations

## 9 Distance violation analysis (i)


### 9.1 Summary of distance violations (i)

The following table shows the summary of distance violations in different restraint categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved. Each category is further sub-divided into three sub-categories based on the atoms involved. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

Restraints type	Count	% <sup>1</sup>	Violated <sup>3</sup>			Consistently Violated <sup>4</sup>		
			Count	% <sup>2</sup>	% <sup>1</sup>	Count	% <sup>2</sup>	% <sup>1</sup>
Intra-residue ( $ i-j =0$ )	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sequential ( $ i-j =1$ )	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Medium range ( $ i-j >1 \text{ & }  i-j <5$ )	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Long range ( $ i-j \geq 5$ )	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Inter-chain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Hydrogen bond	124	100.0	9	7.3	7.3	2	1.6	1.6
Disulfide bond	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Total	124	100.0	9	7.3	7.3	2	1.6	1.6
Backbone-Backbone	124	100.0	9	7.3	7.3	2	1.6	1.6
Backbone-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0

<sup>1</sup> percentage calculated with respect to the total number of distance restraints, <sup>2</sup> percentage calculated with respect to the number of restraints in a particular restraint category, <sup>3</sup> violated in at least one model, <sup>4</sup> violated in all the models

### 9.1.1 Bar chart : Distribution of distance restraints and violations [\(i\)](#)



Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories. The hydrogen bonds and disulfied bonds are counted in their appropriate category on the x-axis

## 9.2 Distance violation statistics for each model [\(i\)](#)

The following table provides the distance violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

Model ID	Number of violations						Mean (Å)	Max (Å)	SD <sup>6</sup> (Å)	Median (Å)
	IR <sup>1</sup>	SQ <sup>2</sup>	MR <sup>3</sup>	LR <sup>4</sup>	IC <sup>5</sup>	Total				
1	0	0	5	0	0	5	0.15	0.18	0.03	0.16
2	0	0	6	0	0	6	0.14	0.2	0.03	0.14
3	0	0	6	0	0	6	0.14	0.19	0.03	0.15
4	0	0	7	0	0	7	0.13	0.17	0.02	0.12
5	0	0	6	0	0	6	0.15	0.19	0.03	0.15
6	0	0	5	0	0	5	0.15	0.18	0.02	0.15
7	0	0	4	0	0	4	0.17	0.2	0.03	0.18
8	0	0	6	0	0	6	0.15	0.19	0.03	0.15
9	0	0	6	0	0	6	0.14	0.17	0.03	0.15
10	0	0	5	0	0	5	0.15	0.18	0.02	0.15
11	0	0	4	0	0	4	0.16	0.2	0.03	0.18


Continued on next page...

*Continued from previous page...*

Model ID	Number of violations						Mean (Å)	Max (Å)	SD <sup>6</sup> (Å)	Median (Å)
	IR <sup>1</sup>	SQ <sup>2</sup>	MR <sup>3</sup>	LR <sup>4</sup>	IC <sup>5</sup>	Total				
12	0	0	4	0	0	4	0.15	0.2	0.03	0.14
13	0	0	7	0	0	7	0.16	0.23	0.05	0.15
14	0	0	5	0	0	5	0.15	0.19	0.03	0.14
15	0	0	6	0	0	6	0.14	0.17	0.02	0.14
16	0	0	5	0	0	5	0.16	0.19	0.02	0.16
17	0	0	5	0	0	5	0.16	0.19	0.03	0.17
18	0	0	5	0	0	5	0.14	0.19	0.04	0.12
19	0	0	5	0	0	5	0.15	0.18	0.03	0.16
20	0	0	7	0	0	7	0.15	0.18	0.03	0.16

<sup>1</sup>Intra-residue restraints, <sup>2</sup>Sequential restraints, <sup>3</sup>Medium range restraints, <sup>4</sup>Long range restraints,  
<sup>5</sup>Inter-chain restraints, <sup>6</sup>Standard deviation

### 9.2.1 Bar graph : Distance Violation statistics for each model [\(i\)](#)



The mean(dot),median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right

### 9.3 Distance violation statistics for the ensemble [\(i\)](#)


Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of the ensemble. In total, 0(IR:0, SQ:0, MR:0, LR:0, IC:0) restraints are not violated in the ensemble.

Number of violated restraints						Fraction of the ensemble	
IR <sup>1</sup>	SQ <sup>2</sup>	MR <sup>3</sup>	LR <sup>4</sup>	IC <sup>5</sup>	Total	Count <sup>6</sup>	%
0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	2	10.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	3	15.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	4	20.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	5	25.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	6	30.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	7	35.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	8	40.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	9	45.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	10	50.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	11	55.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	12	60.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	13	65.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	14	70.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	15	75.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	16	80.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	17	85.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	18	90.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	19	95.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	20	100.0

<sup>1</sup>Intra-residue restraints, <sup>2</sup>Sequential restraints, <sup>3</sup>Medium range restraints, <sup>4</sup>Long range restraints,

<sup>5</sup>Inter-chain restraints, <sup>6</sup> Number of models with violations


### 9.3.1 Bar graph : Distance violation statistics for the ensemble [\(i\)](#)



## 9.4 Most violated distance restraints in the ensemble [\(i\)](#)

### 9.4.1 Histogram : Distribution of mean distance violations [\(i\)](#)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models in the ensemble



#### 9.4.2 Table: Most violated distance restraints [\(i\)](#)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violation for each restraint sorted by number of violated models and the mean value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.


Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Models <sup>1</sup>	Mean (Å)	SD <sup>1</sup> (Å)	Median (Å)
(2,53)	2:B:548:LYS:O	2:B:552:VAL:H	20	0.18	0.01	0.18
(2,57)	2:B:550:VAL:O	2:B:553:TYR:H	20	0.16	0.02	0.16
(2,33)	1:A:117:THR:O	1:A:121:LYS:H	19	0.17	0.02	0.16
(2,31)	1:A:116:MET:O	1:A:120:LYS:H	17	0.13	0.02	0.13
(2,15)	1:A:94:GLN:O	1:A:98:PHE:H	14	0.11	0.0	0.11
(2,49)	2:B:546:LEU:O	2:B:550:VAL:H	9	0.12	0.01	0.11
(2,37)	1:A:134:TYR:O	1:A:138:LEU:H	8	0.13	0.02	0.12

<sup>1</sup>Number of violated models, <sup>2</sup>Standard deviation

#### 9.5 All violated distance restraints [\(i\)](#)

##### 9.5.1 Histogram : Distribution of distance violations [\(i\)](#)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.



### 9.5.2 Table : All distance violations [\(i\)](#)

The following table lists the absolute value of the violation for each restraint in the ensemble sorted by its value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(2,33)	1:A:117:THR:O	1:A:121:LYS:H	13	0.23
(2,53)	2:B:548:LYS:O	2:B:552:VAL:H	13	0.22
(2,53)	2:B:548:LYS:O	2:B:552:VAL:H	2	0.2
(2,53)	2:B:548:LYS:O	2:B:552:VAL:H	7	0.2
(2,53)	2:B:548:LYS:O	2:B:552:VAL:H	11	0.2
(2,33)	1:A:117:THR:O	1:A:121:LYS:H	12	0.2
(2,53)	2:B:548:LYS:O	2:B:552:VAL:H	3	0.19
(2,53)	2:B:548:LYS:O	2:B:552:VAL:H	5	0.19
(2,53)	2:B:548:LYS:O	2:B:552:VAL:H	8	0.19
(2,53)	2:B:548:LYS:O	2:B:552:VAL:H	16	0.19
(2,53)	2:B:548:LYS:O	2:B:552:VAL:H	18	0.19
(2,33)	1:A:117:THR:O	1:A:121:LYS:H	14	0.19
(2,33)	1:A:117:THR:O	1:A:121:LYS:H	17	0.19
(2,33)	1:A:117:THR:O	1:A:121:LYS:H	18	0.19
(2,57)	2:B:550:VAL:O	2:B:553:TYR:H	7	0.18
(2,57)	2:B:550:VAL:O	2:B:553:TYR:H	11	0.18

*Continued on next page...*

*Continued from previous page...*

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(2,53)	2:B:548:LYS:O	2:B:552:VAL:H	1	0.18
(2,53)	2:B:548:LYS:O	2:B:552:VAL:H	6	0.18
(2,53)	2:B:548:LYS:O	2:B:552:VAL:H	10	0.18
(2,53)	2:B:548:LYS:O	2:B:552:VAL:H	14	0.18
(2,53)	2:B:548:LYS:O	2:B:552:VAL:H	19	0.18
(2,53)	2:B:548:LYS:O	2:B:552:VAL:H	20	0.18
(2,33)	1:A:117:THR:O	1:A:121:LYS:H	7	0.18
(2,57)	2:B:550:VAL:O	2:B:553:TYR:H	1	0.17
(2,57)	2:B:550:VAL:O	2:B:553:TYR:H	8	0.17
(2,57)	2:B:550:VAL:O	2:B:553:TYR:H	9	0.17
(2,57)	2:B:550:VAL:O	2:B:553:TYR:H	10	0.17
(2,57)	2:B:550:VAL:O	2:B:553:TYR:H	19	0.17
(2,53)	2:B:548:LYS:O	2:B:552:VAL:H	4	0.17
(2,53)	2:B:548:LYS:O	2:B:552:VAL:H	9	0.17
(2,53)	2:B:548:LYS:O	2:B:552:VAL:H	15	0.17
(2,53)	2:B:548:LYS:O	2:B:552:VAL:H	17	0.17
(2,37)	1:A:134:TYR:O	1:A:138:LEU:H	20	0.17
(2,35)	1:A:118:GLU:O	1:A:122:VAL:H	11	0.17
(2,33)	1:A:117:THR:O	1:A:121:LYS:H	6	0.17
(2,33)	1:A:117:THR:O	1:A:121:LYS:H	16	0.17
(2,33)	1:A:117:THR:O	1:A:121:LYS:H	20	0.17
(2,31)	1:A:116:MET:O	1:A:120:LYS:H	17	0.17
(2,57)	2:B:550:VAL:O	2:B:553:TYR:H	2	0.16
(2,57)	2:B:550:VAL:O	2:B:553:TYR:H	3	0.16
(2,57)	2:B:550:VAL:O	2:B:553:TYR:H	5	0.16
(2,57)	2:B:550:VAL:O	2:B:553:TYR:H	13	0.16
(2,57)	2:B:550:VAL:O	2:B:553:TYR:H	15	0.16
(2,57)	2:B:550:VAL:O	2:B:553:TYR:H	16	0.16
(2,57)	2:B:550:VAL:O	2:B:553:TYR:H	20	0.16
(2,53)	2:B:548:LYS:O	2:B:552:VAL:H	12	0.16
(2,33)	1:A:117:THR:O	1:A:121:LYS:H	1	0.16
(2,33)	1:A:117:THR:O	1:A:121:LYS:H	8	0.16
(2,33)	1:A:117:THR:O	1:A:121:LYS:H	9	0.16
(2,33)	1:A:117:THR:O	1:A:121:LYS:H	19	0.16
(2,31)	1:A:116:MET:O	1:A:120:LYS:H	5	0.16
(2,57)	2:B:550:VAL:O	2:B:553:TYR:H	4	0.15
(2,57)	2:B:550:VAL:O	2:B:553:TYR:H	6	0.15
(2,33)	1:A:117:THR:O	1:A:121:LYS:H	2	0.15
(2,33)	1:A:117:THR:O	1:A:121:LYS:H	3	0.15
(2,33)	1:A:117:THR:O	1:A:121:LYS:H	4	0.15
(2,31)	1:A:116:MET:O	1:A:120:LYS:H	10	0.15
(2,31)	1:A:116:MET:O	1:A:120:LYS:H	13	0.15

*Continued on next page...*

*Continued from previous page...*

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(2,57)	2:B:550:VAL:O	2:B:553:TYR:H	14	0.14
(2,57)	2:B:550:VAL:O	2:B:553:TYR:H	17	0.14
(2,49)	2:B:546:LEU:O	2:B:550:VAL:H	16	0.14
(2,49)	2:B:546:LEU:O	2:B:550:VAL:H	20	0.14
(2,37)	1:A:134:TYR:O	1:A:138:LEU:H	8	0.14
(2,33)	1:A:117:THR:O	1:A:121:LYS:H	5	0.14
(2,33)	1:A:117:THR:O	1:A:121:LYS:H	10	0.14
(2,33)	1:A:117:THR:O	1:A:121:LYS:H	15	0.14
(2,31)	1:A:116:MET:O	1:A:120:LYS:H	3	0.14
(2,31)	1:A:116:MET:O	1:A:120:LYS:H	9	0.14
(2,31)	1:A:116:MET:O	1:A:120:LYS:H	15	0.14
(2,49)	2:B:546:LEU:O	2:B:550:VAL:H	15	0.13
(2,31)	1:A:116:MET:O	1:A:120:LYS:H	2	0.13
(2,31)	1:A:116:MET:O	1:A:120:LYS:H	7	0.13
(2,31)	1:A:116:MET:O	1:A:120:LYS:H	14	0.13
(2,57)	2:B:550:VAL:O	2:B:553:TYR:H	12	0.12
(2,57)	2:B:550:VAL:O	2:B:553:TYR:H	18	0.12
(2,37)	1:A:134:TYR:O	1:A:138:LEU:H	2	0.12
(2,37)	1:A:134:TYR:O	1:A:138:LEU:H	3	0.12
(2,37)	1:A:134:TYR:O	1:A:138:LEU:H	5	0.12
(2,37)	1:A:134:TYR:O	1:A:138:LEU:H	12	0.12
(2,37)	1:A:134:TYR:O	1:A:138:LEU:H	13	0.12
(2,31)	1:A:116:MET:O	1:A:120:LYS:H	1	0.12
(2,31)	1:A:116:MET:O	1:A:120:LYS:H	4	0.12
(2,31)	1:A:116:MET:O	1:A:120:LYS:H	6	0.12
(2,31)	1:A:116:MET:O	1:A:120:LYS:H	8	0.12
(2,31)	1:A:116:MET:O	1:A:120:LYS:H	16	0.12
(2,15)	1:A:94:GLN:O	1:A:98:PHE:H	6	0.12
(2,15)	1:A:94:GLN:O	1:A:98:PHE:H	8	0.12
(2,15)	1:A:94:GLN:O	1:A:98:PHE:H	14	0.12
(2,15)	1:A:94:GLN:O	1:A:98:PHE:H	20	0.12
(2,55)	2:B:549:TRP:O	2:B:553:TYR:H	13	0.11
(2,49)	2:B:546:LEU:O	2:B:550:VAL:H	2	0.11
(2,49)	2:B:546:LEU:O	2:B:550:VAL:H	4	0.11
(2,49)	2:B:546:LEU:O	2:B:550:VAL:H	5	0.11
(2,49)	2:B:546:LEU:O	2:B:550:VAL:H	9	0.11
(2,49)	2:B:546:LEU:O	2:B:550:VAL:H	13	0.11
(2,49)	2:B:546:LEU:O	2:B:550:VAL:H	18	0.11
(2,37)	1:A:134:TYR:O	1:A:138:LEU:H	4	0.11
(2,31)	1:A:116:MET:O	1:A:120:LYS:H	19	0.11
(2,31)	1:A:116:MET:O	1:A:120:LYS:H	20	0.11
(2,15)	1:A:94:GLN:O	1:A:98:PHE:H	1	0.11

*Continued on next page...*

*Continued from previous page...*

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(2,15)	1:A:94:GLN:O	1:A:98:PHE:H	3	0.11
(2,15)	1:A:94:GLN:O	1:A:98:PHE:H	4	0.11
(2,15)	1:A:94:GLN:O	1:A:98:PHE:H	9	0.11
(2,15)	1:A:94:GLN:O	1:A:98:PHE:H	10	0.11
(2,15)	1:A:94:GLN:O	1:A:98:PHE:H	11	0.11
(2,15)	1:A:94:GLN:O	1:A:98:PHE:H	15	0.11
(2,15)	1:A:94:GLN:O	1:A:98:PHE:H	17	0.11
(2,15)	1:A:94:GLN:O	1:A:98:PHE:H	18	0.11
(2,15)	1:A:94:GLN:O	1:A:98:PHE:H	19	0.11

## 10 Dihedral-angle violation analysis [\(i\)](#)

Dihedral angle analysis failed due to data error in the dihedral angle restraints, possibly missing target value