Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report (i)

Feb 23, 2022 – 08:54 AM EST

PDB ID	:	1X6E
Title	:	Solution structures of the C2H2 type zinc finger domain of human Zinc finger protein 24
Authors	:	Sato, M.; Tomizawa, T.; Koshiba, S.; Inoue, M.; Kigawa, T.; Yokoyama, S.; RIKEN Structural Genomics/Proteomics Initiative (RSGI)
Deposited on	:	2005-05-17

This is a Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at *validation@mail.wwpdb.org*
A user guide is available at
https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp
with specific help available everywhere you see the (i) symbol.

The following versions of software and data (see references (i)) were used in the production of this report:

MolProbity	:	4.02b-467
Percentile statistics	:	20191225.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 25th 2019)
RCI	:	v_1n_11_5_13_A (Berjanski et al., 2005)
PANAV	:	Wang et al. (2010)
ShiftChecker	:	2.26
Ideal geometry (proteins)	:	Engh & Huber (2001)
Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA)	:	Parkinson et al. (1996)
Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP)	:	2.26

1 Overall quality at a glance (i)

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure:
SOLUTION NMR

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment was not calculated.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Metric	Whole archive (#Entries)	NMR archive (#Entries)
Clashscore	158937	12864
Ramachandran outliers	154571	11451
Sidechain outliers	154315	11428

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for >=3, 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions <=5%

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain
1	A	72	44% 22% 33%

2 Ensemble composition and analysis (i)

This entry contains 20 models. Model 20 is the overall representative, medoid model (most similar to other models). The authors have identified model 1 as representative, based on the following criterion: *lowest energy*.

The following residues are included in the computation of the global validation metrics.

Well-defined (core) protein residues			
Well-defined core	**Residue range (total)**	**Backbone RMSD (Å)**	**Medoid model**
1	A:13-A:35 (23)	0.17	2
2	A:41-A:65 (25)	0.15	20

Ill-defined regions of proteins are excluded from the global statistics.

Ligands and non-protein polymers are included in the analysis.

The models can be grouped into 4 clusters. No single-model clusters were found.

Cluster number	Models
1	1, 5, 7, 9, 10, 11, 15, 17, 18, 19, 20
2	2, 6, 8, 12, 16
3	3, 4
4	13, 14

3 Entry composition (i)

There are 2 unique types of molecules in this entry. The entry contains 1038 atoms, of which 506 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

- Molecule 1 is a protein called Zinc finger protein 24.

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms						Trace
			Total	C	H	N	O	S	
1	A	72	1036	321	506	102	103	4	0

There are 13 discrepancies between the modelled and reference sequences:

Chain	Residue	Modelled	Actual	Comment	Reference
A	1	GLY	-	cloning artifact	UNP P17028
A	2	SER	-	cloning artifact	UNP P17028
A	3	SER	-	cloning artifact	UNP P17028
A	4	GLY	-	cloning artifact	UNP P17028
A	5	SER	-	cloning artifact	UNP P17028
A	6	SER	-	cloning artifact	UNP P17028
A	7	GLY	-	cloning artifact	UNP P17028
A	67	SER	-	cloning artifact	UNP P17028
A	68	GLY	-	cloning artifact	UNP P17028
A	69	PRO	-	cloning artifact	UNP P17028
A	70	SER	-	cloning artifact	UNP P17028
A	71	SER	-	cloning artifact	UNP P17028
A	72	GLY	-	cloning artifact	UNP P17028

- Molecule 2 is ZINC ION (three-letter code: ZN) (formula: Zn).

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms	
			Total	Zn
2	A	2	2	2

4 Residue-property plots (i)

4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA, DNA and oligosaccharide chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.

• Molecule 1: Zinc finger protein 24

Chain A: 44% 22% 33%



4.2 Scores per residue for each member of the ensemble

Colouring as in section 4.1 above.

4.2.1 Score per residue for model 1

• Molecule 1: Zinc finger protein 24

Chain A: 46% 21% 33%



4.2.2 Score per residue for model 2

• Molecule 1: Zinc finger protein 24

Chain A: 44% 22% 33%



4.2.3 Score per residue for model 3

- Molecule 1: Zinc finger protein 24

Chain A: 43% 21% • 33%

G1 S2 S3 G4 S5 S6 G7 I8 H9 S10 G11 E12 K13 E19 K22 S25 H33 V36 H37 T38 G39 E40 K41 P42 Y43 E47 C48 G49 K50 A51 F52 N55 L58 T59 I64 H65 T66 S67 G68 P69 S70 S71 G72

4.2.4 Score per residue for model 4

- Molecule 1: Zinc finger protein 24

Chain A: 36% 29% • 33%

G1 S2 S3 G4 S5 S6 G7 I8 H9 S10 G11 E12 C17 V18 E19 R26 I29 H33 V36 H37 T38 G39 E40 K41 P42 Y43 L46 E47 C48 G49 K50 A51 F52 N55 L58 T59 N60 H61 Q62 R63 I64 H65 T66 S67 G68 P69 S70 S71 G72

4.2.5 Score per residue for model 5

- Molecule 1: Zinc finger protein 24

Chain A: 43% 22% • 33%

G1 S2 S3 G4 S5 S6 G7 I8 H9 S10 G11 E12 E19 C20 G21 K22 A23 F24 I29 H33 V36 H37 T38 G39 E40 K41 P42 Y43 K44 C45 K50 A51 F52 N55 L58 T59 N60 H61 I64 H65 T66 S67 G68 P69 S70 S71 G72

4.2.6 Score per residue for model 6

- Molecule 1: Zinc finger protein 24

Chain A: 43% 22% • 33%

G1 S2 S3 G4 S5 S6 G7 I8 H9 S10 G11 E12 K13 C17 V18 K22 A23 F24 S25 R26 I29 H33 V36 H37 T38 G39 E40 K41 P42 Y43 F52 N55 L58 T59 N60 H61 I64 H65 T66 S67 G68 P69 S70 S71 G72

4.2.7 Score per residue for model 7

- Molecule 1: Zinc finger protein 24

Chain A: 35% 31% • 33%

G1 S2 S3 G4 S5 S6 G7 I8 H9 S10 G11 E12 K13 P14 C17 V18 E19 R26 I29 L30 H33 Q34 R35 V36 H37 T38 G39 E40 K41 P42 Y43 E47 C48 G49 K50 A51 F52 N55 S56 G57 L58 H61 I64 H65 T66 S67 G68 P69 S70 S71 G72

4.2.8 Score per residue for model 8

- Molecule 1: Zinc finger protein 24

Chain A: 43% 24% 33%

4.2.9 Score per residue for model 9

- Molecule 1: Zinc finger protein 24

Chain A: 39% 26% • 33%



4.2.10 Score per residue for model 10

- Molecule 1: Zinc finger protein 24

Chain A: 40% 25% • 33%



4.2.11 Score per residue for model 11

- Molecule 1: Zinc finger protein 24

Chain A: 35% 29% • 33%



4.2.12 Score per residue for model 12

- Molecule 1: Zinc finger protein 24

Chain A: 46% 21% 33%



4.2.13 Score per residue for model 13

- Molecule 1: Zinc finger protein 24

Chain A:



4.2.14 Score per residue for model 14

- Molecule 1: Zinc finger protein 24

Chain A:



4.2.15 Score per residue for model 15

- Molecule 1: Zinc finger protein 24

Chain A:



4.2.16 Score per residue for model 16

- Molecule 1: Zinc finger protein 24

Chain A:



4.2.17 Score per residue for model 17

- Molecule 1: Zinc finger protein 24

Chain A:



4.2.18 Score per residue for model 18

- Molecule 1: Zinc finger protein 24

Chain A: 42% 24% • 33%



4.2.19 Score per residue for model 19

- Molecule 1: Zinc finger protein 24

Chain A: 36% 25% 6% 33%



4.2.20 Score per residue for model 20 (medoid)

- Molecule 1: Zinc finger protein 24

Chain A: 47% 18% • 33%



5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview (i)

The models were refined using the following method: *torsion angle dynamics*.

Of the 100 calculated structures, 20 were deposited, based on the following criterion: *target function,structures with the least restraint violations*.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
CYANA	structure solution	2.0.17
CYANA	refinement	2.0.17

No chemical shift data was provided.

6 Model quality (i)

6.1 Standard geometry (i)

Bond lengths and bond angles in the following residue types are not validated in this section: ZN

There are no covalent bond-length or bond-angle outliers.

There are no bond-length outliers.

There are no bond-angle outliers.

There are no chirality outliers.

There are no planarity outliers.

6.2 Too-close contacts (i)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	A	380	378	378	13±4
All	All	7640	7560	7560	268

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 18.

All unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
				Worst	Total
1:A:55:ASN:OD1	1:A:59:ILE:HD11	0.79	1.77	4	5
1:A:64:ILE:HD11	1:A:65:HIS:CE1	0.78	2.13	20	18
1:A:17:CYS:HA	1:A:30:LEU:HD11	0.77	1.54	19	2
1:A:64:ILE:HD11	1:A:65:HIS:ND1	0.68	2.04	10	1
1:A:17:CYS:CA	1:A:30:LEU:HD11	0.68	2.19	19	2
1:A:43:TYR:HB3	1:A:58:LEU:HD22	0.66	1.68	6	15
1:A:18:VAL:O	1:A:18:VAL:HG13	0.62	1.95	19	1
1:A:22:LYS:O	1:A:24:PHE:CE1	0.62	2.53	11	2
1:A:51:ALA:C	1:A:52:PHE:CD1	0.61	2.73	4	2
1:A:33:HIS:O	1:A:33:HIS:CD2	0.60	2.55	18	4
1:A:58:LEU:HD11	1:A:62:GLN:HE21	0.60	1.56	11	1
1:A:24:PHE:CD2	1:A:29:ILE:HG22	0.59	2.32	11	2

Continued on next page...

Continued from previous page...

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
				Worst	Total
1:A:22:LYS:CG	1:A:24:PHE:CZ	0.59	2.85	18	2
1:A:24:PHE:CE1	1:A:30:LEU:HD13	0.59	2.31	18	1
1:A:43:TYR:CD1	1:A:55:ASN:OD1	0.59	2.56	9	2
1:A:26:ARG:HB2	1:A:29:ILE:HD12	0.58	1.75	6	10
1:A:22:LYS:HG2	1:A:24:PHE:CZ	0.56	2.35	11	2
1:A:22:LYS:HG2	1:A:24:PHE:CE2	0.54	2.36	18	2
1:A:17:CYS:O	1:A:19:GLU:N	0.54	2.41	19	1
1:A:42:PRO:HG2	1:A:43:TYR:CE1	0.54	2.38	18	1
1:A:17:CYS:SG	1:A:18:VAL:N	0.53	2.81	14	10
1:A:64:ILE:HD11	1:A:65:HIS:HE1	0.53	1.61	20	1
1:A:33:HIS:CG	1:A:33:HIS:O	0.53	2.61	4	6
1:A:41:LYS:HB3	1:A:51:ALA:HB1	0.53	1.80	11	1
1:A:41:LYS:HB2	1:A:51:ALA:HB1	0.52	1.81	1	2
1:A:24:PHE:CD1	1:A:30:LEU:HB2	0.52	2.39	18	2
1:A:52:PHE:CD1	1:A:52:PHE:N	0.52	2.76	17	5
1:A:17:CYS:O	1:A:21:GLY:N	0.52	2.43	8	1
1:A:64:ILE:CD1	1:A:65:HIS:ND1	0.52	2.72	10	1
1:A:61:HIS:CD2	1:A:61:HIS:O	0.52	2.63	18	1
1:A:61:HIS:CG	1:A:61:HIS:O	0.52	2.62	4	7
1:A:64:ILE:CG1	1:A:65:HIS:N	0.51	2.73	4	14
1:A:33:HIS:O	1:A:33:HIS:CG	0.51	2.62	3	9
1:A:64:ILE:CD1	1:A:65:HIS:CE1	0.51	2.91	20	5
1:A:18:VAL:HG12	1:A:34:GLN:OE1	0.51	2.05	7	1
1:A:61:HIS:O	1:A:61:HIS:CG	0.50	2.64	18	7
1:A:22:LYS:HB3	1:A:24:PHE:CZ	0.50	2.41	15	3
1:A:27:SER:O	1:A:30:LEU:N	0.49	2.45	12	2
1:A:50:LYS:HG2	1:A:52:PHE:CZ	0.49	2.42	19	2
1:A:24:PHE:O	1:A:26:ARG:N	0.49	2.45	14	1
1:A:41:LYS:CB	1:A:51:ALA:HB1	0.49	2.38	1	3
1:A:22:LYS:CB	1:A:33:HIS:NE2	0.48	2.76	12	1
1:A:55:ASN:ND2	1:A:59:ILE:HD11	0.48	2.23	2	1
1:A:24:PHE:CE1	1:A:30:LEU:CD1	0.48	2.96	18	1
1:A:47:GLU:HG2	1:A:65:HIS:CD2	0.47	2.45	16	3
1:A:48:CYS:SG	1:A:49:GLY:N	0.47	2.87	4	9
1:A:47:GLU:OE1	1:A:65:HIS:CD2	0.47	2.67	8	1
1:A:52:PHE:CD1	1:A:58:LEU:HB2	0.47	2.45	20	8
1:A:24:PHE:CD2	1:A:30:LEU:HA	0.47	2.44	12	2
1:A:55:ASN:HD22	1:A:59:ILE:HD11	0.47	1.70	2	2
1:A:22:LYS:HG3	1:A:33:HIS:CE1	0.46	2.45	15	4
1:A:61:HIS:O	1:A:61:HIS:CD2	0.46	2.68	8	6
1:A:50:LYS:HB3	1:A:52:PHE:CE1	0.46	2.46	7	3

Continued on next page...

Continued from previous page...

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
				Worst	Total
1:A:24:PHE:CZ	1:A:33:HIS:CG	0.46	3.03	17	4
1:A:21:GLY:O	1:A:22:LYS:C	0.46	2.54	5	5
1:A:41:LYS:N	1:A:42:PRO:CD	0.45	2.78	8	3
1:A:44:LYS:CD	1:A:45:CYS:O	0.45	2.64	19	1
1:A:64:ILE:HG13	1:A:65:HIS:N	0.45	2.26	4	4
1:A:43:TYR:N	1:A:43:TYR:CD1	0.45	2.80	18	1
1:A:46:LEU:HD23	1:A:46:LEU:N	0.45	2.26	4	1
1:A:52:PHE:O	1:A:53:SER:C	0.45	2.55	9	1
1:A:47:GLU:HB2	1:A:65:HIS:CD2	0.45	2.45	3	1
1:A:50:LYS:HB3	1:A:52:PHE:CZ	0.45	2.47	7	3
1:A:50:LYS:HD2	1:A:61:HIS:CE1	0.45	2.46	4	1
1:A:61:HIS:O	1:A:65:HIS:NE2	0.45	2.50	7	5
1:A:15:TYR:CZ	1:A:27:SER:HB3	0.45	2.47	9	2
1:A:22:LYS:CG	1:A:24:PHE:CE2	0.45	3.00	18	1
1:A:17:CYS:C	1:A:19:GLU:N	0.45	2.70	19	1
1:A:62:GLN:HA	1:A:65:HIS:CD2	0.44	2.47	4	1
1:A:45:CYS:N	1:A:50:LYS:O	0.44	2.50	5	1
1:A:41:LYS:HD3	1:A:41:LYS:N	0.44	2.27	3	3
1:A:47:GLU:CD	1:A:65:HIS:CG	0.44	2.90	8	1
1:A:32:GLN:NE2	1:A:32:GLN:O	0.44	2.51	9	1
1:A:43:TYR:CE1	1:A:55:ASN:HB2	0.43	2.47	7	2
1:A:24:PHE:C	1:A:26:ARG:N	0.43	2.71	14	1
1:A:13:LYS:CG	1:A:25:SER:N	0.43	2.81	10	2
1:A:13:LYS:N	1:A:14:PRO:CD	0.43	2.81	7	1
1:A:43:TYR:CD1	1:A:55:ASN:HB2	0.43	2.48	7	1
1:A:64:ILE:CG1	1:A:65:HIS:ND1	0.42	2.82	20	1
1:A:27:SER:O	1:A:28:SER:C	0.42	2.57	18	2
1:A:18:VAL:O	1:A:18:VAL:CG1	0.42	2.66	19	1
1:A:17:CYS:O	1:A:18:VAL:C	0.42	2.57	19	1
1:A:24:PHE:CZ	1:A:33:HIS:CB	0.42	3.03	16	1
1:A:52:PHE:CZ	1:A:61:HIS:CD2	0.42	3.08	6	1
1:A:41:LYS:N	1:A:41:LYS:HD3	0.42	2.30	11	2
1:A:17:CYS:CB	1:A:30:LEU:HD11	0.41	2.45	19	1
1:A:60:ASN:O	1:A:64:ILE:HG23	0.41	2.15	19	1
1:A:20:CYS:SG	1:A:21:GLY:N	0.41	2.93	15	1
1:A:22:LYS:HB3	1:A:24:PHE:CE2	0.41	2.50	19	1
1:A:45:CYS:HA	1:A:58:LEU:HD11	0.41	1.92	1	1
1:A:41:LYS:N	1:A:41:LYS:CD	0.41	2.84	3	1
1:A:55:ASN:ND2	1:A:59:ILE:HG13	0.41	2.31	10	1
1:A:50:LYS:HE2	1:A:61:HIS:CE1	0.40	2.50	1	1
1:A:56:SER:HA	1:A:59:ILE:HD12	0.40	1.92	2	1

Continued on next page...

Continued from previous page...

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
				Worst	Total
1:A:15:TYR:CE2	1:A:27:SER:HB2	0.40	2.51	15	1

6.3 Torsion angles (i)

6.3.1 Protein backbone (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	Percentiles	
1	A	48/72 (67%)	43±1 (89±3%)	5±2 (10±3%)	0±0 (0±1%)	50	82
All	All	960/1440 (67%)	859 (89%)	99 (10%)	2 (0%)	50	82

All 2 unique Ramachandran outliers are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	25	SER	1
1	A	18	VAL	1

6.3.2 Protein sidechains (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Rotameric	Outliers	Percentiles	
1	A	42/59 (71%)	40±1 (94±3%)	2±1 (6±3%)	24	73
All	All	840/1180 (71%)	792 (94%)	48 (6%)	24	73

All 15 unique residues with a non-rotameric sidechain are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	41	LYS	14
1	A	55	ASN	7

Continued on next page...

Continued from previous page...

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	13	LYS	6
1	A	22	LYS	4
1	A	46	LEU	3
1	A	58	LEU	3
1	A	60	ASN	2
1	A	32	GLN	2
1	A	56	SER	1
1	A	63	ARG	1
1	A	35	ARG	1
1	A	47	GLU	1
1	A	43	TYR	1
1	A	44	LYS	1
1	A	25	SER	1

6.3.3 RNA (i)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains (i)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

6.5 Carbohydrates (i)

There are no monosaccharides in this entry.

6.6 Ligand geometry (i)

Of 2 ligands modelled in this entry, 2 are monoatomic - leaving 0 for Mogul analysis.

6.7 Other polymers (i)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

6.8 Polymer linkage issues (i)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.

7 Chemical shift validation (i)

No chemical shift data were provided