

Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report (i)

Feb 6, 2024 – 06:08 PM JST

PDB ID	:	8X4F
BMRB ID	:	36616
Title	:	Solution NMR structure of a DNA hairpin formed by pure CTG repeats
Authors	:	Guo, P.; Wan, L.; Han, D.
Deposited on	:	2023-11-15

This is a Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at *validation@mail.wwpdb.org* A user guide is available at https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp with specific help available everywhere you see the (i) symbol.

The types of validation reports are described at http://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/FAQs#types.

The following versions of software and data (see references (1)) were used in the production of this report:

Cyrange	:	Kirchner and Güntert (2011)
NmrClust	:	Kelley et al. (1996)
MolProbity	:	4.02b-467
Percentile statistics	:	20191225.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 25th 2019)
wwPDB-RCI	:	v_1n_11_5_13_A (Berjanski et al., 2005)
PANAV	:	Wang et al. (2010)
wwPDB-ShiftChecker	:	v1.2
BMRB Restraints Analysis	:	v1.2
Ideal geometry (proteins)	:	Engh & Huber (2001)
Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA)	:	Parkinson et al. (1996)
Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP)	:	2.36

1 Overall quality at a glance (i)

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure: $SOLUTION\ NMR$

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 45%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.

Metric	Percer	ntile Ranks	Value
Clashscore			0
	Worse		Better
	Percentile relative to all structu	res	
	Percentile relative to all NMR s	tructures	
[1
Matula	Whole archive	NMR archive	

Metric	$egin{array}{c} { m Whole \ archive} \ (\#{ m Entries}) \end{array}$	${f NMR} { m archive} \ (\#{ m Entries})$
Clashscore	158937	12864

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for >=3, 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions <=5%

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain	
1	А	14	7% 71%	21%



2 Ensemble composition and analysis (i)

This entry contains 5 models. This entry does not contain polypeptide chains, therefore identification of well-defined residues and clustering analysis are not possible. All residues are included in the validation scores.



3 Entry composition (i)

There are 2 unique types of molecules in this entry. The entry contains 455 atoms, of which 160 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

• Molecule 1 is a DNA chain called DNA (5'-D(*GP*CP*TP*GP*CP*TP*GP*CP*TP*GP*CP*TP*GP*C)-3').

Mol	Chain	Residues		د	Atom	.s			Trace
1	٨	1.4	Total	С	Η	Ν	Ō	Р	0
	A	14	442	135	160	48	86	13	U

• Molecule 2 is SODIUM ION (three-letter code: NA) (formula: Na).

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms
2	А	13	Total Na 13 13



4 Residue-property plots (i)

4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA, DNA and oligosaccharide chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.

• Molecule 1: DNA (5'-D(*GP*CP*TP*GP*CP*TP*GP*CP*TP*GP*CP*TP*GP*C)-3')

Chain A: 7%	71%	21%
61 73 73 75 76 76 76 79 710 711 712 712 712 712 712 712 712 712 712		

4.2 Scores per residue for each member of the ensemble

Colouring as in section 4.1 above.

4.2.1 Score per residue for model 1

• Molecule 1: DNA (5'-D(*GP*CP*TP*GP*CP*TP*GP*CP*TP*GP*CP*TP*GP*C)-3')

Chain A:	64%	36%
61 72 63 64 64 65 63 63 61 61 61 613 613 613 613		

4.2.2 Score per residue for model 2

• Molecule 1: DNA (5'-D(*GP*CP*TP*GP*CP*TP*GP*CP*TP*GP*CP*TP*GP*C)-3')

Chain A: 1	4%	43%	43%
61 64 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13	C11 T12 C14 C14		



4.2.3 Score per residue for model 3

• Molecule 1: DNA (5'-D(*GP*CP*TP*GP*CP*TP*GP*CP*TP*GP*CP*TP*GP*C)-3')

4.2.4 Score per residue for model 4

• Molecule 1: DNA (5'-D(*GP*CP*TP*GP*CP*TP*GP*CP*TP*GP*CP*TP*GP*C)-3')

Chain A:	29%	36%	36%
<mark>64</mark> C5 G7 C8 T9	G10 C11 C12 C14 C14 C14		

4.2.5 Score per residue for model 5

• Molecule 1: DNA (5'-D(*GP*CP*TP*GP*CP*TP*GP*CP*TP*GP*CP*TP*GP*C)-3')

Chain A:	21%	29%	50%
19 22 24 27 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25	610 C11 C12 G13 C14		



5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview (i)

The models were refined using the following method: *simulated annealing*.

Of the 500 calculated structures, 5 were deposited, based on the following criterion: *structures with the least restraint violations*.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
Amber	structure calculation	

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	working_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	1
Total number of shifts	137
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	137
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	45%



6 Model quality (i)

6.1 Standard geometry (i)

Bond lengths and bond angles in the following residue types are not validated in this section: NA

The Z score for a bond length (or angle) is the number of standard deviations the observed value is removed from the expected value. A bond length (or angle) with |Z| > 5 is considered an outlier worth inspection. RMSZ is the (average) root-mean-square of all Z scores of the bond lengths (or angles).

Mol	Chain	E	Sond lengths	Bond angles		
	Unam	RMSZ	$\#Z{>}5$	RMSZ	#Z > 5	
1	А	$1.36 {\pm} 0.02$	$0{\pm}0/314~(~0.0{\pm}~0.0\%)$	$2.36 {\pm} 0.09$	$16{\pm}3/483~(~3.3{\pm}~0.7\%)$	
All	All	1.36	0/1570~(~0.0%)	2.36	80/2415~(~3.3%)	

Chiral center outliers are detected by calculating the chiral volume of a chiral center and verifying if the center is modelled as a planar moiety or with the opposite hand. A planarity outlier is detected by checking planarity of atoms in a peptide group, atoms in a mainchain group or atoms of a sidechain that are expected to be planar.

Mol	Chain	Chirality	Planarity
1	А	$0.0{\pm}0.0$	7.2 ± 0.7
All	All	0	36

There are no bond-length outliers.

All unique angle outliers are listed below. They are sorted according to the Z-score of the worst occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Turne	Atoms	Z	Obcomrod(0)		Moo	dels
	Unam	nes	Type	Atoms		$Observed(^{o})$	$Ideal(^{o})$	Worst	Total
1	А	7	DG	O4'-C1'-N9	15.03	118.52	108.00	5	5
1	А	13	DG	O4'-C1'-N9	12.17	116.52	108.00	1	4
1	А	5	DC	O4'-C1'-N1	11.60	116.12	108.00	1	5
1	А	6	DT	O4'-C1'-N1	11.00	115.70	108.00	1	5
1	А	1	DG	O4'-C1'-N9	10.74	115.52	108.00	1	4
1	А	6	DT	C6-C5-C7	-8.70	117.68	122.90	1	5
1	А	4	DG	O4'-C1'-N9	8.23	113.76	108.00	3	2
1	А	9	DT	C6-C5-C7	-8.20	117.98	122.90	5	5
1	А	8	DC	O4'-C1'-N1	8.10	113.67	108.00	5	5
1	А	10	DG	O4'-C1'-N9	7.91	113.54	108.00	5	4
1	А	11	DC	O4'-C1'-N1	7.51	113.26	108.00	1	2
1	А	3	DT	O4'-C1'-N1	7.25	113.07	108.00	1	1

Continued on next page...



		1	10		Z	Oh a served $d(\theta)$		Models	
Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Atoms		$\mathbf{Observed}(^{o})$	$Ideal(^{o})$	Worst	Total
1	А	5	DC	O4'-C4'-C3'	6.75	110.05	106.00	4	5
1	А	7	DG	C8-N9-C4	-6.26	103.89	106.40	1	5
1	А	11	DC	P-O3'-C3'	6.21	127.16	119.70	3	1
1	А	14	DC	O4'-C1'-N1	6.18	112.32	108.00	1	1
1	А	6	DT	P-O3'-C3'	6.12	127.05	119.70	1	3
1	А	5	DC	C4'-C3'-C2'	-6.09	97.62	103.10	1	1
1	А	4	DG	P-O3'-C3'	5.78	126.63	119.70	2	1
1	А	3	DT	C6-C5-C7	-5.74	119.45	122.90	2	5
1	А	5	DC	O4'-C1'-C2'	-5.55	101.46	105.90	3	1
1	А	2	DC	N1-C2-O2	5.38	122.13	118.90	1	1
1	А	13	DG	C1'-O4'-C4'	-5.37	104.73	110.10	1	1
1	А	12	DT	C6-C5-C7	-5.26	119.74	122.90	3	1
1	А	5	DC	N1-C2-O2	5.17	122.00	118.90	3	1
1	А	9	DT	O4'-C1'-N1	5.17	111.62	108.00	1	1
1	А	8	DC	O4'-C1'-C2'	-5.16	101.77	105.90	5	1
1	А	5	DC	C1'-O4'-C4'	-5.14	104.96	110.10	3	1
1	А	2	DC	N3-C2-O2	-5.11	118.32	121.90	1	1
1	А	14	DC	N1-C2-O2	5.06	121.94	118.90	2	1
1	А	6	DT	C5-C6-N1	-5.04	120.68	123.70	3	1

Continued from previous page...

There are no chirality outliers.

All unique planar outliers are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Group	Models (Total)
1	А	6	DT	Sidechain	5
1	А	7	DG	Sidechain	5
1	А	12	DT	Sidechain	5
1	А	8	DC	Sidechain	4
1	А	10	DG	Sidechain	3
1	А	11	DC	Sidechain	3
1	А	4	DG	Sidechain	2
1	А	5	DC	Sidechain	2
1	А	9	DT	Sidechain	2
1	А	3	DT	Sidechain	2
1	А	1	DG	Sidechain	1
1	А	13	DG	Sidechain	1
1	А	14	DC	Sidechain	1



6.2 Too-close contacts (i)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
All	All	1475	800	800	-

The all-atom clash score is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clash score for this structure is -.

There are no clashes.

6.3 Torsion angles (i)

6.3.1 Protein backbone (i)

There are no protein molecules in this entry.

6.3.2 Protein sidechains (i)

There are no protein molecules in this entry.

6.3.3 RNA (i)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains (i)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

6.5 Carbohydrates (i)

There are no monosaccharides in this entry.

6.6 Ligand geometry (i)

Of 13 ligands modelled in this entry, 13 are monoatomic - leaving 0 for Mogul analysis.



6.7 Other polymers (i)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

6.8 Polymer linkage issues (i)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.



7 Chemical shift validation (i)

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 45% for the well-defined parts and 45% for the entire structure.

7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: working_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: assigned_chemical_shifts_1

7.1.1 Bookkeeping (i)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	137
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	137
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	0

7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing (i)

No chemical shift referencing corrections were calculated (not enough data).

7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments (i)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 45%, i.e. 125 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 277. 0 out of 0 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^{1}\mathrm{H}$	$^{13}\mathrm{C}$	15 N
Sugar	92/168~(55%)	92/98~(94%)	0/70~(0%)	$0/0 \ (\%)$
Base	33/109~(30%)	33/67~(49%)	0/23~(0%)	0/19~(0%)
Overall	125/277~(45%)	125/165~(76%)	0/93~(0%)	0/19~(0%)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the full structure. The overall completeness is 45%, i.e. 125 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 277. 0 out of 0 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.



	Total	$^{1}\mathrm{H}$	$^{13}\mathrm{C}$	$^{15}\mathbf{N}$
Sugar	92/168~(55%)	92/98~(94%)	0/70~(0%)	0/0 (%)
Base	33/109~(30%)	33/67~(49%)	0/23~(0%)	0/19~(0%)
Overall	125/277~(45%)	125/165~(76%)	0/93~(0%)	0/19~(0%)

7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts (i)

There are no statistically unusual chemical shifts.

7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots (i)

No random coil index (RCI) plot could be generated from the current chemical shift list. RCI is only applicable to proteins



8 NMR restraints analysis (i)

8.1 Conformationally restricting restraints (i)

The following table provides the summary of experimentally observed NMR restraints in different categories. Restraints are classified into different categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved.

Description	Value
Total distance restraints	248
Intra-residue (i-j =0)	111
Sequential (i-j =1)	103
Medium range ($ i-j >1$ and $ i-j <5$)	2
Long range $(i-j \ge 5)$	8
Inter-chain	0
Hydrogen bond restraints	24
Disulfide bond restraints	0
Total dihedral-angle restraints	68
Number of unmapped restraints	0
Number of restraints per residue	11.7
Number of long range restraints per residue ¹	1.2

¹Long range hydrogen bonds and disulfide bonds are counted as long range restraints while calculating the number of long range restraints per residue

8.2 Residual restraint violations (i)

This section provides the overview of the restraint violations analysis. The violations are binned as small, medium and large violations based on its absolute value. Average number of violations per model is calculated by dividing the total number of violations in each bin by the size of the ensemble.

8.2.1 Average number of distance violations per model (i)

Distance violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the calculation.

Bins (Å)	Average number of violations per model	Max (Å)
0.1-0.2 (Small)	2.0	0.2
0.2-0.5 (Medium)	0.6	0.33
>0.5 (Large)	None	None



8.2.2 Average number of dihedral-angle violations per model (i)

Dihedral-angle violations less than 1° are not included in the calculation.

Bins $(^{\circ})$	Average number of violations per model	Max ($^{\circ}$)
1.0-10.0 (Small)	0.8	3.92
10.0-20.0 (Medium)	None	None
>20.0 (Large)	None	None



9 Distance violation analysis (i)


9.1 Summary of distance violations (i)

The following table shows the summary of distance violations in different restraint categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved. Each category is further sub-divided into three sub-categories based on the atoms involved. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

Destroints type	Count	$\%^1$	Vio	$Violated^3$			tently	$\sqrt{Violated^4}$
Restraints type	Count	701	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$
Intra-residue (i-j =0)	111	44.8	1	0.9	0.4	1	0.9	0.4
Backbone-Backbone	50	20.2	1	2.0	0.4	1	2.0	0.4
Backbone-Sidechain	56	22.6	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	5	2.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sequential (i-j =1)	103	41.5	3	2.9	1.2	1	1.0	0.4
Backbone-Backbone	8	3.2	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	70	28.2	2	2.9	0.8	1	1.4	0.4
Sidechain-Sidechain	25	10.1	1	4.0	0.4	0	0.0	0.0
Medium range ($ i-j > 1 \& i-j < 5$)	2	0.8	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	2	0.8	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Long range $(i-j \ge 5)$	8	3.2	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	2	0.8	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	6	2.4	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Inter-chain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Hydrogen bond	24	9.7	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Disulfide bond	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Total	248	100.0	4	1.6	1.6	2	0.8	0.8
Backbone-Backbone	58	23.4	1	1.7	0.4	1	1.7	0.4
Backbone-Sidechain	130	52.4	2	1.5	0.8	1	0.8	0.4
Sidechain-Sidechain	60	24.2	1	1.7	0.4	0	0.0	0.0

 1 percentage calculated with respect to the total number of distance restraints, 2 percentage calculated with respect to the number of restraints in a particular restraint category, 3 violated in at least one model, 4 violated in all the models





9.1.1 Bar chart : Distribution of distance restraints and violations (i)

Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories. The hydrogen bonds and disulfied bonds are counted in their appropriate category on the x-axis


9.2 Distance violation statistics for each model (i)

The following table provides the distance violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

Model ID		Nur	nber o	f viola	ations	5	Mean (Å)	lean (Å) Max (Å)		Median (Å)	
Model ID	IR^1	SQ^2	MR^3	LR^4	IC^5	Total	Mean (A)	Max (A)	SD^{6} (Å)	Median (A)	
1	1	1	0	0	0	2	0.22	0.33	0.11	0.22	
2	1	1	0	0	0	2	0.2	0.29	0.09	0.2	
3	1	2	0	0	0	3	0.16	0.2	0.04	0.18	
4	1	2	0	0	0	3	0.15	0.18	0.03	0.16	
5	1	2	0	0	0	3	0.15	0.22	0.05	0.13	

 1 Intra-residue restraints, 2 Sequential restraints, 3 Medium range restraints, 4 Long range restraints, 5 Inter-chain restraints, 6 Standard deviation





9.2.1 Bar graph : Distance Violation statistics for each model (i)

The mean(dot), median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right

9.3 Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)

Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of the ensemble. In total, 220(IR:110, SQ:100, MR:2, LR:8, IC:0) restraints are not violated in the ensemble.


Nu	Number of violated restraints						Fraction of the ensemble		
IR^{1}	SQ^2	MR^3	LR^4	IC ⁵	Total	Count^6	%		
0	1	0	0	0	1	1	20.0		
0	1	0	0	0	1	2	40.0		
0	0	0	0	0	0	3	60.0		
0	0	0	0	0	0	4	80.0		
1	1	0	0	0	2	5	100.0		

¹Intra-residue restraints, ²Sequential restraints, ³Medium range restraints, ⁴Long range restraints,



⁵Inter-chain restraints, ⁶ Number of models with violations

9.3.1 Bar graph : Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)




9.4 Most violated distance restraints in the ensemble (i)

9.4.1 Histogram : Distribution of mean distance violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models in the ensemble





9.4.2 Table: Most violated distance restraints (i)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violation for each restraint sorted by number of violated models and the mean value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	\mathbf{Models}^1	Mean (Å)	SD^1 (Å)	Median (Å)
(2,200)	1:12:A:DT:H2'	1:13:A:DG:H8	5	0.24	0.06	0.22
(2,200)	1:12:A:DT:H2"	1:13:A:DG:H8	5	0.24	0.06	0.22
(2,3)	1:1:A:DG:H1'	1:1:A:DG:H2'	5	0.11	0.0	0.11
(2,3)	1:1:A:DG:H1'	1:1:A:DG:H2"	5	0.11	0.0	0.11
(2,78)	1:5:A:DC:H3'	1:6:A:DT:H73	2	0.15	0.02	0.15
(2,78)	1:5:A:DC:H3'	1:6:A:DT:H71	2	0.15	0.02	0.15
(2,78)	1:5:A:DC:H3'	1:6:A:DT:H72	2	0.15	0.02	0.15


¹Number of violated models, ²Standard deviation

9.5 All violated distance restraints (i)

9.5.1 Histogram : Distribution of distance violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.





9.5.2 Table : All distance violations (i)

The following table lists the absolute value of the violation for each restraint in the ensemble sorted by its value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(2,200)	1:12:A:DT:H2'	1:13:A:DG:H8	1	0.33
(2,200)	1:12:A:DT:H2"	1:13:A:DG:H8	1	0.33
(2,200)	1:12:A:DT:H2'	1:13:A:DG:H8	2	0.29
(2,200)	1:12:A:DT:H2"	1:13:A:DG:H8	2	0.29
(2,200)	1:12:A:DT:H2'	1:13:A:DG:H8	5	0.22
(2,200)	1:12:A:DT:H2"	1:13:A:DG:H8	5	0.22
(2,200)	1:12:A:DT:H2'	1:13:A:DG:H8	3	0.2
(2,200)	1:12:A:DT:H2"	1:13:A:DG:H8	3	0.2
(2,200)	1:12:A:DT:H2'	1:13:A:DG:H8	4	0.18
(2,200)	1:12:A:DT:H2"	1:13:A:DG:H8	4	0.18
(2,74)	1:5:A:DC:H6	1:6:A:DT:H73	3	0.18
(2,74)	1:5:A:DC:H6	1:6:A:DT:H71	3	0.18
(2,74)	1:5:A:DC:H6	1:6:A:DT:H72	3	0.18
(2,78)	1:5:A:DC:H3'	1:6:A:DT:H73	4	0.16
(2,78)	1:5:A:DC:H3'	1:6:A:DT:H71	4	0.16
(2,78)	1:5:A:DC:H3'	1:6:A:DT:H72	4	0.16

Continued on next page...



Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(2,78)	1:5:A:DC:H3'	1:6:A:DT:H73	5	0.13
(2,78)	1:5:A:DC:H3'	1:6:A:DT:H71	5	0.13
(2,78)	1:5:A:DC:H3'	1:6:A:DT:H72	5	0.13
(2,3)	1:1:A:DG:H1'	1:1:A:DG:H2'	1	0.11
(2,3)	1:1:A:DG:H1'	1:1:A:DG:H2"	1	0.11
(2,3)	1:1:A:DG:H1'	1:1:A:DG:H2'	2	0.11
(2,3)	1:1:A:DG:H1'	1:1:A:DG:H2"	2	0.11
(2,3)	1:1:A:DG:H1'	1:1:A:DG:H2'	3	0.11
(2,3)	1:1:A:DG:H1'	1:1:A:DG:H2"	3	0.11
(2,3)	1:1:A:DG:H1'	1:1:A:DG:H2'	4	0.11
(2,3)	1:1:A:DG:H1'	1:1:A:DG:H2"	4	0.11
(2,3)	1:1:A:DG:H1'	1:1:A:DG:H2'	5	0.11
(2,3)	1:1:A:DG:H1'	1:1:A:DG:H2"	5	0.11

Continued from previous page...



10 Dihedral-angle violation analysis (i)


10.1 Summary of dihedral-angle violations (i)

The following table provides the summary of dihedral-angle violations in different dihedral-angle types. Violations less than 1° are not included in the calculation.

Angle true	Count	$\%^1$	Violated ³			Consistently Violated ⁴		
Angle type	Count	/0	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$
UNNAMED	49	72.1	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
CHI	14	20.6	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
GAMMA	5	7.4	2	40.0	2.9	0	0.0	0.0
Total	68	100.0	2	2.9	2.9	0	0.0	0.0

 1 percentage calculated with respect to total number of dihedral-angle restraints, 2 percentage calculated with respect to number of restraints in a particular dihedral-angle type, 3 violated in at least one model, 4 violated in all the models

10.1.1 Bar chart : Distribution of dihedral-angles and violations (i)



Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories




10.2 Dihedral-angle violation statistics for each model (i)

The following table provides the dihedral-angle violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 1° are not included in the statistics.

Model ID	Number of violations				Mean (°)	Max (°)	SD (°)	Median (°)
	UNNAMED	CHI	GAMMA	Total	Mean ()	Max ()	SD ()	Median ()
1	0	0	1	1	2.28	2.28	0.0	2.28
2	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
3	0	0	1	1	2.94	2.94	0.0	2.94
4	0	0	1	1	3.67	3.67	0.0	3.67
5	0	0	1	1	3.92	3.92	0.0	3.92

10.2.1 Bar graph : Dihedral violation statistics for each model (i)



The mean(dot), median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right


10.3 Dihedral-angle violation statistics for the ensemble (i)


Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in very few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of ensemble.



Number o	of viola	ated restra	Fraction of the ensemble			
UNNAMED	CHI	GAMMA	Total	Count^1	%	
0	0	1	1	1	20.0	
0	0	0	0	2	40.0	
0	0	1	1	3	60.0	
0	0	0	0	4	80.0	
0	0	0	0	5	100.0	

¹ Number of models with violations






10.4 Most violated dihedral-angle restraints in the ensemble (i)

10.4.1 Histogram : Distribution of mean dihedral-angle violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models in the ensemble





10.4.2 Table: Most violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violation for each restraint sorted by number of violated models and the mean value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Atom-3	Atom-4	\mathbf{Models}^1	Mean	\mathbf{SD}^2	Median
(1,19)	1:13:A:DG:O5'	1:13:A:DG:C5'	1:13:A:DG:C4'	1:13:A:DG:C3'	3	2.96	0.57	2.94

 1 Number of violated models, ²Standard deviation, All angle values are in degree (°)

10.5 All violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

10.5.1 Histogram : Distribution of violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.

Data insufficient to plot histogram

10.5.2 Table: All violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

The following table lists the absolute value of the violation for each restraint in the ensemble sorted by its value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint.



Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Atom-3	Atom-4	Model ID	Violation ($^{\circ}$)
(1,17)	1:8:A:DC:O5'	1:8:A:DC:C5'	1:8:A:DC:C4'	1:8:A:DC:C3'	5	3.92
(1,19)	1:13:A:DG:O5'	1:13:A:DG:C5'	1:13:A:DG:C4'	1:13:A:DG:C3'	4	3.67
(1,19)	1:13:A:DG:O5'	1:13:A:DG:C5'	1:13:A:DG:C4'	1:13:A:DG:C3'	3	2.94
(1,19)	1:13:A:DG:O5'	1:13:A:DG:C5'	1:13:A:DG:C4'	1:13:A:DG:C3'	1	2.28

