Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report (i)

Feb 19, 2022 – 05:44 PM EST

PDB ID	:	1S5R
Title	:	Solution Structure of HBP1 SID-mSin3A PAH2 Complex
Authors	:	Swanson, K.A.; Knoepfler, P.S.; Huang, K.; Kang, R.S.; Cowley, S.M.; Laherty, C.D.; Eisenman, R.N.; Radhakrishnan, I.
Deposited on	:	2004-01-21

This is a Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at *validation@mail.wwpdb.org*
A user guide is available at
https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp
with specific help available everywhere you see the (i) symbol.

The following versions of software and data (see references (i)) were used in the production of this report:

MolProbity	:	4.02b-467
Percentile statistics	:	20191225.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 25th 2019)
RCI	:	v_1n_11_5_13_A (Berjanski et al., 2005)
PANAV	:	Wang et al. (2010)
ShiftChecker	:	2.26
Ideal geometry (proteins)	:	Engh & Huber (2001)
Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA)	:	Parkinson et al. (1996)
Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP)	:	2.26

1 Overall quality at a glance (i)

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure:
SOLUTION NMR

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment was not calculated.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Metric	Whole archive (#Entries)	NMR archive (#Entries)
Clashscore	158937	12864
Ramachandran outliers	154571	11451
Sidechain outliers	154315	11428

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for >=3, 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions <=5%

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain
1	A	23	48% 52%
2	B	89	78% 7% 16%

2 Ensemble composition and analysis (i)

This entry contains 20 models. Model 4 is the overall representative, medoid model (most similar to other models). The authors have identified model 5 as representative, based on the following criterion: *closest to the average*.

The following residues are included in the computation of the global validation metrics.

Well-defined (core) protein residues			
Well-defined core	**Residue range (total)**	**Backbone RMSD (Å)**	**Medoid model**
1	A:366-A:376, B:302-B:345, B:351-B:381 (86)	0.38	4

Ill-defined regions of proteins are excluded from the global statistics.

Ligands and non-protein polymers are included in the analysis.

The models can be grouped into 3 clusters and 9 single-model clusters were found.

Cluster number	Models
1	3, 4, 6, 7, 14, 16, 18
2	9, 13
3	2, 8
Single-model clusters	1; 5; 10; 11; 12; 15; 17; 19; 20

3 Entry composition (i)

There are 2 unique types of molecules in this entry. The entry contains 1795 atoms, of which 883 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

- Molecule 1 is a protein called high mobility group box transcription factor 1.

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms						Trace
			Total	C	H	N	O	S	
1	A	23	355	108	176	33	36	2	0

- Molecule 2 is a protein called Sin3a protein.

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms					Trace
			Total	C	H	N	O	
2	B	89	1440	465	707	127	141	0

4 Residue-property plots (i)

4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA, DNA and oligosaccharide chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.

• Molecule 1: high mobility group box transcription factor 1

Chain A: 48% 52%



• Molecule 2: Sin3a protein

Chain B: 78% 7% 16%



4.2 Scores per residue for each member of the ensemble

Colouring as in section 4.1 above.

4.2.1 Score per residue for model 1

• Molecule 1: high mobility group box transcription factor 1

Chain A: 48% 52%



• Molecule 2: Sin3a protein

Chain B: 67% 16% • 16%



4.2.2 Score per residue for model 2

- Molecule 1: high mobility group box transcription factor 1

Chain A:



- Molecule 2: Sin3a protein

Chain B:



4.2.3 Score per residue for model 3

- Molecule 1: high mobility group box transcription factor 1

Chain A:



- Molecule 2: Sin3a protein

Chain B:



4.2.4 Score per residue for model 4 (medoid)

- Molecule 1: high mobility group box transcription factor 1

Chain A:



- Molecule 2: Sin3a protein

Chain B:



4.2.5 Score per residue for model 5

- Molecule 1: high mobility group box transcription factor 1

Chain A: 39% 9% 52%



- Molecule 2: Sin3a protein

Chain B: 73% 10% • 16%



4.2.6 Score per residue for model 6

- Molecule 1: high mobility group box transcription factor 1

Chain A: 43% • 52%



- Molecule 2: Sin3a protein

Chain B: 76% 8% 16%



4.2.7 Score per residue for model 7

- Molecule 1: high mobility group box transcription factor 1

Chain A: 43% • 52%



- Molecule 2: Sin3a protein

Chain B: 74% 8% • 16%



4.2.8 Score per residue for model 8

- Molecule 1: high mobility group box transcription factor 1

Chain A: 48% 52%



- Molecule 2: Sin3a protein

Chain B: 72% 10% • 16%



4.2.9 Score per residue for model 9

- Molecule 1: high mobility group box transcription factor 1

Chain A: 48% 52%



- Molecule 2: Sin3a protein

Chain B: 78% 6% • 16%



4.2.10 Score per residue for model 10

- Molecule 1: high mobility group box transcription factor 1

Chain A: 39% 9% 52%



- Molecule 2: Sin3a protein

Chain B: 72% 11% • 16%



4.2.11 Score per residue for model 11

- Molecule 1: high mobility group box transcription factor 1

Chain A:



- Molecule 2: Sin3a protein

Chain B:



4.2.12 Score per residue for model 12

- Molecule 1: high mobility group box transcription factor 1

Chain A:

- Molecule 2: Sin3a protein

Chain B:



4.2.13 Score per residue for model 13

- Molecule 1: high mobility group box transcription factor 1

Chain A:

- Molecule 2: Sin3a protein

Chain B:



4.2.14 Score per residue for model 14

- Molecule 1: high mobility group box transcription factor 1

Chain A:



- Molecule 2: Sin3a protein

Chain B:



4.2.15 Score per residue for model 15

- Molecule 1: high mobility group box transcription factor 1

Chain A:

- Molecule 2: Sin3a protein

Chain B:



4.2.16 Score per residue for model 16

- Molecule 1: high mobility group box transcription factor 1

Chain A:

- Molecule 2: Sin3a protein

Chain B:



4.2.17 Score per residue for model 17

- Molecule 1: high mobility group box transcription factor 1

Chain A: 39% 9% 52%

- Molecule 2: Sin3a protein

Chain B: 71% 12% • 16%



4.2.18 Score per residue for model 18

- Molecule 1: high mobility group box transcription factor 1

Chain A: 43% • 52%



- Molecule 2: Sin3a protein

Chain B: 72% 10% • 16%



4.2.19 Score per residue for model 19

- Molecule 1: high mobility group box transcription factor 1

Chain A: 39% 9% 52%



- Molecule 2: Sin3a protein

Chain B: 73% 9% • 16%



4.2.20 Score per residue for model 20

- Molecule 1: high mobility group box transcription factor 1

Chain A:



- Molecule 2: Sin3a protein

Chain B:



5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview (i)

The models were refined using the following method: *Torsion angle dynamics and simulated annealing.*

Of the 80 calculated structures, 20 were deposited, based on the following criterion: *The submitted conformers are the 20 structures with the lowest restraint energies, restraint violations, and RMS deviations from ideal covalent geometry..*

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
ARIA	refinement	1.2
CNS	refinement	1.1

No chemical shift data was provided.

6 Model quality (i)

6.1 Standard geometry (i)

There are no covalent bond-length or bond-angle outliers.

There are no bond-length outliers.

There are no bond-angle outliers.

There are no chirality outliers.

There are no planarity outliers.

6.2 Too-close contacts (i)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	A	84	88	88	1±1
2	B	629	617	615	4±2
All	All	14260	14100	14060	78

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 3.

All unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
				Worst	Total
2:B:363:ALA:HA	2:B:373:LEU:HD21	0.55	1.78	17	9
2:B:372:LEU:HD12	2:B:373:LEU:N	0.53	2.19	9	4
2:B:372:LEU:H	2:B:372:LEU:HD22	0.52	1.65	5	1
2:B:372:LEU:N	2:B:372:LEU:HD13	0.51	2.20	5	1
2:B:340:ARG:HG3	2:B:341:ASN:N	0.51	2.20	1	2
2:B:358:VAL:O	2:B:362:VAL:HG23	0.49	2.07	11	14
2:B:313:LYS:HG3	2:B:314:ILE:N	0.49	2.22	1	1
2:B:369:GLN:HB3	2:B:372:LEU:HD13	0.49	1.83	11	2
2:B:337:LYS:O	2:B:340:ARG:HG2	0.48	2.08	10	2
1:A:369:VAL:HG13	1:A:370:LEU:HD22	0.47	1.86	10	1
2:B:321:GLN:N	2:B:321:GLN:HE21	0.46	2.08	12	1
2:B:302:VAL:HG23	2:B:303:GLU:H	0.46	1.71	1	4
1:A:367:VAL:HG23	2:B:307:ALA:HB3	0.46	1.86	17	1

Continued on next page...

Continued from previous page...

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
				Worst	Total
2:B:368:ASN:HD22	2:B:368:ASN:N	0.46	2.09	18	1
2:B:309:ASN:N	2:B:309:ASN:HD22	0.45	2.08	19	3
2:B:366:PHE:HB3	2:B:369:GLN:HB2	0.45	1.88	15	3
2:B:336:GLN:HE21	2:B:336:GLN:HA	0.45	1.71	3	1
2:B:310:TYR:O	2:B:313:LYS:HG3	0.45	2.10	8	1
1:A:369:VAL:HG13	2:B:336:GLN:HG2	0.45	1.89	19	1
2:B:353:LEU:HB3	2:B:357:GLU:HG2	0.44	1.89	11	2
2:B:313:LYS:NZ	2:B:313:LYS:HB2	0.44	2.28	7	1
2:B:340:ARG:CG	2:B:341:ASN:N	0.44	2.81	1	1
2:B:302:VAL:HG13	2:B:303:GLU:N	0.44	2.28	17	2
2:B:363:ALA:HA	2:B:373:LEU:HD11	0.43	1.89	18	2
1:A:375:ARG:HB2	2:B:303:GLU:HG2	0.43	1.90	4	1
1:A:371:SER:HA	2:B:303:GLU:HB2	0.43	1.88	11	1
2:B:302:VAL:HG23	2:B:303:GLU:N	0.43	2.28	4	3
2:B:310:TYR:O	2:B:314:ILE:HG12	0.43	2.13	5	2
2:B:302:VAL:HG13	2:B:303:GLU:H	0.43	1.74	11	1
1:A:369:VAL:HG22	2:B:336:GLN:HB2	0.43	1.91	14	2
2:B:321:GLN:HE21	2:B:323:ASP:HB2	0.42	1.75	1	1
1:A:374:ALA:HB3	2:B:303:GLU:OE1	0.42	2.15	19	1
2:B:353:LEU:HD22	2:B:357:GLU:HG2	0.41	1.92	14	1
2:B:372:LEU:HD12	2:B:372:LEU:N	0.41	2.30	15	1
1:A:375:ARG:HA	1:A:375:ARG:NE	0.40	2.31	20	1
1:A:375:ARG:HA	1:A:375:ARG:HE	0.40	1.76	20	1
1:A:374:ALA:HB3	2:B:303:GLU:HG3	0.40	1.93	18	1

6.3 Torsion angles (i)

6.3.1 Protein backbone (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	Percentiles	
1	A	11/23 (48%)	10±1 (94±6%)	0±1 (3±5%)	0±0 (3±4%)	7	38
2	B	75/89 (84%)	68±2 (90±3%)	6±2 (9±2%)	1±1 (1±1%)	17	64
All	All	1720/2240 (77%)	1558 (91%)	137 (8%)	25 (1%)	14	59

All 7 unique Ramachandran outliers are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occur-

rence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	376	GLN	7
2	B	303	GLU	7
2	B	304	PHE	3
2	B	367	LYS	3
2	B	379	PHE	2
2	B	380	LEU	2
2	B	305	ASN	1

6.3.2 Protein sidechains (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Rotameric	Outliers	Percentiles
1	A	9/20 (45%)	9±0 (99±2%)	0±0 (1±2%)	86 97
2	B	66/77 (86%)	63±1 (96±2%)	3±1 (4±2%)	31 80
All	All	1500/1940 (77%)	1440 (96%)	60 (4%)	35 83

All 24 unique residues with a non-rotameric sidechain are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
2	B	313	LYS	11
2	B	359	TYR	5
2	B	303	GLU	5
2	B	372	LEU	5
2	B	353	LEU	4
2	B	309	ASN	3
2	B	336	GLN	3
2	B	379	PHE	3
2	B	339	GLN	2
2	B	321	GLN	2
2	B	338	GLU	2
2	B	315	LYS	2
2	B	367	LYS	2
2	B	306	HIS	1
1	A	375	ARG	1
2	B	344	GLU	1

Continued on next page...

Continued from previous page...

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
2	B	332	LEU	1
2	B	369	GLN	1
2	B	373	LEU	1
2	B	337	LYS	1
2	B	310	TYR	1
2	B	308	ILE	1
2	B	368	ASN	1
2	B	319	GLN	1

6.3.3 RNA (i)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains (i)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

6.5 Carbohydrates (i)

There are no monosaccharides in this entry.

6.6 Ligand geometry (i)

There are no ligands in this entry.

6.7 Other polymers (i)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

6.8 Polymer linkage issues (i)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.

7 Chemical shift validation (i)

No chemical shift data were provided