

# wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report (i)

Jun 3, 2023 – 08:07 PM EDT

PDB ID : 2N5I BMRB ID : 25717

Title : PltL-pyrrolyl

Authors: Jaremko, M.J.; Lee, D.J.; Burkart, M.D.

Deposited on : 2015-07-17

This is a wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at validation@mail.wwpdb.org
A user guide is available at
https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp
with specific help available everywhere you see the (i) symbol.

The types of validation reports are described at http://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/FAQs#types.

The following versions of software and data (see references (1)) were used in the production of this report:

MolProbity: 4.02b-467

Mogul : 1.8.5 (274361), CSD as541be (2020)

buster-report : 1.1.7 (2018)

Percentile statistics : 20191225.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 25th 2019)

wwPDB-RCI : v 1n 11 5 13 A (Berjanski et al., 2005)

PANAV : Wang et al. (2010)

 $\begin{array}{ccc} wwPDB\text{-ShiftChecker} &:& v1.2\\ BMRB \ Restraints \ Analysis &:& v1.2 \end{array}$ 

Ideal geometry (proteins) : Engh & Huber (2001) Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA) : Parkinson et al. (1996)


Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP) : 2.33

### 1 Overall quality at a glance (i)

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure:  $SOLUTION\ NMR$ 

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 86%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Metric	Whole archive	NMR archive
Metric	$(\# \mathrm{Entries})$	$(\# \mathrm{Entries})$
Clashscore	158937	12864
Ramachandran outliers	154571	11451
Sidechain outliers	154315	11428

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for >=3, 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions <=5%

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain					
1	A	90	86%	7%	8%			



### 2 Ensemble composition and analysis (i)

This entry contains 20 models. Model 12 is the overall representative, medoid model (most similar to other models). The authors have identified model 1 as representative, based on the following criterion: *lowest energy*.

The following residues are included in the computation of the global validation metrics.

Well-defined (core) protein residues						
Well-defined core   Residue range (total)   Backbone RMSD (Å)   Medoid mod						
1	A:4-A:86 (83)	0.77	12			

Ill-defined regions of proteins are excluded from the global statistics.

Ligands and non-protein polymers are included in the analysis.

The models can be grouped into 6 clusters. No single-model clusters were found.

Cluster number	Models
1	4, 5, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 20
2	2, 6, 10, 15
3	7, 18
4	16, 17
5	3, 19
6	1, 8



### 3 Entry composition (i)

There are 2 unique types of molecules in this entry. The entry contains 1501 atoms, of which 753 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

• Molecule 1 is a protein called Peptidyl carrier protein PltL.

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms				Trace		
1	Λ	00	Total	С	Н	N	О	S	0
	90	1448	453	728	117	145	5	U	

There are 3 discrepancies between the modelled and reference sequences:

Chain	Residue	Modelled	Actual	Comment	Reference
A	87	SER	CYS	conflict	UNP Q4KCZ1
A	89	LEU	-	expression tag	UNP Q4KCZ1
A	90	GLU	-	expression tag	UNP Q4KCZ1

• Molecule 2 is (3R)-3-hydroxy-2,2-dimethyl-4-oxo-4-{[3-oxo-3-({2-[(1H-pyrrol-2-ylcarbon yl)amino]ethyl}amino)propyl]amino}butyl dihydrogen phosphate (three-letter code: 4EM) (formula:  $C_{16}H_{27}N_4O_8P$ ).

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms					
9	٨	1	Total	С	Н	N	О	Р
2 A	1	53	16	25	4	7	1	



### 4 Residue-property plots (i)

#### 4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA, DNA and oligosaccharide chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.


• Molecule 1: Peptidyl carrier protein PltL



# 4.2 Residue scores for the representative (medoid) model from the NMR ensemble

The representative model is number 12. Colouring as in section 4.1 above.

• Molecule 1: Peptidyl carrier protein PltL





#### Refinement protocol and experimental data overview (i) 5



The models were refined using the following method: molecular dynamics.

Of the 20 calculated structures, 20 were deposited, based on the following criterion: structures with the lowest energy.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
CYANA	structure solution	
CNS	refinement	

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	working_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	1
Total number of shifts	1086
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	1086
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	86%



### 6 Model quality (i)

### 6.1 Standard geometry (i)

Bond lengths and bond angles in the following residue types are not validated in this section: 4EM

There are no covalent bond-length or bond-angle outliers.

There are no bond-length outliers.

There are no bond-angle outliers.

There are no chirality outliers.

There are no planarity outliers.

### 6.2 Too-close contacts (i)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	A	671	683	682	7±3
2	A	28	25	25	1±1
All	All	13980	14160	14140	150

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 5.

5 of 91 unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(A)	Distance(A)	Worst	Total
1:A:40:LEU:HB3	1:A:45:ILE:HG13	0.67	1.67	11	1
1:A:11:ARG:HH22	1:A:76:ILE:HD12	0.62	1.54	15	2
1:A:14:ILE:HA	1:A:18:LEU:HD23	0.62	1.72	1	2
2:A:101:4EM:H36	2:A:101:4EM:H303	0.61	1.55	18	1
2:A:101:4EM:N48	2:A:101:4EM:H302	0.61	2.11	11	2



### 6.3 Torsion angles (i)

#### 6.3.1 Protein backbone (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	Perce	entiles
1	A	83/90 (92%)	77±1 (93±2%)	5±1 (6±2%)	1±1 (1±1%)	24	71
All	All	1660/1800 (92%)	1543 (93%)	103 (6%)	14 (1%)	24	71

All 4 unique Ramachandran outliers are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	42	SER	6
1	A	41	ASN	3
1	A	43	MET	3
1	A	23	ALA	2

#### 6.3.2 Protein sidechains (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Analysed Rotameric		Percentiles		
1	A	76/81 (94%)	74±1 (97±2%)	2±1 (3±2%)	46	90	
All	All	1520/1620 (94%)	1477 (97%)	43 (3%)	46	90	

5 of 21 unique residues with a non-rotameric sidechain are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	$\operatorname{Res}$	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	43	MET	8
1	A	45	ILE	4
1	A	64	HIS	4
1	A	68	LYS	4
1	A	25	GLU	3



#### 6.3.3 RNA (i)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

### 6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains (i)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

### 6.5 Carbohydrates (i)

There are no monosaccharides in this entry.

### 6.6 Ligand geometry (i)

1 ligand is modelled in this entry.

In the following table, the Counts columns list the number of bonds for which Mogul statistics could be retrieved, the number of bonds that are observed in the model and the number of bonds that are defined in the chemical component dictionary. The Link column lists molecule types, if any, to which the group is linked. The Z score for a bond length is the number of standard deviations the observed value is removed from the expected value. A bond length with |Z| > 2 is considered an outlier worth inspection. RMSZ is the average root-mean-square of all Z scores of the bond lengths.

Mal	Tuno	Chain	Dec	Tiple	Bond lengths		
IVIOI	туре	Chain	nes	Link	Counts	RMSZ	#Z>2
2	4EM	A	101	1	22,28,29	$2.11 \pm 0.04$	$3\pm 1 \ (13\pm 2\%)$

In the following table, the Counts columns list the number of angles for which Mogul statistics could be retrieved, the number of angles that are observed in the model and the number of angles that are defined in the chemical component dictionary. The Link column lists molecule types, if any, to which the group is linked. The Z score for a bond angle is the number of standard deviations the observed value is removed from the expected value. A bond angle with |Z| > 2 is considered an outlier worth inspection. RMSZ is the average root-mean-square of all Z scores of the bond angles.

Mol	Type	Chain	Pos	Link Counts		Bond angles		
IVIOI	туре	Chain	rtes	Link	Counts	RMSZ	#Z>2	
2	4EM	A	101	1	27,37,40	$1.16\pm0.39$	2±2 (7±7%)	

In the following table, the Chirals column lists the number of chiral outliers, the number of chiral centers analysed, the number of these observed in the model and the number defined in the chemical component dictionary. Similar counts are reported in the Torsion and Rings columns. '-' means



no outliers of that kind were identified.

Mol	Type	Chain	Res	Link	Chirals	Torsions	Rings
2	4EM	A	101	1	-	$0\pm0,28,33,34$	$0\pm0,1,1,1$

All unique bond outliers are listed below. They are sorted according to the Z-score of the worst occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Dog	Type	Atoma	$\mathbf{Z}$	$Observed(\mathring{A})$	$Ideal(\mathring{A})$	Models	
MIOI	Chain	nes	Type	Atoms	L	Observed(A)	Ideal(A)	Worst	Total
2	A	101	4EM	C47-C45	7.32	1.32	1.50	1	20
2	A	101	4EM	C43-N44	5.22	1.34	1.46	3	20
2	A	101	4EM	C51-C49	2.22	1.32	1.39	20	15
2	A	101	4EM	C38-C39	2.21	1.55	1.51	11	2
2	A	101	4EM	O33-C32	2.10	1.46	1.42	1	1

5 of 12 unique angle outliers are listed below. They are sorted according to the Z-score of the worst occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Chain Res	Res Type	Atoms	$\mathbf{z}$	$Observed(^o)$	$\mathrm{Ideal}(^{o})$	Models	
IVIOI	Chain	nes	Type	Atoms		Observed()	ideai( )	Worst	Total
2	A	101	4EM	C47-C45-N44	5.41	108.02	115.59	17	4
2	A	101	4EM	C37-C38-C39	5.31	121.20	112.36	16	6
2	A	101	4EM	C38-C39-N41	4.62	108.65	116.42	5	7
2	A	101	4EM	C38-C37-N36	4.56	102.69	111.90	16	4
2	A	101	4EM	C42-N41-C39	4.11	130.47	122.84	16	6

There are no chirality outliers.

All unique torsion outliers are listed below.


Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Atoms	Models (Total)
2	A	101	4EM	C29-C32-C34-O35	1

There are no ring outliers.

The following is a two-dimensional graphical depiction of Mogul quality analysis of bond lengths, bond angles, torsion angles, and ring geometry for all instances of the Ligand of Interest. In addition, ligands with molecular weight > 250 and outliers as shown on the validation Tables will also be included. For torsion angles, if less then 5% of the Mogul distribution of torsion angles is within 10 degrees of the torsion angle in question, then that torsion angle is considered an outlier. Any bond that is central to one or more torsion angles identified as an outlier by Mogul will be highlighted in the graph. For rings, the root-mean-square deviation (RMSD) between the ring in question and similar rings identified by Mogul is calculated over all ring torsion angles. If the average RMSD is greater than 60 degrees and the minimal RMSD between the ring in question and any Mogul-identified rings is also greater than 60 degrees, then that ring is considered an outlier.



The outliers are highlighted in purple. The color gray indicates Mogul did not find sufficient equivalents in the CSD to analyse the geometry.



### 6.7 Other polymers (i)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

### 6.8 Polymer linkage issues (i)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.



### 7 Chemical shift validation (i)

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 86% for the well-defined parts and 85% for the entire structure.

#### 7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: working\_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: assigned\_chem\_shift\_list\_1

#### 7.1.1 Bookkeeping (i)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	1086
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	1086
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	0

#### 7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing (i)

The following table shows the suggested chemical shift referencing corrections.

Nucleus	# values	Correction $\pm$ precision, $ppm$	Suggested action
$^{13}\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$	89	$-0.37 \pm 0.09$	None needed ( $< 0.5 \text{ ppm}$ )
$^{13}C_{\beta}$	84	$0.08 \pm 0.08$	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)
<sup>13</sup> C′	0	_	None (insufficient data)
$^{15}N$	84	$0.86 \pm 0.47$	None needed (imprecise)

### 7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments (i)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 86%, i.e. 1009 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 1175. 0 out of 13 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^{1}{ m H}$	$^{13}\mathbf{C}$	$^{15}{ m N}$
Backbone	328/413 (79%)	166/167 (99%)	83/166 (50%)	79/80 (99%)
Sidechain	641/705 (91%)	438/457 (96%)	198/224 (88%)	5/24 (21%)

Continued on next page...



Continued from previous page...

	Total	$^{1}\mathrm{H}$	$^{13}\mathbf{C}$	$^{15}{ m N}$
Aromatic	40/57 (70%)	24/27 (89%)	15/27~(56%)	1/3 (33%)
Overall	1009/1175~(86%)	$628/651 \ (96\%)$	$296/417 \ (71\%)$	85/107 (79%)


#### 7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts (i)

There are no statistically unusual chemical shifts.

#### 7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots (i)

The image below reports random coil index values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition. If well-defined core and ill-defined regions are not identified then it is shown as gray bars.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain A:





### 8 NMR restraints analysis (i)

### 8.1 Conformationally restricting restraints (i)

The following table provides the summary of experimentally observed NMR restraints in different categories. Restraints are classified into different categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved.

Description	Value
Total distance restraints	1713
Intra-residue ( $ i-j =0$ )	625
Sequential ( $ i-j =1$ )	424
Medium range ( $ i-j >1$ and $ i-j <5$ )	355
Long range ( i-j ≥5)	309
Inter-chain	0
Hydrogen bond restraints	0
Disulfide bond restraints	0
Total dihedral-angle restraints	0
Number of unmapped restraints	0
Number of restraints per residue	19.0
Number of long range restraints per residue <sup>1</sup>	3.4

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Long range hydrogen bonds and disulfide bonds are counted as long range restraints while calculating the number of long range restraints per residue

### 8.2 Residual restraint violations (i)

This section provides the overview of the restraint violations analysis. The violations are binned as small, medium and large violations based on its absolute value. Average number of violations per model is calculated by dividing the total number of violations in each bin by the size of the ensemble.

### 8.2.1 Average number of distance violations per model (i)

Distance violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the calculation.

Bins (Å)	Average number of violations per model	Max (Å)
0.1-0.2 (Small)	26.1	0.2
0.2-0.5 (Medium)	12.2	0.5
>0.5 (Large)	1.2	1.3



### 8.2.2 Average number of dihedral-angle violations per model (i)

Dihedral-angle violations less than  $1^{\circ}$  are not included in the calculation. There are no dihedral-angle violations



## 9 Distance violation analysis (i)

### 9.1 Summary of distance violations (i)


The following table shows the summary of distance violations in different restraint categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved. Each category is further sub-divided into three sub-categories based on the atoms involved. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

Doodnointe tour	Count	<b>%</b> <sup>1</sup>	${f Violated^3}$			Consistently Violated <sup>4</sup>		
Restraints type	Count	70	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^{1}$	Count	$\%^2$	<b>%</b> ¹
Intra-residue ( i-j =0)	625	36.5	41	6.6	2.4	1	0.2	0.1
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	461	26.9	33	7.2	1.9	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	164	9.6	8	4.9	0.5	1	0.6	0.1
Sequential ( i-j =1)	424	24.8	68	16.0	4.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	75	4.4	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	262	15.3	40	15.3	2.3	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	87	5.1	28	32.2	1.6	0	0.0	0.0
Medium range ( $ i-j >1 &  i-j <5$ )	355	20.7	64	18.0	3.7	2	0.6	0.1
Backbone-Backbone	67	3.9	4	6.0	0.2	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	179	10.4	37	20.7	2.2	2	1.1	0.1
Sidechain-Sidechain	109	6.4	23	21.1	1.3	0	0.0	0.0
Long range ( $ i-j  \ge 5$ )	309	18.0	56	18.1	3.3	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	10	0.6	1	10.0	0.1	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	118	6.9	20	16.9	1.2	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	181	10.6	35	19.3	2.0	0	0.0	0.0
Inter-chain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Hydrogen bond	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Disulfide bond	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Total	1713	100.0	229	13.4	13.4	3	0.2	0.2
Backbone-Backbone	152	8.9	5	3.3	0.3	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	1020	59.5	130	12.7	7.6	2	0.2	0.1
Sidechain-Sidechain	541	31.6	94	17.4	5.5	1	0.2	0.1

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  percentage calculated with respect to the total number of distance restraints,  $^2$  percentage calculated with respect to the number of restraints in a particular restraint category,  $^3$  violated in at least one model,  $^4$  violated in all the models



#### 9.1.1 Bar chart: Distribution of distance restraints and violations (i)



Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories. The hydrogen bonds and disulfied bonds are counted in their appropriate category on the x-axis

### 9.2 Distance violation statistics for each model (i)

The following table provides the distance violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

MadalID		Nun	nber o	f viola	ations	5	M (8)	M (Å)	$SD^6$ (Å)	Madian (Å)
Model ID	$IR^1$	$SQ^2$	$MR^3$	$LR^4$	$IC^5$	Total	Mean (Å)	Max (Å)	$SD^*(A)$	Median (Å)
1	5	12	13	6	0	36	0.22	1.25	0.19	0.16
2	8	9	13	9	0	39	0.22	1.21	0.18	0.17
3	6	14	12	4	0	36	0.21	1.25	0.19	0.15
4	6	8	15	6	0	35	0.23	1.19	0.18	0.18
5	4	11	9	7	0	31	0.23	1.24	0.2	0.17
6	7	16	18	10	0	51	0.2	1.24	0.16	0.17
7	7	14	11	8	0	40	0.24	1.3	0.19	0.2
8	5	9	11	5	0	30	0.26	1.22	0.2	0.2
9	5	9	19	8	0	41	0.21	1.21	0.17	0.17
10	7	14	12	12	0	45	0.19	1.11	0.16	0.15
11	5	16	13	6	0	40	0.21	1.15	0.17	0.16

Continued on next page...




Continued from previous page...

Model ID	Number of violations						Mean (Å)	Max (Å)	$SD^6$ (Å)	Median (Å)
Model ID	$IR^1$	$SQ^2$	$ m MR^3$	$LR^4$	$IC^5$	Total	Mean (A)	Max (A)	$SD^*(A)$	Median (A)
12	6	10	14	4	0	34	0.23	1.3	0.22	0.18
13	5	9	10	9	0	33	0.23	1.25	0.21	0.16
14	4	16	18	9	0	47	0.22	1.29	0.17	0.18
15	9	11	14	10	0	44	0.22	1.29	0.18	0.18
16	6	15	13	5	0	39	0.23	1.09	0.16	0.19
17	4	16	18	8	0	46	0.19	0.49	0.09	0.16
18	8	12	12	5	0	37	0.19	0.61	0.1	0.17
19	8	13	13	11	0	45	0.2	1.23	0.17	0.15
20	9	12	11	9	0	41	0.23	0.64	0.12	0.2

 $<sup>^1</sup>$ Intra-residue restraints,  $^2$ Sequential restraints,  $^3$ Medium range restraints,  $^4$ Long range restraints,  $^5$ Inter-chain restraints,  $^6$ Standard deviation

#### 9.2.1 Bar graph: Distance Violation statistics for each model (i)



The mean(dot),median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right



#### 9.3 Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)


Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of the ensemble. In total, 1484(IR:584, SQ:356, MR:291, LR:253, IC:0) restraints are not violated in the ensemble.

Nu	mber	of vio	lated	restra	aints	Fraction	n of the ensemble
$IR^1$	$SQ^2$	$MR^3$	$LR^4$	$IC^5$	Total	Count <sup>6</sup>	%
13	32	26	30	0	101	1	5.0
14	11	16	11	0	52	2	10.0
5	8	5	5	0	23	3	15.0
2	2	1	2	0	7	4	20.0
2	2	1	1	0	6	5	25.0
2	3	2	0	0	7	6	30.0
1	1	1	3	0	6	7	35.0
0	2	1	1	0	4	8	40.0
0	0	2	0	0	2	9	45.0
0	1	1	1	0	3	10	50.0
1	1	1	0	0	3	11	55.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	12	60.0
0	1	1	1	0	3	13	65.0
0	0	1	0	0	1	14	70.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	15	75.0
0	0	1	0	0	1	16	80.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	17	85.0
0	1	0	0	0	1	18	90.0
0	3	2	1	0	6	19	95.0
1	0	2	0	0	3	20	100.0

 $<sup>^1</sup>$ Intra-residue restraints,  $^2$ Sequential restraints,  $^3$ Medium range restraints,  $^4$ Long range restraints,  $^5$ Inter-chain restraints,  $^6$  Number of models with violations



#### 9.3.1 Bar graph: Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)




### 9.4 Most violated distance restraints in the ensemble (i)

### 9.4.1 Histogram: Distribution of mean distance violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models in the ensemble





#### 9.4.2 Table: Most violated distance restraints (i)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by number of violated models and the mean violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	$\mathbf{Models}^1$	Mean (Å)	$SD^1$ (Å)	Median (Å)
(1,1264)	2:A:101:4EM:H44	2:A:101:4EM:H49	20	1.09	0.32	1.23
(1,1050)	1:A:60:ILE:HD11	1:A:64:HIS:HA	20	0.29	0.08	0.3
(1,1050)	1:A:60:ILE:HD12	1:A:64:HIS:HA	20	0.29	0.08	0.3
(1,1050)	1:A:60:ILE:HD13	1:A:64:HIS:HA	20	0.29	0.08	0.3
(1,586)	1:A:15:MET:HA	1:A:19:ILE:HG13	20	0.23	0.08	0.2
(1,1507)	1:A:37:TRP:H	1:A:40:LEU:HD11	19	0.46	0.11	0.46
(1,1507)	1:A:37:TRP:H	1:A:40:LEU:HD12	19	0.46	0.11	0.46
(1,1507)	1:A:37:TRP:H	1:A:40:LEU:HD13	19	0.46	0.11	0.46
(1,1507)	1:A:37:TRP:H	1:A:40:LEU:HD21	19	0.46	0.11	0.46
(1,1507)	1:A:37:TRP:H	1:A:40:LEU:HD22	19	0.46	0.11	0.46
(1,1507)	1:A:37:TRP:H	1:A:40:LEU:HD23	19	0.46	0.11	0.46
(1,1510)	1:A:39:ILE:H	1:A:40:LEU:HD11	19	0.27	0.06	0.28
(1,1510)	1:A:39:ILE:H	1:A:40:LEU:HD12	19	0.27	0.06	0.28
(1,1510)	1:A:39:ILE:H	1:A:40:LEU:HD13	19	0.27	0.06	0.28
(1,1510)	1:A:39:ILE:H	1:A:40:LEU:HD21	19	0.27	0.06	0.28
(1,1510)	1:A:39:ILE:H	1:A:40:LEU:HD22	19	0.27	0.06	0.28

Continued on next page...



Continued from previous page...

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	$\mathbf{Models}^1$	Mean (Å)	$SD^1$ (Å)	Median (Å)
(1,1510)	1:A:39:ILE:H	1:A:40:LEU:HD23	19	0.27	0.06	0.28
(1,959)	1:A:51:TYR:HB2	1:A:52:ILE:HD11	19	0.26	0.04	0.26
(1,959)	1:A:51:TYR:HB2	1:A:52:ILE:HD12	19	0.26	0.04	0.26
(1,959)	1:A:51:TYR:HB2	1:A:52:ILE:HD13	19	0.26	0.04	0.26
(1,1402)	1:A:18:LEU:HD11	1:A:51:TYR:HE1	19	0.23	0.06	0.23
(1,1402)	1:A:18:LEU:HD11	1:A:51:TYR:HE2	19	0.23	0.06	0.23
(1,1402)	1:A:18:LEU:HD12	1:A:51:TYR:HE1	19	0.23	0.06	0.23
(1,1402)	1:A:18:LEU:HD12	1:A:51:TYR:HE2	19	0.23	0.06	0.23
(1,1402)	1:A:18:LEU:HD13	1:A:51:TYR:HE1	19	0.23	0.06	0.23
(1,1402)	1:A:18:LEU:HD13	1:A:51:TYR:HE2	19	0.23	0.06	0.23
(1,1402)	1:A:18:LEU:HD21	1:A:51:TYR:HE1	19	0.23	0.06	0.23
(1,1402)	1:A:18:LEU:HD21	1:A:51:TYR:HE2	19	0.23	0.06	0.23
(1,1402)	1:A:18:LEU:HD22	1:A:51:TYR:HE1	19	0.23	0.06	0.23
(1,1402)	1:A:18:LEU:HD22	1:A:51:TYR:HE2	19	0.23	0.06	0.23
(1,1402)	1:A:18:LEU:HD23	1:A:51:TYR:HE1	19	0.23	0.06	0.23
(1,1402)	1:A:18:LEU:HD23	1:A:51:TYR:HE2	19	0.23	0.06	0.23
(1,1098)	1:A:65:ILE:HD11	1:A:66:THR:HA	19	0.19	0.05	0.19
(1,1098)	1:A:65:ILE:HD12	1:A:66:THR:HA	19	0.19	0.05	0.19
(1,1098)	1:A:65:ILE:HD13	1:A:66:THR:HA	19	0.19	0.05	0.19
(1,1184)	1:A:76:ILE:HA	1:A:80:VAL:HG21	19	0.17	0.05	0.17
(1,1184)	1:A:76:ILE:HA	1:A:80:VAL:HG22	19	0.17	0.05	0.17
(1,1184)	1:A:76:ILE:HA	1:A:80:VAL:HG23	19	0.17	0.05	0.17
(1,1158)	1:A:29:ASP:HB3	1:A:30:ASP:HA	18	0.21	0.06	0.2


<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Number of violated models, <sup>2</sup>Standard deviation

### 9.5 All violated distance restraints (i)

### 9.5.1 Histogram : Distribution of distance violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.





#### 9.5.2 Table: All distance violations (i)

The following table provides the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by the violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(1,1264)	2:A:101:4EM:H44	2:A:101:4EM:H49	7	1.3
(1,1264)	2:A:101:4EM:H44	2:A:101:4EM:H49	12	1.3
(1,1264)	2:A:101:4EM:H44	2:A:101:4EM:H49	14	1.29
(1,1264)	2:A:101:4EM:H44	2:A:101:4EM:H49	15	1.29
(1,1264)	2:A:101:4EM:H44	2:A:101:4EM:H49	1	1.25
(1,1264)	2:A:101:4EM:H44	2:A:101:4EM:H49	3	1.25
(1,1264)	2:A:101:4EM:H44	2:A:101:4EM:H49	13	1.25
(1,1264)	2:A:101:4EM:H44	2:A:101:4EM:H49	5	1.24
(1,1264)	2:A:101:4EM:H44	2:A:101:4EM:H49	6	1.24
(1,1264)	2:A:101:4EM:H44	2:A:101:4EM:H49	19	1.23



# 10 Dihedral-angle violation analysis (i)

No dihedral-angle restraints found

