

Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report (i)

May 29, 2020 – 12:06 am BST

PDB ID	:	2N08
Title	:	NMR structure of a short hydrophobic 11mer peptide in 25 mM SDS solution
Authors	:	Hoang, H.N.; Song, K.; Hill, T.A.; Derksen, D.R.; Edmonds, D.J.; Kok,
		W.M.; Limberakis, C.; Liras, S.; Loria, P.M.; Mascitti, V.; Mathiowetz, A.M.;
		Mitchell, J.M.; Piotrowski, D.W.; Price, D.A.; Stanton, R.V.; Suen, J.Y.;
		Withka, J.M.; Griffith, D.A.; Fairlie, D.P.
Deposited on	:	2015-03-04

This is a Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at *validation@mail.wwpdb.org* A user guide is available at https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp with specific help available everywhere you see the (i) symbol.

The following versions of software and data (see references (1)) were used in the production of this report:


Cyrange	:	Kirchner and Güntert (2011)
$\operatorname{NmrClust}$:	Kelley et al. (1996)
$\operatorname{MolProbity}$:	4.02b-467
Percentile statistics	:	20191225.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 25th 2019)
RCI	:	v_1n_11_5_13_A (Berjanski et al., 2005)
PANAV	:	Wang et al. (2010)
${ m ShiftChecker}$:	2.11
Ideal geometry (proteins)	:	Engh & Huber (2001)
Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA)	:	Parkinson et al. (1996)
Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP)	:	2.11

1 Overall quality at a glance (i)

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure: $SOLUTION \ NMR$

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 15%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for >=3, 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions <=5%

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain
1	А	12	100%



2 Ensemble composition and analysis (i)

This entry contains 20 models.

Cyrange was unable to find well-defined residues.

Error message: Only domains with < 8 residues could be identified.

NmrClust was unable to cluster the ensemble.

Error message: Wrapper check: not enough residues in core to run NmrClust



3 Entry composition (i)

There is only 1 type of molecule in this entry. The entry contains 166 atoms, of which 76 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

• Molecule 1 is a protein called Short hydrophobic peptide with cyclic constraints.

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms				Trace	
1	Λ	19	Total	С	Η	Ν	Ο	1
	A	12	166	58	76	14	18	1



4 Residue-property plots (i)

4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA and DNA chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.

• Molecule 1: Short hydrophobic peptide with cyclic constraints

Chain A:

100%

There are no outlier residues in this chain.

4.2 Scores per residue for each member of the ensemble

92%

Colouring as in section 4.1 above.

4.2.1 Score per residue for model 1

• Molecule 1: Short hydrophobic peptide with cyclic constraints

Chain A:

8%



4.2.2 Score per residue for model 2

• Molecule 1: Short hydrophobic peptide with cyclic constraints

Chain A:

100%

There are no outlier residues in this chain.

4.2.3 Score per residue for model 3

• Molecule 1: Short hydrophobic peptide with cyclic constraints



100%

Chain A:

There are no outlier residues in this chain.

4.2.4 Score per residue for model 4

• Molecule 1: Short hydrophobic peptide with cyclic constraints

Chain A: 75% 25%

4.2.5 Score per residue for model 5

• Molecule 1: Short hydrophobic peptide with cyclic constraints

Chain A:	92%	8%

4.2.6 Score per residue for model 6

• Molecule 1: Short hydrophobic peptide with cyclic constraints

Chain A: 83% 17%

4.2.7 Score per residue for model 7

• Molecule 1: Short hydrophobic peptide with cyclic constraints

Chain A: 75% 25%

4.2.8 Score per residue for model 8

• Molecule 1: Short hydrophobic peptide with cyclic constraints



Chain A:

33%



4.2.9 Score per residue for model 9

• Molecule 1: Short hydrophobic peptide with cyclic constraints

67%

Chain A:

100%

There are no outlier residues in this chain.

4.2.10 Score per residue for model 10

• Molecule 1: Short hydrophobic peptide with cyclic constraints

Chain A:

100%

There are no outlier residues in this chain.

4.2.11 Score per residue for model 11

• Molecule 1: Short hydrophobic peptide with cyclic constraints

Chain A:

100%

There are no outlier residues in this chain.

4.2.12 Score per residue for model 12

• Molecule 1: Short hydrophobic peptide with cyclic constraints

Chain A: 92% 8%

4.2.13 Score per residue for model 13

• Molecule 1: Short hydrophobic peptide with cyclic constraints

Chain A:


100%

There are no outlier residues in this chain.



4.2.14 Score per residue for model 14

• Molecule 1: Short hydrophobic peptide with cyclic constraints



4.2.15 Score per residue for model 15

• Molecule 1: Short hydrophobic peptide with cyclic constraints

Chain A: 100%

There are no outlier residues in this chain.


4.2.16 Score per residue for model 16

• Molecule 1: Short hydrophobic peptide with cyclic constraints




4.2.17 Score per residue for model 17

• Molecule 1: Short hydrophobic peptide with cyclic constraints



- 4.2.18 Score per residue for model 18
- Molecule 1: Short hydrophobic peptide with cyclic constraints





4.2.19 Score per residue for model 19

• Molecule 1: Short hydrophobic peptide with cyclic constraints

Chain A:

100%

There are no outlier residues in this chain.

4.2.20 Score per residue for model 20

• Molecule 1: Short hydrophobic peptide with cyclic constraints

Chain A:

100%

There are no outlier residues in this chain.



5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview (i)

The models were refined using the following method: *simulated annealing*.

Of the 50 calculated structures, 20 were deposited, based on the following criterion: *structures with the lowest energy*.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
xplor	structure solution	
xplor	refinement	

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	input_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	1
Total number of shifts	20
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	20
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	15%

No validations of the models with respect to experimental NMR restraints is performed at this time.



6 Model quality (i)

6.1 Standard geometry (i)

Bond lengths and bond angles in the following residue types are not validated in this section: NH2

There are no covalent bond-length or bond-angle outliers.

There are no bond-length outliers.

There are no bond-angle outliers.

There are no chirality outliers.

There are no planarity outliers.

6.2 Too-close contacts (i)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	А	90	76	73	0 ± 1
All	All	1800	1520	1460	9

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 3.

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Moo	lels
Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(A)	Distance(A)	Worst	Total
1:A:2:ALA:O	1:A:5:THR:HG22	0.64	1.92	18	1
1:A:7:THR:HA	1:A:10:PHE:CZ	0.58	2.34	8	3
1:A:1:HIS:O	1:A:5:THR:HG23	0.46	2.10	6	1
1:A:1:HIS:O	1:A:5:THR:OG1	0.42	2.26	4	1
1:A:10:PHE:CD1	1:A:11:PHE:N	0.42	2.87	7	1
1:A:1:HIS:CD2	1:A:4:GLY:HA3	0.42	2.50	16	1
1:A:7:THR:HA	1:A:10:PHE:CE2	0.40	2.51	17	1

All unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.



6.3 Torsion angles (i)

6.3.1 Protein backbone (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	Percentiles
1	А	10/12~(83%)	$9\pm1~(88\pm10\%)$	$1\pm1~(11\pm9\%)$	0±0 (2±4%)	14 59
All	All	200/240~(83%)	175~(88%)	22~(11%)	3(2%)	14 59

All 2 unique Ramachandran outliers are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	\mathbf{Res}	Type	Models (Total)
1	А	11	PHE	2
1	А	2	ALA	1

6.3.2 Protein sidechains (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Rotameric	Outliers	Percentiles
1	А	9/9~(100%)	$9{\pm}1 (97{\pm}6\%)$	$0\pm1~(3\pm6\%)$	46 90
All	All	180/180~(100%)	175~(97%)	5(3%)	46 90

All 5 unique residues with a non-rotameric sidechain are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	А	3	GLU	1
1	А	11	PHE	1
1	А	1	HIS	1
1	А	10	PHE	1
1	А	6	PHE	1



6.3.3 RNA (i)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains (i)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

6.5 Carbohydrates (i)

There are no carbohydrates in this entry.

6.6 Ligand geometry (i)

There are no ligands in this entry.

6.7 Other polymers (i)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

6.8 Polymer linkage issues (i)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.



7 Chemical shift validation (i)

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 15% for the well-defined parts and 15% for the entire structure.

7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: input_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: assigned_chem_shift_list_1

7.1.1 Bookkeeping (i)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	20
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	0

7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing (i)

No chemical shift referencing corrections were calculated (not enough data).

7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments (i)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 15%, i.e. 19 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 126. 0 out of 0 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^{1}\mathbf{H}$	$^{13}\mathrm{C}$	$^{15}\mathbf{N}$
Backbone	19/55~(35%)	19/22~(86%)	0/22~(0%)	0/11~(0%)
Sidechain	0/36~(0%)	0/21~(0%)	0/15~(0%)	0/0 (-%)
Aromatic	0/35~(0%)	0/19~(0%)	0/14~(0%)	0/2~(0%)
Overall	19/126~(15%)	19/62~(31%)	0/51~(0%)	0/13~(0%)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the full structure. The overall completeness is 15%, i.e. 19 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 126. 0 out of 0 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.



	Total	$^{1}\mathbf{H}$	$^{13}\mathrm{C}$	$^{15}\mathbf{N}$
Backbone	19/55~(35%)	19/22~(86%)	0/22~(0%)	0/11~(0%)
Sidechain	0/36~(0%)	0/21~(0%)	0/15~(0%)	$0/0 \ (-\%)$
Aromatic	0/35~(0%)	0/19~(0%)	0/14~(0%)	0/2~(0%)
Overall	19/126~(15%)	19/62~(31%)	0/51~(0%)	0/13~(0%)

7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts (i)

There are no statistically unusual chemical shifts.

7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots (i)

The image below reports *random coil index* values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain A:



