

# Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report (i)

### Jun 3, 2023 – 05:38 AM EDT

PDB ID	:	5KX2
BMRB ID	:	30146
Title	:	NMR Solution Structure of Designed Peptide NC_cEE_D1
Authors	:	Harvey, P.J.; Craik, D.J.
Deposited on	:	2016-07-19

This is a Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at *validation@mail.wwpdb.org* A user guide is available at https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp with specific help available everywhere you see the (i) symbol.

The types of validation reports are described at http://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/FAQs#types.

The following versions of software and data (see references (1)) were used in the production of this report:

MolProbity	:	4.02b-467
Percentile statistics	:	20191225.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 25th 2019)
wwPDB-RCI	:	v_1n_11_5_13_A (Berjanski et al., 2005)
PANAV	:	Wang et al. $(2010)$
wwPDB-ShiftChecker	:	v1.2
BMRB Restraints Analysis	:	v1.2
Ideal geometry (proteins)	:	Engh & Huber (2001)
Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA)	:	Parkinson et al. (1996)
Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP)	:	2.33

# 1 Overall quality at a glance (i)

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure:  $SOLUTION\ NMR$ 

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 80%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.

Metric	;	Percentile Ranks	Value
Clashscore			0
Ramachandran outliers			0
Sidechain outliers			0
	Worse		Better
	Percentile relative to	all structures	
	Percentile relative to	all NMR structures	
		<b>XX</b> 71 1 1 •	

Metric	$egin{array}{c} Whole \ { m archive} \ (\#{ m Entries}) \end{array}$	${f NMR}  { m archive} \ (\#{ m Entries})$		
Clashscore	158937	12864		
Ramachandran outliers	154571	11451		
Sidechain outliers	154315	11428		

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for >=3, 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions <=5%

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain				
1	Δ	18	909/	110/			
1	A	18	89%	119			



# 2 Ensemble composition and analysis (i)

This entry contains 20 models. Model 15 is the overall representative, medoid model (most similar to other models). The authors have identified model 1 as representative, based on the following criterion: *lowest energy*.

The following residues are included in the computation of the global validation metrics.

Well-defined (core) protein residues						
Well-defined coreResidue range (total)Backbone RMSD (Å)Medoid model						
1	A:1-A:8, A:10-A:17 (16)	0.49	15			

Ill-defined regions of proteins are excluded from the global statistics.

Ligands and non-protein polymers are included in the analysis.

The models can be grouped into 6 clusters and 5 single-model clusters were found.

Cluster number	Models
1	5, 8, 9, 15, 20
2	3, 11
3	4, 14
4	2, 13
5	1, 17
6	7, 12
Single-model clusters	6; 10; 16; 18; 19



# 3 Entry composition (i)

There is only 1 type of molecule in this entry. The entry contains 297 atoms, of which 153 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

• Molecule 1 is a protein called Designed peptide NC\_cEE\_D1.

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms			Trace			
1	٨	18	Total	С	Н	Ν	0	S	0
	A	10	297	93	153	28	21	2	0




# 4 Residue-property plots (i)

# 4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA, DNA and oligosaccharide chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.

• Molecule 1: Designed peptide NC\_cEE\_D1



## 4.2 Scores per residue for each member of the ensemble

Colouring as in section 4.1 above.

### 4.2.1 Score per residue for model 1

• Molecule 1: Designed peptide NC\_cEE\_D1

Chain A: 89% 11%

### 4.2.2 Score per residue for model 2

• Molecule 1: Designed peptide NC\_cEE\_D1

Chain A: 78% 11%





11%

#### 4.2.3 Score per residue for model 3

• Molecule 1: Designed peptide NC\_cEE\_D1

Chain A: 78% 11% 11%

### 4.2.4 Score per residue for model 4

• Molecule 1: Designed peptide NC\_cEE\_D1

Chain A: 89% 11%

### 4.2.5 Score per residue for model 5

 $\bullet$  Molecule 1: Designed peptide NC\_cEE\_D1

Chain A: 83% 6% 11%


### 4.2.6 Score per residue for model 6

 $\bullet$  Molecule 1: Designed peptide NC\_cEE\_D1

Chain A: 89% 11%

### 4.2.7 Score per residue for model 7

 $\bullet$  Molecule 1: Designed peptide NC\_cEE\_D1






### 4.2.8 Score per residue for model 8

• Molecule 1: Designed peptide NC\_cEE\_D1

Chain A: 89% 11%



• Molecule 1: Designed peptide NC\_cEE\_D1

Chain A: 89% 11%

### 4.2.10 Score per residue for model 10

• Molecule 1: Designed peptide NC\_cEE\_D1

Chain A: 89% 11%


### 4.2.11 Score per residue for model 11

 $\bullet$  Molecule 1: Designed peptide NC\_cEE\_D1

Chain A: 89% 11%

#### P1 P9 P18

- 4.2.12 Score per residue for model 12
- $\bullet$  Molecule 1: Designed peptide NC\_cEE\_D1






#### 4.2.13 Score per residue for model 13

• Molecule 1: Designed peptide NC\_cEE\_D1

Chain A: 89% 11%



• Molecule 1: Designed peptide NC\_cEE\_D1

Chain A: 89% 11%

### 4.2.15 Score per residue for model 15 (medoid)

 $\bullet$  Molecule 1: Designed peptide NC\_cEE\_D1

Chain A: 89% 11%


### 4.2.16 Score per residue for model 16

 $\bullet$  Molecule 1: Designed peptide NC\_cEE\_D1

Chain A: 89% 11%

#### P1 P9 P18

- 4.2.17 Score per residue for model 17
- $\bullet$  Molecule 1: Designed peptide NC\_cEE\_D1





### 4.2.18 Score per residue for model 18

• Molecule 1: Designed peptide NC\_cEE\_D1

Chain A: 89% 11%

4.2.19 Score per residue for model 19

• Molecule 1: Designed peptide NC\_cEE\_D1

Chain A: 89% 11% 4.2.20 Score per residue for model 20

- 4.2.20 Score per residue for model 20
- $\bullet$  Molecule 1: Designed peptide NC\_cEE\_D1

Chain A: 89% 11%



# 5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview (i)

The models were refined using the following method: torsion angle dynamics.

Of the 100 calculated structures, 20 were deposited, based on the following criterion: *structures with the lowest energy*.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
CYANA	structure calculation	
CNS	refinement	

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	working_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	1
Total number of shifts	214
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	214
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	80%



# 6 Model quality (i)

# 6.1 Standard geometry (i)

Bond lengths and bond angles in the following residue types are not validated in this section: DPR

There are no covalent bond-length or bond-angle outliers.

Chiral center outliers are detected by calculating the chiral volume of a chiral center and verifying if the center is modelled as a planar moiety or with the opposite hand. A planarity outlier is detected by checking planarity of atoms in a peptide group, atoms in a mainchain group or atoms of a sidechain that are expected to be planar.

Mol	Chain Chirality		Planarity	
1	А	$0.0{\pm}0.0$	$0.1{\pm}0.2$	
All	All	0	1	

There are no bond-length outliers.

There are no bond-angle outliers.

There are no chirality outliers.

All unique planar outliers are listed below.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Group	Models (Total)
1	А	7	ARG	Sidechain	1

# 6.2 Too-close contacts (i)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain Non-H		H(model)	H(added)	Clashes	
1	А	130	139	139	$0\pm 0$	
All	All	2600	2780	2780	2	

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 0.

All unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.



Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models		
Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(A)	Distance(A)	Worst	Total	
1:A:4:TRP:CD1	1:A:17:ARG:HD3	0.44	2.47	2	1	
1:A:5:CYS:HA	1:A:13:ARG:O	0.42	2.15	3	1	

### 6.3 Torsion angles (i)

### 6.3.1 Protein backbone (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	hain Analysed Favoured Allowed		Allowed	Outliers	Percentiles		
1	А	15/18~(83%)	$14\pm1 (97\pm4\%)$	$0\pm1~(3\pm4\%)$	0±0 (0±0%)	100	100	
All	All	300/360~(83%)	290 (97%)	10 (3%)	0  (0%)	100	100	

There are no Ramachandran outliers.

### 6.3.2 Protein sidechains (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed Rotameric		Outliers	Percentiles		
1	А	16/16~(100%)	$16\pm0~(100\pm0\%)$	0±0 (0±0%)	100	100	
All	All	320/320~(100%)	320 (100%)	0 (0%)	100	100	

There are no protein residues with a non-rotameric sidechain to report.

### 6.3.3 RNA (i)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

## 6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains (i)

2 non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues are modelled in this entry.



There are no bond-length outliers. There are no bond-angle outliers. There are no chirality outliers. There are no torsion outliers. There are no ring outliers.

## 6.5 Carbohydrates (i)

There are no monosaccharides in this entry.

# 6.6 Ligand geometry (i)

There are no ligands in this entry.

# 6.7 Other polymers (i)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

### 6.8 Polymer linkage issues (i)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.



# 7 Chemical shift validation (i)

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 80% for the well-defined parts and 80% for the entire structure.

# 7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: working\_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: des20.shifts

### 7.1.1 Bookkeeping (i)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	214
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	214
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	0

### 7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing (i)

No chemical shift referencing corrections were calculated (not enough data).

### 7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments (i)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 80%, i.e. 193 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 240. 0 out of 4 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^{1}\mathrm{H}$	$^{13}\mathbf{C}$	$^{15}\mathbf{N}$
Backbone	60/76~(79%)	30/30~(100%)	16/32~(50%)	14/14~(100%)
Sidechain	127/152~(84%)	88/100~(88%)	39/43~(91%)	0/9~(0%)
Aromatic	6/12~(50%)	6/6~(100%)	0/5~(0%)	0/1~(0%)
Overall	193/240~(80%)	124/136~(91%)	55/80~(69%)	14/24~(58%)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the full structure. The overall completeness is 80%, i.e. 193 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 240. 0 out of 4 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.



	Total	$^{1}\mathrm{H}$	$^{13}\mathrm{C}$	$^{15}\mathbf{N}$
Backbone	60/76~(79%)	30/30~(100%)	16/32~(50%)	14/14~(100%)
Sidechain	127/152~(84%)	88/100~(88%)	39/43~(91%)	0/9~(0%)
Aromatic	6/12~(50%)	6/6~(100%)	0/5~(0%)	0/1~(0%)
Overall	193/240~(80%)	124/136~(91%)	55/80~(69%)	14/24~(58%)


### 7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts (i)

There are no statistically unusual chemical shifts.

### 7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots (i)

The image below reports *random coil index* values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition. If well-defined core and ill-defined regions are not identified then it is shown as gray bars.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain A:





# 8 NMR restraints analysis (i)

# 8.1 Conformationally restricting restraints (i)

The following table provides the summary of experimentally observed NMR restraints in different categories. Restraints are classified into different categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved.

Description	Value
Total distance restraints	135
Intra-residue ( i-j =0)	59
Sequential ( i-j =1)	46
Medium range ( $ i-j >1$ and $ i-j <5$ )	0
Long range $( i-j  \ge 5)$	14
Inter-chain	0
Hydrogen bond restraints	16
Disulfide bond restraints	0
Total dihedral-angle restraints	31
Number of unmapped restraints	0
Number of restraints per residue	9.2
Number of long range restraints per residue <sup>1</sup>	1.4

<sup>1</sup>Long range hydrogen bonds and disulfide bonds are counted as long range restraints while calculating the number of long range restraints per residue

# 8.2 Residual restraint violations (i)

This section provides the overview of the restraint violations analysis. The violations are binned as small, medium and large violations based on its absolute value. Average number of violations per model is calculated by dividing the total number of violations in each bin by the size of the ensemble.

### 8.2.1 Average number of distance violations per model (i)

Distance violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the calculation.

Bins (Å)	Average number of violations per model	Max (Å)
0.1-0.2 (Small)	0.1	0.12
0.2-0.5 (Medium)	0.1	0.46
>0.5 (Large)	None	None



### 8.2.2 Average number of dihedral-angle violations per model (i)

Dihedral-angle violations less than  $1^\circ$  are not included in the calculation.

Bins $(^{\circ})$	Average number of violations per model	Max ( $^{\circ}$ )
1.0-10.0 (Small)	0.1	1.2
10.0-20.0 (Medium)	None	None
>20.0 (Large)	None	None



# 9 Distance violation analysis (i)


# 9.1 Summary of distance violations (i)

The following table shows the summary of distance violations in different restraint categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved. Each category is further sub-divided into three sub-categories based on the atoms involved. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

Destroints type	Count	$\%^1$	$Violated^3$			Consis	tentl	y Violated <sup>4</sup>
Restraints type	Count	70-	Count	$\%^2$	$ \%^1$	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$
Intra-residue ( i-j =0)	59	43.7	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	53	39.3	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	6	4.4	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sequential ( i-j =1)	46	34.1	2	4.3	1.5	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	12	8.9	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	32	23.7	1	3.1	0.7	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	2	1.5	1	50.0	0.7	0	0.0	0.0
Medium range ( $ i-j  > 1 \&  i-j  < 5$ )	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Long range $( i-j  \ge 5)$	14	10.4	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	4	3.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	8	5.9	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	2	1.5	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Inter-chain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Hydrogen bond	16	11.9	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Disulfide bond	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Total	135	100.0	2	1.5	1.5	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	32	23.7	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	93	68.9	1	1.1	0.7	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	10	7.4	1	10.0	0.7	0	0.0	0.0

 $^1$  percentage calculated with respect to the total number of distance restraints,  $^2$  percentage calculated with respect to the number of restraints in a particular restraint category,  $^3$  violated in at least one model,  $^4$  violated in all the models





### 9.1.1 Bar chart : Distribution of distance restraints and violations (i)

Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories. The hydrogen bonds and disulfied bonds are counted in their appropriate category on the x-axis

## 9.2 Distance violation statistics for each model (i)

The following table provides the distance violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

Model ID		Nun	nber o	f viola	ations	5	Maan (Å)	Max (Å)	$SD^6$ (Å)	Median (Å)
Model ID	$\mathrm{IR}^{1}$	$SQ^2$	$MR^3$	$LR^4$	$IC^5$	Total	Mean (Å)	Max (A)	$SD^{*}(A)$	Median (A)
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
8	0	1	0	0	0	1	0.46	0.46	0.0	0.46
9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Continued on next page...




	Number of violations									
Model ID	$\mathrm{IR}^1$	$SQ^2$	$MR^3$	$\mathbb{R}^3 \mid \mathrm{LR}^4 \mid \mathrm{IC}^5 \mid \mathrm{Total} \mid \mathbf{Mean} \ (\mathbf{A})$		Mean (A)	Max (Å)	$SD^{6}$ (Å)	Median (Å)	
12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
20	0	1	0	0	0	1	0.12	0.12	0.0	0.12

Continued from previous page...

 $^1$ Intra-residue restraints,  $^2$ Sequential restraints,  $^3$ Medium range restraints,  $^4$ Long range restraints,  $^5$ Inter-chain restraints,  $^6$ Standard deviation





The mean(dot), median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the  $\mathbf y$  axis on the right




## 9.3 Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)

Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of the ensemble. In total, 117(IR:59, SQ:44, MR:0, LR:14, IC:0) restraints are not violated in the ensemble.

Nu		of vio	lated	Fractio	n of the ensemble		
$IR^1$	$SQ^2$	$MR^3$	LR <sup>4</sup>	IC <sup>5</sup>	Total	$\operatorname{Count}^6$	%
0	2	0	0	0	2	1	5.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	2	10.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	3	15.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	4	20.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	5	25.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	6	30.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	7	35.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	8	40.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	9	45.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	10	50.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	11	55.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	12	60.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	13	65.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	14	70.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	15	75.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	16	80.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	17	85.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	18	90.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	19	95.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	20	100.0

 $^{1}$ Intra-residue restraints,  $^{2}$ Sequential restraints,  $^{3}$ Medium range restraints,  $^{4}$ Long range restraints,  $^{5}$ Inter-chain restraints,  $^{6}$  Number of models with violations





### 9.3.1 Bar graph : Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)

## 9.4 Most violated distance restraints in the ensemble (i)

No violations found

## 9.5 All violated distance restraints (i)

### 9.5.1 Histogram : Distribution of distance violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.

Data insufficient to plot histogram

### 9.5.2 Table : All distance violations (i)

The following table lists the absolute value of the violation for each restraint in the ensemble sorted by its value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)	
(1,111)	1:A:8:ILE:HG21	1:A:9:DPR:HD3	8	0.46	

Continued on next page...



Key	Atom-1	Atom-1 Atom-2		Violation (Å)	
(1,111)	1:A:8:ILE:HG22	1:A:9:DPR:HD3	8	0.46	
(1,111)	1:A:8:ILE:HG23	1:A:9:DPR:HD3	8	0.46	
(1,36)	1:A:11:THR:HB	1:A:12:VAL:H	20	0.12	

Continued from previous page...



# 10 Dihedral-angle violation analysis (i)


# 10.1 Summary of dihedral-angle violations (i)

The following table provides the summary of dihedral-angle violations in different dihedral-angle types. Violations less than  $1^{\circ}$  are not included in the calculation.

Angle type	Count	$\%^1$	Vic	$\mathbf{olated}^3$		Consistently Violated <sup>4</sup>		
Angle type	Count	70	Count	$5 \mid \%^2 \mid \%^1 \mid \text{Count}$			$\%^2$	$\%^1$
CHI1	3	9.7	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
PHI	14	45.2	2	14.3	6.5	0	0.0	0.0
PSI	14	45.2	1	7.1	3.2	0	0.0	0.0
Total	31	100.0	3	9.7	9.7	0	0.0	0.0

 $^1$  percentage calculated with respect to total number of dihedral-angle restraints,  $^2$  percentage calculated with respect to number of restraints in a particular dihedral-angle type,  $^3$  violated in at least one model,  $^4$  violated in all the models

### 10.1.1 Bar chart : Distribution of dihedral-angles and violations (i)



Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories




# 10.2 Dihedral-angle violation statistics for each model (i)

The following table provides the dihedral-angle violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than  $1^{\circ}$  are not included in the statistics.

Model ID	Num	ber of	viola	tions	Mean (°)	Max (°)	SD (°)	Median (°)
Model ID	CHI1	PHI	PSI	Total	Mean ()			Median ()
1	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
3	0	1	0	1	1.1	1.1	0.0	1.1
4	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
5	0	1	0	1	1.1	1.1	0.0	1.1
6	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
7	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
8	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
9	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
10	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
11	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
12	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
13	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
14	0	0	1	1	1.2	1.2	0.0	1.2
15	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
16	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
17	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
18	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
19	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
20	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0



### 10.2.1 Bar graph : Dihedral violation statistics for each model (i)



The mean(dot), median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right

### 10.3 Dihedral-angle violation statistics for the ensemble (i)

Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in very few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of ensemble.

Numb	oer of	viola	ted restraints	Fractio	n of the ensemble
CHI1	PHI	PSI	Total	$\operatorname{Count}^1$	%
0	2	1	3	1	5.0
0	0	0	0	2	10.0
0	0	0	0	3	15.0
0	0	0	0	4	20.0
0	0	0	0	5	25.0
0	0	0	0	6	30.0
0	0	0	0	7	35.0
0	0	0	0	8	40.0
0	0	0	0	9	45.0
0	0	0	0	10	50.0
0	0	0	0	11	55.0

Continued on next page...



Continued from prettods page									
Numb	per of	viola	ted restraints	Fraction of the ensemble					
CHI1	PHI	PSI	Total	$\operatorname{Count}^1$	%				
0	0	0	0	12	60.0				
0	0	0	0	13	65.0				
0	0	0	0	14	70.0				
0	0	0	0	15	75.0				
0	0	0	0	16	80.0				
0	0	0	0	17	85.0				
0	0	0	0	18	90.0				
0	0	0	0	19	95.0				
0	0	0	0	20	100.0				


Continued from previous page...

 $^{1}$  Number of models with violations



CHI1

PHI PSI



# 10.4 Most violated dihedral-angle restraints in the ensemble (i)

No violations found



### 10.5 All violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

### 10.5.1 Histogram : Distribution of violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.

Data insufficient to plot histogram

#### 10.5.2 Table: All violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

The following table lists the absolute value of the violation for each restraint in the ensemble sorted by its value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Atom-3	Atom-4	Model ID	Violation ( $^{\circ}$ )
(1,14)	1:A:8:ILE:N	1:A:8:ILE:CA	1:A:8:ILE:C	1:A:9:DPR:N	14	1.2
(1,9)	1:A:5:CYS:C	1:A:6:VAL:N	1:A:6:VAL:CA	1:A:6:VAL:C	3	1.1
(1,23)	1:A:14:CYS:C	1:A:15:THR:N	1:A:15:THR:CA	1:A:15:THR:C	5	1.1

