

# Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report (i)

### May 29, 2020 - 07:30 am BST

PDB ID	:	5KJG
$\operatorname{Title}$	:	Connexin 26 G12R mutant NMR structure
Authors	:	Dowd, T.L.; Bargiello, T.A.
Deposited on	:	2016-06-19

This is a Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at validation@mail.wwpdb.org A user guide is available at https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp with specific help available everywhere you see the (i) symbol.

The following versions of software and data (see references (1)) were used in the production of this report:


Cyrange	:	Kirchner and Güntert (2011)
$\operatorname{NmrClust}$	:	Kelley et al. (1996)
MolProbity	:	4.02b-467
Mogul	:	1.8.5 (274361),  CSD as541be (2020)
Percentile statistics	:	20191225.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December $25$ th $2019$ )
$\operatorname{RCI}$	:	v_1n_11_5_13_A (Berjanski et al., 2005)
PANAV	:	Wang et al. $(2010)$
${ m ShiftChecker}$	:	2.11
Ideal geometry (proteins)	:	Engh & Huber $(2001)$
Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA)	:	Parkinson et al. (1996)
Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP)	:	2.11

# 1 Overall quality at a glance (i)

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure:  $SOLUTION \ NMR$ 

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 57%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Metric	$egin{array}{c} { m Whole \ archive}\ (\#{ m Entries}) \end{array}$	$f NMR \ archive \ (\#Entries)$
Clashscore	158937	12864
Ramachandran outliers	154571	11451
Sidechain outliers	154315	11428

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for >=3, 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions <=5%

Mol	Chain	Length		G	Juality of c	hain
1	А	23	17%	26%	9%	48%



# 2 Ensemble composition and analysis (i)

This entry contains 20 models. Model 4 is the overall representative, medoid model (most similar to other models). The authors have identified model 1 as representative, based on the following criterion: *low energy*.

The following residues are included in the computation of the global validation metrics.

Well-defined (core) protein residues					
Well-defined core	Residue range (total)	Backbone RMSD (Å)	Medoid model		
1	A:1-A:12 (12)	0.56	4		

Ill-defined regions of proteins are excluded from the global statistics.

Ligands and non-protein polymers are included in the analysis.

The models can be grouped into 2 clusters and 9 single-model clusters were found.

Cluster number	Models
1	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9
2	13, 16, 18
Single-model clusters	8; 10; 11; 12; 14; 15; 17; 19; 20



# 3 Entry composition (i)

There is only 1 type of molecule in this entry. The entry contains 354 atoms, of which 180 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

• Molecule 1 is a protein called Gap junction beta-2 protein.

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms					Trace	
1	Λ	03	Total	С	Η	Ν	Ο	S	0
	A	20	354	108	180	32	33	1	U

There are 2 discrepancies between the modelled and reference sequences:

Chain	Residue	Modelled	Actual	Comment	Reference
А	0	ACE	-	acetylation	UNP P29033
А	12	ARG	GLY	engineered mutation	UNP P29033




# 4 Residue-property plots (i)

## 4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA and DNA chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.

• Molecule 1: Gap junction beta-2 protein




## 4.2 Scores per residue for each member of the ensemble


Colouring as in section 4.1 above.

#### 4.2.1 Score per residue for model 1

• Molecule 1: Gap junction beta-2 protein



#### 4.2.2 Score per residue for model 2





#### 4.2.3 Score per residue for model 3

• Molecule 1: Gap junction beta-2 protein

Chain	A: •		17%	17%	17%	48%
10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	LG LG LG	19 19 110	V13 N14 K15 H16	T18 S19 G21 K22		

#### 4.2.4 Score per residue for model 4 (medoid)

• Molecule 1: Gap junction beta-2 protein

Chain A:	17%	17%	17%	48%
2 <mark>. 5. 5. 19. 5. 5. 2</mark> .	110 110 113 113 113 110 110 110 110 110	H16 S17 T18 S19 S19 S21 K22 K22		

#### 4.2.5 Score per residue for model 5

• Molecule 1: Gap junction beta-2 protein


Chain A:	17%	26%	9%	48%	
20 23 24 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	812 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	811 118 120 221 222			

#### 4.2.6 Score per residue for model 6

• Molecule 1: Gap junction beta-2 protein

Chain A:	22%	22%	9%	48%
20 13 13 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	V13 M14 K15 S17 S17 S17 T18 S19 S19 S19 S21 K22			

#### 4.2.7 Score per residue for model 7





#### 4.2.8 Score per residue for model 8

• Molecule 1: Gap junction beta-2 protein

Chain A:	26%	9%	17%	48%
70 44 15 16 16 16 17 19 19 19	V13 N14 K15 K15 H16 S17 S19 S19 S19 S19 S19 S19 S19 S19	K22		

#### 4.2.9 Score per residue for model 9

• Molecule 1: Gap junction beta-2 protein

Chain A:	17%	26%	9%	48%
M1 M2 15 15 13 14 14 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	110 114 114 118 118 118 118 118 118 118 118	621 702		

#### 4.2.10 Score per residue for model 10

• Molecule 1: Gap junction beta-2 protein


Chain	ı A	7:			17%	6			-			2	22%			13%				48	%			
70 W3 G4	T5 L6	Q7	18 19	L10	G11 R12	V13	N14	K15	H16	517 T18	S 19	120	G21 K22											

#### 4.2.11 Score per residue for model 11

• Molecule 1: Gap junction beta-2 protein

Chain A:	22%	17%	13%	48%
20 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13	V 13 714 715 717 7178 7178 7129 720 720	144		

#### 4.2.12 Score per residue for model 12





#### 4.2.13 Score per residue for model 13

• Molecule 1: Gap junction beta-2 protein

Chain A:	26%	13%	13%	48%
70 D2 02 03 64 16 16	19 19 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 11	ZZY		

#### 4.2.14 Score per residue for model 14

• Molecule 1: Gap junction beta-2 protein

Chain A:	30%	9%	13%	48%
8 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	V13 N14 K15 K15 K15 T18 T18 T18 C21 K22			


#### 4.2.15 Score per residue for model 15

• Molecule 1: Gap junction beta-2 protein

Chain A:	22%	22%	9%	48%	
20 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	C 110 C	S 19 1 20 6 2 1 K 2 2			

#### 4.2.16 Score per residue for model 16

• Molecule 1: Gap junction beta-2 protein



#### 4.2.17 Score per residue for model 17





### 4.2.18 Score per residue for model 18

• Molecule 1: Gap junction beta-2 protein

Chain A:	22%	22%	9%	48%
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	20 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	120 621 K22		

#### 4.2.19 Score per residue for model 19

• Molecule 1: Gap junction beta-2 protein

Chain A:	17%	22%	13%	48%
70 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	V13 N14 K15 H16 S17 S19 S19 S19 S19	621 K22		

#### 4.2.20 Score per residue for model 20

Chain A:	22%	26%	•	48%
70 15 15 16 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17	V13 N14 S17 S17 T18 T18 S17 T18 S19 S21 C21 K22			



# 5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview (i)

The models were refined using the following method: simulated annealing.

Of the ? calculated structures, 20 were deposited, based on the following criterion: ?.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
CNS	structure calculation	
CNS	refinement	

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	input_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	1
Total number of shifts	179
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	179
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	57%

No validations of the models with respect to experimental NMR restraints is performed at this time.



# 6 Model quality (i)

# 6.1 Standard geometry (i)

Bond lengths and bond angles in the following residue types are not validated in this section: ACE

There are no covalent bond-length or bond-angle outliers.

There are no bond-length outliers.

There are no bond-angle outliers.

There are no chirality outliers.

There are no planarity outliers.

## 6.2 Too-close contacts (i)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	А	96	97	97	$4\pm 2$
All	All	1920	1940	1940	77

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 20.

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Mod	dels
Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(A)	Distance(A)	Worst	Total
1:A:3:TRP:CD1	1:A:6:LEU:HB2	0.59	2.33	11	20
1:A:7:GLN:HE21	1:A:8:THR:H	0.53	1.45	12	1
1:A:3:TRP:HB3	1:A:6:LEU:HB3	0.52	1.80	2	5
1:A:7:GLN:HA	1:A:7:GLN:HE21	0.51	1.65	2	2
1:A:3:TRP:HB3	1:A:6:LEU:CB	0.51	2.36	19	6
1:A:10:LEU:HD13	1:A:10:LEU:C	0.50	2.28	5	1
1:A:7:GLN:HE21	1:A:7:GLN:HA	0.49	1.67	8	2
1:A:7:GLN:HG2	1:A:8:THR:N	0.48	2.23	12	1
1:A:7:GLN:N	1:A:7:GLN:NE2	0.48	2.62	12	1
1:A:7:GLN:O	1:A:9:ILE:HG12	0.48	2.09	19	1
1:A:3:TRP:CG	1:A:4:GLY:N	0.47	2.82	7	12
1:A:7:GLN:HE21	1:A:7:GLN:N	0.47	2.07	11	2

All unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

Continued on next page...



Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(A)	Distance(A)	Worst	Total
1:A:6:LEU:HD22	1:A:9:ILE:HB	0.47	1.87	11	1
1:A:6:LEU:HG	1:A:7:GLN:HE22	0.46	1.69	12	1
1:A:7:GLN:H	1:A:7:GLN:NE2	0.46	2.07	12	1
1:A:10:LEU:C	1:A:10:LEU:HD13	0.46	2.31	6	1
1:A:3:TRP:HB3	1:A:6:LEU:HB2	0.46	1.87	19	1
1:A:9:ILE:HG22	1:A:10:LEU:N	0.45	2.26	2	1
1:A:2:ASP:HB3	1:A:9:ILE:HB	0.45	1.89	19	1
1:A:6:LEU:HD22	1:A:9:ILE:HG12	0.44	1.89	18	1
1:A:6:LEU:HG	1:A:7:GLN:NE2	0.44	2.28	12	1
1:A:7:GLN:O	1:A:7:GLN:NE2	0.43	2.51	19	1
1:A:6:LEU:HD21	1:A:8:THR:HG23	0.43	1.91	7	3
1:A:9:ILE:HG22	1:A:9:ILE:O	0.43	2.14	19	1
1:A:2:ASP:O	1:A:3:TRP:C	0.42	2.58	20	1
1:A:10:LEU:HD22	1:A:11:GLY:N	0.42	2.30	5	1
1:A:7:GLN:NE2	1:A:7:GLN:HA	0.42	2.29	3	1
1:A:3:TRP:HD1	1:A:6:LEU:HB2	0.41	1.73	8	1
1:A:2:ASP:O	1:A:4:GLY:N	0.41	2.53	17	3
1:A:12:ARG:HD2	1:A:12:ARG:N	0.41	2.31	17	1
1:A:7:GLN:H	1:A:7:GLN:HE21	0.40	1.57	19	1

Continued from previous page...

# 6.3 Torsion angles (i)

### 6.3.1 Protein backbone (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	Percentiles
1	А	12/23~(52%)	$5\pm2~(42\pm14\%)$	$4\pm1~(31\pm11\%)$	$3\pm2~(27\pm13\%)$	0 1
All	All	240/460~(52%)	101 (42%)	74 (31%)	65~(27%)	0 1

All 10 unique Ramachandran outliers are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	А	9	ILE	13
1	А	5	THR	12
1	А	4	GLY	11

Continued on next page...



Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	А	3	TRP	11
1	А	8	THR	9
1	А	12	ARG	3
1	А	11	GLY	2
1	А	10	LEU	2
1	А	2	ASP	1
1	А	1	MET	1

Continued from previous page...

#### 6.3.2 Protein sidechains (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Rotameric	Outliers	Per	centiles
1	А	10/19~(53%)	$7 \pm 1 \ (71 \pm 8\%)$	$3\pm1~(29\pm8\%)$	2	18
All	All	200/380~(53%)	142 (71%)	58 (29%)	2	18

All 5 unique residues with a non-rotameric sidechain are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	А	6	LEU	20
1	А	10	LEU	14
1	А	9	ILE	12
1	А	7	GLN	9
1	А	8	THR	3

#### 6.3.3 RNA (i)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

### 6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains (i)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.



## 6.5 Carbohydrates (i)

There are no carbohydrates in this entry.

## 6.6 Ligand geometry (i)

There are no ligands in this entry.

## 6.7 Other polymers (i)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

## 6.8 Polymer linkage issues (i)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.



# 7 Chemical shift validation (i)

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 57% for the well-defined parts and 59% for the entire structure.

# 7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: input\_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: assigned\_chem\_shift\_list\_0

### 7.1.1 Bookkeeping (i)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	179
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	179
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	0

### 7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing (i)

No chemical shift referencing corrections were calculated (not enough data).

#### 7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments (i)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 57%, i.e. 85 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 150. 0 out of 2 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^{1}\mathrm{H}$	$^{13}\mathbf{C}$	$^{15}\mathbf{N}$
Backbone	34/60~(57%)	22/24~(92%)	12/24~(50%)	0/12~(0%)
Sidechain	45/78~(58%)	35/45~(78%)	10/29~(34%)	0/4~(0%)
Aromatic	6/12~(50%)	6/6~(100%)	$0/5\;(0\%)$	0/1~(0%)
Overall	85/150~(57%)	63/75~(84%)	22/58~(38%)	0/17~(0%)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the full structure. The overall completeness is 59%, i.e. 158 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 269. 0 out of 3 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.



	Total	$^{1}\mathrm{H}$	$^{13}\mathrm{C}$	$^{15}\mathbf{N}$
Backbone	63/110~(57%)	41/44~(93%)	22/44~(50%)	0/22~(0%)
Sidechain	85/139~(61%)	66/81~(81%)	19/51~(37%)	0/7~(0%)
Aromatic	10/20~(50%)	10/10~(100%)	$0/7 \; (0\%)$	0/3~(0%)
Overall	158/269~(59%)	117/135~(87%)	41/102~(40%)	0/32~(0%)

#### 7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts (i)

There are no statistically unusual chemical shifts.

### 7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots (i)

The image below reports *random coil index* values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain A:

