WORLDWIDE wwPDB PROTEIN DATA BANK

Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report ⓘ

Jun 5, 2023 – 01:01 PM JST

PDB ID	:	6JXW
BMRB ID	:	36032
Title	:	Complex of SUMO2 bound SLS4 from ICP0.
Authors	:	Hembram, D.S.S.; Negi, H.; Shet, D.; Das, R.
Deposited on	:	2019-04-25

This is a Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at *validation@mail.wwpdb.org*
A user guide is available at
https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp
with specific help available everywhere you see the ⓘ symbol.

The types of validation reports are described at
http://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/FAQs#types.

1   Overall quality at a glance ⓘ

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure:
SOLUTION NMR

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 79%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.

Metric	Percentile Ranks	Value
Clashscore		2
Ramachandran outliers		1.6%
Sidechain outliers		7.6%

Worse   *Better*

▮ Percentile relative to all structures
▯ Percentile relative to all NMR structures

Metric	Whole archive (#Entries)	NMR archive (#Entries)
Clashscore	158937	12864
Ramachandran outliers	154571	11451
Sidechain outliers	154315	11428

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for >=3, 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions <=5%

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain
1	A	95	74% · · 19%
2	B	20	25% 10% 5% 20% 40%

2 Ensemble composition and analysis ⓘ

This entry contains 20 models. Model 16 is the overall representative, medoid model (most similar to other models). The authors have identified model 1 as representative, based on the following criterion: *lowest energy*.

The following residues are included in the computation of the global validation metrics.

Well-defined (core) protein residues			
Well-defined core	Residue range (total)	Backbone RMSD (Å)	Medoid model
1	A:17-A:90, B:358-B:365 (82)	0.33	16

Ill-defined regions of proteins are excluded from the global statistics.

Ligands and non-protein polymers are included in the analysis.

The models can be grouped into 1 clusters and 3 single-model clusters were found.

Cluster number	Models
1	3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19
Single-model clusters	1; 2; 20

3 Entry composition ⓘ

There are 2 unique types of molecules in this entry. The entry contains 1411 atoms, of which 698 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

- Molecule 1 is a protein called Small ubiquitin-related modifier 2.

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms						Trace
			Total	C	H	N	O	S	
1	A	77	1231	384	610	112	121	4	0

- Molecule 2 is a protein called SLS4-SIM from Ubiquitin E3 ligase ICP0.

| Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms				Trace
			Total	C	H	N	O	
2	B	12	180	55	88	17	20	0

4 Residue-property plots ⓘ

4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA, DNA and oligosaccharide chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.

- Molecule 1: Small ubiquitin-related modifier 2


- Molecule 2: SLS4-SIM from Ubiquitin E3 ligase ICP0

## 4.2 Scores per residue for each member of the ensemble

Colouring as in section 4.1 above.

4.2.1 Score per residue for model 1

- Molecule 1: Small ubiquitin-related modifier 2

- Molecule 2: SLS4-SIM from Ubiquitin E3 ligase ICP0

4.2.2 Score per residue for model 2

• Molecule 1: Small ubiquitin-related modifier 2

Chain A:

• Molecule 2: SLS4-SIM from Ubiquitin E3 ligase ICP0

Chain B:

4.2.3 Score per residue for model 3

• Molecule 1: Small ubiquitin-related modifier 2

Chain A:

• Molecule 2: SLS4-SIM from Ubiquitin E3 ligase ICP0

Chain B:

4.2.4 Score per residue for model 4

• Molecule 1: Small ubiquitin-related modifier 2

Chain A:

• Molecule 2: SLS4-SIM from Ubiquitin E3 ligase ICP0

Chain B:

4.2.5 Score per residue for model 5

• Molecule 1: Small ubiquitin-related modifier 2

Chain A:

• Molecule 2: SLS4-SIM from Ubiquitin E3 ligase ICP0

Chain B:

4.2.6 Score per residue for model 6

• Molecule 1: Small ubiquitin-related modifier 2

Chain A:

• Molecule 2: SLS4-SIM from Ubiquitin E3 ligase ICP0

Chain B:

4.2.7 Score per residue for model 7

• Molecule 1: Small ubiquitin-related modifier 2

Chain A:

• Molecule 2: SLS4-SIM from Ubiquitin E3 ligase ICP0

Chain B:

4.2.8 Score per residue for model 8

• Molecule 1: Small ubiquitin-related modifier 2

Chain A:

• Molecule 2: SLS4-SIM from Ubiquitin E3 ligase ICP0

Chain B:

4.2.9 Score per residue for model 9

• Molecule 1: Small ubiquitin-related modifier 2

Chain A:

• Molecule 2: SLS4-SIM from Ubiquitin E3 ligase ICP0

Chain B:

4.2.10 Score per residue for model 10

• Molecule 1: Small ubiquitin-related modifier 2

Chain A:

• Molecule 2: SLS4-SIM from Ubiquitin E3 ligase ICP0

Chain B:

4.2.11 Score per residue for model 11

• Molecule 1: Small ubiquitin-related modifier 2

Chain A:

• Molecule 2: SLS4-SIM from Ubiquitin E3 ligase ICP0

Chain B:

4.2.12 Score per residue for model 12

• Molecule 1: Small ubiquitin-related modifier 2

Chain A:

• Molecule 2: SLS4-SIM from Ubiquitin E3 ligase ICP0

Chain B:

4.2.13 Score per residue for model 13

• Molecule 1: Small ubiquitin-related modifier 2

Chain A:

• Molecule 2: SLS4-SIM from Ubiquitin E3 ligase ICP0

Chain B:

4.2.14 Score per residue for model 14

• Molecule 1: Small ubiquitin-related modifier 2

Chain A:

• Molecule 2: SLS4-SIM from Ubiquitin E3 ligase ICP0

Chain B:

4.2.15 Score per residue for model 15

• Molecule 1: Small ubiquitin-related modifier 2

Chain A:

• Molecule 2: SLS4-SIM from Ubiquitin E3 ligase ICP0

Chain B:

4.2.16 Score per residue for model 16 (medoid)

• Molecule 1: Small ubiquitin-related modifier 2

Chain A:

• Molecule 2: SLS4-SIM from Ubiquitin E3 ligase ICP0

Chain B:

4.2.17 Score per residue for model 17

• Molecule 1: Small ubiquitin-related modifier 2


• Molecule 2: SLS4-SIM from Ubiquitin E3 ligase ICP0

4.2.18 Score per residue for model 18

• Molecule 1: Small ubiquitin-related modifier 2

• Molecule 2: SLS4-SIM from Ubiquitin E3 ligase ICP0

4.2.19 Score per residue for model 19

• Molecule 1: Small ubiquitin-related modifier 2

• Molecule 2: SLS4-SIM from Ubiquitin E3 ligase ICP0

4.2.20 Score per residue for model 20

• Molecule 1: Small ubiquitin-related modifier 2

Chain A:

• Molecule 2: SLS4-SIM from Ubiquitin E3 ligase ICP0

Chain B:

5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview ⓘ

The models were refined using the following method: *simulated annealing.*

Of the 200 calculated structures, 20 were deposited, based on the following criterion: *structures with the lowest energy.*

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
HADDOCK	structure calculation	

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	working_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	1
Total number of shifts	1080
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	938
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	142
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	79%

6   Model quality (i)

6.1   Standard geometry (i)

There are no covalent bond-length or bond-angle outliers.

There are no bond-length outliers.

There are no bond-angle outliers.

There are no chirality outliers.

There are no planarity outliers.

6.2   Too-close contacts (i)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	A	602	596	596	3±1
2	B	63	66	66	2±1
All	All	13300	13240	13240	64

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 2.

All unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models Worst	Total
1:A:31:GLN:O	2:B:361:PRO:HA	0.69	1.88	17	18
1:A:29:VAL:O	2:B:359:ARG:HA	0.57	2.00	1	9
1:A:73:PRO:HA	1:A:78:MET:SD	0.50	2.47	19	9
1:A:18:ILE:O	1:A:33:LYS:HA	0.49	2.08	19	3
1:A:45:LYS:O	1:A:49:GLU:HG2	0.48	2.09	17	1
1:A:80:ASP:O	1:A:81:GLU:HB2	0.47	2.08	9	5
1:A:33:LYS:O	2:B:363:VAL:HA	0.47	2.10	19	1
1:A:19:ASN:HB3	1:A:31:GLN:NE2	0.46	2.26	4	1
1:A:72:THR:OG1	1:A:75:GLN:HB2	0.45	2.12	10	1
1:A:62:PHE:CZ	1:A:78:MET:HG2	0.45	2.47	16	4
1:A:22:VAL:HB	1:A:30:VAL:CG2	0.45	2.42	2	6
1:A:79:GLU:O	1:A:82:ASP:HB2	0.44	2.13	18	1
1:A:36:ARG:NH2	1:A:79:GLU:HA	0.43	2.28	8	1

Continued on next page...

Continued from previous page...

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models Worst	Models Total
1:A:30:VAL:HG12	2:B:360:ASP:OD1	0.43	2.13	3	1
1:A:23:ALA:HA	1:A:28:SER:O	0.43	2.13	5	1
1:A:28:SER:HA	2:B:358:ASN:OD1	0.42	2.14	18	1
1:A:35:LYS:HB2	1:A:38:THR:OG1	0.41	2.14	10	1

6.3 Torsion angles ⓘ

6.3.1 Protein backbone ⓘ

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	Percentiles	
1	A	74/95 (78%)	69±1 (93±2%)	5±1 (7±2%)	0±1 (1±1%)	29	74
2	B	8/20 (40%)	7±0 (88±0%)	0±0 (1±4%)	1±0 (11±4%)	1	8
All	All	1640/2300 (71%)	1513 (92%)	100 (6%)	27 (2%)	13	57

All 3 unique Ramachandran outliers are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
2	B	361	PRO	18
1	A	27	GLY	5
1	A	64	GLY	4

6.3.2 Protein sidechains ⓘ

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Rotameric	Outliers	Percentiles	
1	A	67/84 (80%)	62±1 (93±2%)	5±1 (7±2%)	19	68
2	B	8/18 (44%)	7±1 (86±11%)	1±1 (14±11%)	6	45
All	All	1500/2040 (74%)	1386 (92%)	114 (8%)	17	65

All 22 unique residues with a non-rotameric sidechain are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	41	SER	19
1	A	70	THR	17
2	B	360	ASP	14
1	A	72	THR	12
1	A	82	ASP	8
1	A	26	ASP	6
1	A	85	ASP	6
1	A	83	THR	5
1	A	28	SER	5
2	B	359	ARG	4
2	B	365	SER	3
1	A	37	HIS	3
1	A	79	GLU	2
2	B	362	ILE	2
1	A	63	ASP	1
1	A	48	CYS	1
1	A	29	VAL	1
1	A	68	ASN	1
1	A	77	GLU	1
1	A	36	ARG	1
1	A	53	LEU	1
1	A	32	PHE	1

6.3.3 RNA ⓘ

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains ⓘ

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

6.5 Carbohydrates ⓘ

There are no monosaccharides in this entry.

6.6 Ligand geometry ⓘ

There are no ligands in this entry.

6.7 Other polymers ⓘ

There are no such molecules in this entry.

6.8 Polymer linkage issues ⓘ

There are no chain breaks in this entry.

7   Chemical shift validation ⓘ

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 79% for the well-defined parts and 76% for the entire structure.

7.1   Chemical shift list 1

File name: working_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: *SUMO2_SLS4_27Jan20.str*

7.1.1   Bookkeeping ⓘ

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	1080
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	938
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	142
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	4

The following assigned chemical shifts were not mapped to the molecules present in the coordinate file.

- No matching atom found in the structure. All 142 occurrences are reported below.

List ID	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Shift Data		
					Value	Uncertainty	Ambiguity
1	A	4	GLU	H	8.15	0.020	1
1	A	4	GLU	HA	4.631	0.020	1
1	A	4	GLU	HB2	1.571	0.020	2
1	A	4	GLU	HB3	1.571	0.020	2
1	A	4	GLU	HG2	2.104	0.020	2
1	A	4	GLU	HG3	2.104	0.020	2
1	A	4	GLU	C	176.567	0.200	1
1	A	4	GLU	CA	56.854	0.200	1
1	A	4	GLU	CB	30.04	0.200	1
1	A	4	GLU	CG	36.108	0.200	1
1	A	4	GLU	N	121.951	0.200	1
1	A	5	LYS	H	8.313	0.020	1
1	A	5	LYS	HA	4.72	0.020	1
1	A	5	LYS	HB2	1.101	0.020	2

Continued on next page...

Continued from previous page...

List ID	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Shift Data		
					Value	Uncertainty	Ambiguity
1	A	5	LYS	HB3	1.101	0.020	2
1	A	5	LYS	HG2	1.254	0.020	2
1	A	5	LYS	HG3	1.254	0.020	2
1	A	5	LYS	HD2	1.759	0.020	2
1	A	5	LYS	HD3	1.759	0.020	2
1	A	5	LYS	HE2	3.72	0.020	2
1	A	5	LYS	HE3	3.72	0.020	2
1	A	5	LYS	C	174.929	0.200	1
1	A	5	LYS	CA	54.377	0.200	1
1	A	5	LYS	CB	32.899	0.200	1
1	A	5	LYS	CG	24.778	0.200	1
1	A	5	LYS	CD	28.324	0.200	1
1	A	5	LYS	CE	42.194	0.200	1
1	A	5	LYS	N	124.879	0.200	1
1	A	6	PRO	HA	4.161	0.020	1
1	A	6	PRO	HB2	1.708	0.020	1
1	A	6	PRO	HB3	2.101	0.020	1
1	A	6	PRO	HG2	1.936	0.020	2
1	A	6	PRO	HG3	1.936	0.020	2
1	A	6	PRO	HD2	3.209	0.020	1
1	A	6	PRO	HD3	3.209	0.020	1
1	A	6	PRO	C	176.559	0.200	1
1	A	6	PRO	CA	63.705	0.200	1
1	A	6	PRO	CB	32.078	0.200	1
1	A	6	PRO	CG	27.51	0.200	1
1	A	6	PRO	CD	50.196	0.200	1
1	A	7	LYS	H	8.478	0.020	1
1	A	7	LYS	HA	4.638	0.020	1
1	A	7	LYS	HB2	1.039	0.020	2
1	A	7	LYS	HB3	1.039	0.020	2
1	A	7	LYS	HG2	1.467	0.020	2
1	A	7	LYS	HG3	1.467	0.020	2
1	A	7	LYS	HD2	1.16	0.020	2
1	A	7	LYS	HD3	1.16	0.020	2
1	A	7	LYS	HE2	2.688	0.020	2
1	A	7	LYS	HE3	2.688	0.020	2
1	A	7	LYS	C	176.535	0.200	1
1	A	7	LYS	CA	56.686	0.200	1
1	A	7	LYS	CB	32.272	0.200	1
1	A	7	LYS	CG	24.465	0.200	1
1	A	7	LYS	CD	28.276	0.200	1

Continued on next page...

Continued from previous page...

List ID	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Shift Data		
					Value	Uncertainty	Ambiguity
1	A	7	LYS	CE	42.45	0.200	1
1	A	7	LYS	N	123.359	0.200	1
1	A	8	GLU	H	8.445	0.020	1
1	A	8	GLU	HA	4.453	0.020	1
1	A	8	GLU	HB2	1.855	0.020	2
1	A	8	GLU	HB3	1.855	0.020	2
1	A	8	GLU	HG2	2.12	0.020	2
1	A	8	GLU	HG3	2.12	0.020	2
1	A	8	GLU	C	176.235	0.200	1
1	A	8	GLU	CA	56.866	0.200	1
1	A	8	GLU	CB	30.437	0.200	1
1	A	8	GLU	CG	36.769	0.200	1
1	A	8	GLU	N	122.982	0.200	1
1	A	9	GLY	H	8.376	0.020	1
1	A	9	GLY	HA2	3.944	0.020	2
1	A	9	GLY	HA3	3.798	0.020	2
1	A	9	GLY	C	173.839	0.200	1
1	A	9	GLY	CA	45.946	0.200	1
1	A	9	GLY	N	111.271	0.200	1
1	A	10	VAL	H	7.942	0.020	1
1	A	10	VAL	HA	4.319	0.020	1
1	A	10	VAL	HB	2.421	0.020	1
1	A	10	VAL	HG11	0.904	0.020	2
1	A	10	VAL	HG12	0.904	0.020	2
1	A	10	VAL	HG13	0.904	0.020	2
1	A	10	VAL	HG21	0.904	0.020	2
1	A	10	VAL	HG22	0.904	0.020	2
1	A	10	VAL	HG23	0.904	0.020	2
1	A	10	VAL	C	176.835	0.200	1
1	A	10	VAL	CA	62.731	0.200	1
1	A	10	VAL	CB	32.491	0.200	1
1	A	10	VAL	CG1	21.212	0.200	1
1	A	10	VAL	CG2	20.119	0.200	1
1	A	10	VAL	N	120.558	0.200	1
1	A	11	LYS	H	8.491	0.020	1
1	A	11	LYS	HA	4.325	0.020	1
1	A	11	LYS	HB2	1.104	0.020	2
1	A	11	LYS	HB3	1.104	0.020	2
1	A	11	LYS	HG2	1.623	0.020	2
1	A	11	LYS	HG3	1.618	0.020	2
1	A	11	LYS	HD2	1.788	0.020	2

Continued on next page...

Continued from previous page...

List ID	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Shift Data		
					Value	Uncertainty	Ambiguity
1	A	11	LYS	HD3	1.788	0.020	2
1	A	11	LYS	HE2	2.925	0.020	2
1	A	11	LYS	HE3	2.925	0.020	2
1	A	11	LYS	C	176.642	0.200	1
1	A	11	LYS	CA	56.747	0.200	1
1	A	11	LYS	CB	32.956	0.200	1
1	A	11	LYS	CG	24.516	0.200	1
1	A	11	LYS	CD	28.221	0.200	1
1	A	11	LYS	CE	42.299	0.200	1
1	A	11	LYS	N	126.806	0.200	1
1	A	12	THR	H	8.21	0.020	1
1	A	12	THR	HA	4.28	0.020	1
1	A	12	THR	HB	4.919	0.020	1
1	A	12	THR	HG21	1.367	0.020	1
1	A	12	THR	HG22	1.367	0.020	1
1	A	12	THR	HG23	1.367	0.020	1
1	A	12	THR	C	174.407	0.200	1
1	A	12	THR	CA	61.8745	0.200	1
1	A	12	THR	CB	69.9523	0.200	1
1	A	12	THR	CG2	21.9904	0.200	1
1	A	12	THR	N	116.264	0.200	1
1	A	13	GLU	H	8.489	0.020	1
1	A	13	GLU	HA	4.237	0.020	1
1	A	13	GLU	HB2	1.45	0.020	2
1	A	13	GLU	HB3	1.458	0.020	2
1	A	13	GLU	HG2	2.197	0.020	2
1	A	13	GLU	HG3	2.197	0.020	2
1	A	13	GLU	C	175.474	0.200	1
1	A	13	GLU	CA	56.9238	0.200	1
1	A	13	GLU	CB	30.8589	0.200	1
1	A	13	GLU	CG	36.9458	0.200	1
1	A	13	GLU	N	124.168	0.200	1
1	A	14	ASN	HA	4.201	0.020	1
1	A	14	ASN	HB2	2.336	0.020	2
1	A	14	ASN	HB3	2.336	0.020	2
1	A	14	ASN	C	174.571	0.200	1
1	A	14	ASN	CA	52.437	0.200	1
1	A	14	ASN	CB	38.874	0.200	1
1	A	15	ASN	H	8.517	0.020	1
1	A	15	ASN	HA	4.894	0.020	1
1	A	15	ASN	HB2	2.839	0.020	2

Continued on next page...

Continued from previous page...

List ID	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Shift Data		
					Value	Uncertainty	Ambiguity
1	A	15	ASN	HB3	2.839	0.020	2
1	A	15	ASN	C	174.476	0.200	1
1	A	15	ASN	CA	53.423	0.200	1
1	A	15	ASN	CB	39.009	0.200	1
1	A	15	ASN	N	121.608	0.200	1

7.1.2   Chemical shift referencing ⓘ

The following table shows the suggested chemical shift referencing corrections.

Nucleus	# values	Correction ± precision, *ppm*	Suggested action
$^{13}C_\alpha$	88	-0.34 ± 0.10	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)
$^{13}C_\beta$	81	-0.29 ± 0.13	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)
$^{13}C'$	88	0.02 ± 0.17	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)
^{15}N	83	-0.09 ± 0.35	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)

7.1.3   Completeness of resonance assignments ⓘ

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 79%, i.e. 913 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 1160. 0 out of 10 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	^{1}H	^{13}C	^{15}N
Backbone	363/406 (89%)	147/164 (90%)	146/164 (89%)	70/78 (90%)
Sidechain	497/691 (72%)	338/443 (76%)	159/213 (75%)	0/35 (0%)
Aromatic	53/63 (84%)	27/32 (84%)	26/29 (90%)	0/2 (0%)
Overall	913/1160 (79%)	512/639 (80%)	331/406 (82%)	70/115 (61%)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the full structure. The overall completeness is 76%, i.e. 938 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 1227. 0 out of 10 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	^{1}H	^{13}C	^{15}N
Backbone	379/440 (86%)	154/178 (87%)	152/178 (85%)	73/84 (87%)
Sidechain	506/724 (70%)	344/463 (74%)	162/225 (72%)	0/36 (0%)
Aromatic	53/63 (84%)	27/32 (84%)	26/29 (90%)	0/2 (0%)
Overall	938/1227 (76%)	525/673 (78%)	340/432 (79%)	73/122 (60%)

WORLDWIDE
wwPDB
PROTEIN DATA BANK

7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts ⓘ

The following table lists the statistically unusual chemical shifts. These are statistical measures, and large deviations from the mean do not necessarily imply incorrect assignments. Molecules containing paramagnetic centres or hemes are expected to give rise to anomalous chemical shifts.

List Id	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Shift, ppm	Expected range, ppm	Z-score
1	A	38	THR	CG2	29.25	$16.06 - 27.03$	7.0
1	A	74	ALA	HB1	0.13	$0.14 - 2.58$	-5.0
1	A	74	ALA	HB2	0.13	$0.14 - 2.58$	-5.0
1	A	74	ALA	HB3	0.13	$0.14 - 2.58$	-5.0

7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots ⓘ

The image below reports *random coil index* values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition.If well-defined core and ill-defined regions are not identified then it is shown as gray bars.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain A:

8 NMR restraints analysis ⓘ

8.1 Conformationally restricting restraints ⓘ

The following table provides the summary of experimentally observed NMR restraints in different categories. Restraints are classified into different categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved.

Description	Value
Total distance restraints	19
Intra-residue ($\lvert i-j \rvert$=0)	0
Sequential ($\lvert i-j \rvert$=1)	0
Medium range ($\lvert i-j \rvert$>1 and $\lvert i-j \rvert$<5)	0
Long range ($\lvert i-j \rvert \geq 5$)	0
Inter-chain	19
Hydrogen bond restraints	0
Disulfide bond restraints	0
Total dihedral-angle restraints	0
Number of unmapped restraints	0
Number of restraints per residue	0.2
Number of long range restraints per residue[1]	0.0

[1]Long range hydrogen bonds and disulfide bonds are counted as long range restraints while calculating the number of long range restraints per residue

8.2 Residual restraint violations ⓘ

This section provides the overview of the restraint violations analysis. The violations are binned as small, medium and large violations based on its absolute value. Average number of violations per model is calculated by dividing the total number of violations in each bin by the size of the ensemble.

8.2.1 Average number of distance violations per model ⓘ

Distance violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the calculation.

Bins (Å)	Average number of violations per model	Max (Å)
0.1-0.2 (Small)	1.1	0.2
0.2-0.5 (Medium)	1.1	0.49
>0.5 (Large)	1.7	2.32

8.2.2 Average number of dihedral-angle violations per model ⓘ

Dihedral-angle violations less than 1° are not included in the calculation.There are no dihedral-angle violations

9 Distance violation analysis ⓘ

9.1 Summary of distance violations ⓘ

The following table shows the summary of distance violations in different restraint categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved. Each category is further sub-divided into three sub-categories based on the atoms involved. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

Restraints type	Count	%[1]	Violated[3]			Consistently Violated[4]		
			Count	%[2]	%[1]	Count	%[2]	%[1]
Intra-residue (|i-j|=0)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sequential (|i-j|=1)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Medium range (|i-j|>1 & |i-j|<5)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Long range (|i-j|≥5)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Inter-chain	19	100.0	10	52.6	52.6	2	10.5	10.5
Backbone-Backbone	7	36.8	4	57.1	21.1	1	14.3	5.3
Backbone-Sidechain	12	63.2	6	50.0	31.6	1	8.3	5.3
Sidechain-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Hydrogen bond	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Disulfide bond	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Total	19	100.0	10	52.6	52.6	2	10.5	10.5
Backbone-Backbone	7	36.8	4	57.1	21.1	1	14.3	5.3
Backbone-Sidechain	12	63.2	6	50.0	31.6	1	8.3	5.3
Sidechain-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0

[1] percentage calculated with respect to the total number of distance restraints, [2] percentage calculated with respect to the number of restraints in a particular restraint category, [3] violated in at least one model, [4] violated in all the models

9.1.1　Bar chart : Distribution of distance restraints and violations ⓘ

Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories. The hydrogen bonds and disulfied bonds are counted in their appropriate category on the x-axis

9.2　Distance violation statistics for each model ⓘ

The following table provides the distance violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

Model ID	Number of violations						Mean (Å)	Max (Å)	SD[6] (Å)	Median (Å)
	IR[1]	SQ[2]	MR[3]	LR[4]	IC[5]	Total				
1	0	0	0	0	3	3	0.71	0.97	0.35	0.95
2	0	0	0	0	5	5	0.41	1.14	0.38	0.2
3	0	0	0	0	5	5	0.57	1.53	0.54	0.2
4	0	0	0	0	3	3	0.59	0.96	0.32	0.63
5	0	0	0	0	3	3	0.48	0.76	0.25	0.52
6	0	0	0	0	3	3	0.54	1.03	0.35	0.39
7	0	0	0	0	3	3	0.67	1.02	0.38	0.85
8	0	0	0	0	4	4	0.48	0.95	0.28	0.36
9	0	0	0	0	4	4	0.61	1.24	0.45	0.53
10	0	0	0	0	4	4	0.79	2.32	0.88	0.32
11	0	0	0	0	4	4	0.53	1.17	0.41	0.4

Continued on next page...

Continued from previous page...

Model ID	Number of violations						Mean (Å)	Max (Å)	SD[6] (Å)	Median (Å)
	IR[1]	SQ[2]	MR[3]	LR[4]	IC[5]	Total				
12	0	0	0	0	3	3	0.56	0.98	0.31	0.49
13	0	0	0	0	5	5	0.6	2.14	0.78	0.24
14	0	0	0	0	3	3	0.59	0.95	0.32	0.66
15	0	0	0	0	3	3	0.8	1.27	0.45	0.92
16	0	0	0	0	3	3	0.58	1.05	0.35	0.46
17	0	0	0	0	3	3	0.63	1.13	0.37	0.52
18	0	0	0	0	5	5	0.7	1.92	0.72	0.18
19	0	0	0	0	5	5	0.65	1.39	0.5	0.41
20	0	0	0	0	7	7	0.71	1.94	0.64	0.43

[1]Intra-residue restraints, [2]Sequential restraints, [3]Medium range restraints, [4]Long range restraints,
[5]Inter-chain restraints, [6]Standard deviation

9.2.1 Bar graph : Distance Violation statistics for each model ⓘ

The mean(dot),median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis
on the right

9.3 Distance violation statistics for the ensemble ⓘ

Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of the ensemble. In total, 9(IR:0, SQ:0, MR:0, LR:0, IC:9) restraints are not violated in the ensemble.

Number of violated restraints						Fraction of the ensemble	
IR[1]	SQ[2]	MR[3]	LR[4]	IC[5]	Total	Count[6]	%
0	0	0	0	3	3	1	5.0
0	0	0	0	1	1	2	10.0
0	0	0	0	1	1	3	15.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	4	20.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	5	25.0
0	0	0	0	1	1	6	30.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	7	35.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	8	40.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	9	45.0
0	0	0	0	1	1	10	50.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	11	55.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	12	60.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	13	65.0
0	0	0	0	1	1	14	70.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	15	75.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	16	80.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	17	85.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	18	90.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	19	95.0
0	0	0	0	2	2	20	100.0

[1]Intra-residue restraints, [2]Sequential restraints, [3]Medium range restraints, [4]Long range restraints, [5]Inter-chain restraints, [6] Number of models with violations

### 9.3.1 Bar graph : Distance violation statistics for the ensemble ⓘ

9.4 Most violated distance restraints in the ensemble ⓘ

9.4.1 Histogram : Distribution of mean distance violations ⓘ

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models in the ensemble

9.4.2 Table: Most violated distance restraints ⓘ

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violation for each restraint sorted by number of violated models and the mean value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Models[1]	Mean (Å)	SD[1] (Å)	Median (Å)
(1,12)	1:A:35:LYS:H	2:B:364:ILE:HG12	20	0.94	0.23	0.96
(1,1)	1:A:29:VAL:H	2:B:358:ASN:HA	20	0.2	0.03	0.2
(1,15)	1:A:43:LEU:H	2:B:364:ILE:HD11	14	0.66	0.39	0.52
(1,13)	1:A:35:LYS:H	2:B:364:ILE:HD11	10	1.31	0.69	1.26
(1,7)	1:A:33:LYS:H	2:B:362:ILE:HA	6	0.14	0.02	0.13
(1,11)	1:A:35:LYS:H	2:B:364:ILE:HB	3	0.27	0.13	0.25
(1,5)	1:A:31:GLN:H	2:B:360:ASP:HA	2	0.13	0.0	0.13

[1]Number of violated models, [2]Standard deviation

9.5 All violated distance restraints ⓘ

9.5.1 Histogram : Distribution of distance violations ⓘ

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.

9.5.2 Table : All distance violations ⓘ

The following table lists the absolute value of the violation for each restraint in the ensemble sorted by its value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(1,13)	1:A:35:LYS:H	2:B:364:ILE:HD11	10	2.32
(1,13)	1:A:35:LYS:H	2:B:364:ILE:HD11	13	2.14
(1,15)	1:A:43:LEU:H	2:B:364:ILE:HD11	20	1.94
(1,13)	1:A:35:LYS:H	2:B:364:ILE:HD11	18	1.92
(1,13)	1:A:35:LYS:H	2:B:364:ILE:HD11	3	1.53
(1,12)	1:A:35:LYS:H	2:B:364:ILE:HG12	19	1.39
(1,13)	1:A:35:LYS:H	2:B:364:ILE:HD11	15	1.27
(1,13)	1:A:35:LYS:H	2:B:364:ILE:HD11	20	1.25
(1,13)	1:A:35:LYS:H	2:B:364:ILE:HD11	9	1.24
(1,12)	1:A:35:LYS:H	2:B:364:ILE:HG12	11	1.17
(1,12)	1:A:35:LYS:H	2:B:364:ILE:HG12	2	1.14
(1,12)	1:A:35:LYS:H	2:B:364:ILE:HG12	17	1.13
(1,12)	1:A:35:LYS:H	2:B:364:ILE:HG12	18	1.13
(1,13)	1:A:35:LYS:H	2:B:364:ILE:HD11	19	1.08
(1,12)	1:A:35:LYS:H	2:B:364:ILE:HG12	16	1.05
(1,12)	1:A:35:LYS:H	2:B:364:ILE:HG12	6	1.03

Continued on next page...

Continued from previous page...

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(1,12)	1:A:35:LYS:H	2:B:364:ILE:HG12	7	1.02
(1,12)	1:A:35:LYS:H	2:B:364:ILE:HG12	12	0.98
(1,15)	1:A:43:LEU:H	2:B:364:ILE:HD11	1	0.97
(1,12)	1:A:35:LYS:H	2:B:364:ILE:HG12	4	0.96
(1,12)	1:A:35:LYS:H	2:B:364:ILE:HG12	1	0.95
(1,12)	1:A:35:LYS:H	2:B:364:ILE:HG12	8	0.95
(1,12)	1:A:35:LYS:H	2:B:364:ILE:HG12	14	0.95
(1,12)	1:A:35:LYS:H	2:B:364:ILE:HG12	20	0.94
(1,12)	1:A:35:LYS:H	2:B:364:ILE:HG12	15	0.92
(1,15)	1:A:43:LEU:H	2:B:364:ILE:HD11	7	0.85
(1,12)	1:A:35:LYS:H	2:B:364:ILE:HG12	9	0.82
(1,12)	1:A:35:LYS:H	2:B:364:ILE:HG12	3	0.81
(1,12)	1:A:35:LYS:H	2:B:364:ILE:HG12	5	0.76
(1,15)	1:A:43:LEU:H	2:B:364:ILE:HD11	14	0.66
(1,15)	1:A:43:LEU:H	2:B:364:ILE:HD11	4	0.63
(1,15)	1:A:43:LEU:H	2:B:364:ILE:HD11	11	0.62
(1,15)	1:A:43:LEU:H	2:B:364:ILE:HD11	5	0.52
(1,15)	1:A:43:LEU:H	2:B:364:ILE:HD11	17	0.52
(1,15)	1:A:43:LEU:H	2:B:364:ILE:HD11	12	0.49
(1,15)	1:A:43:LEU:H	2:B:364:ILE:HD11	16	0.46
(1,15)	1:A:43:LEU:H	2:B:364:ILE:HD11	2	0.44
(1,11)	1:A:35:LYS:H	2:B:364:ILE:HB	20	0.43
(1,15)	1:A:43:LEU:H	2:B:364:ILE:HD11	19	0.41
(1,15)	1:A:43:LEU:H	2:B:364:ILE:HD11	8	0.4
(1,15)	1:A:43:LEU:H	2:B:364:ILE:HD11	6	0.39
(1,12)	1:A:35:LYS:H	2:B:364:ILE:HG12	10	0.39
(1,12)	1:A:35:LYS:H	2:B:364:ILE:HG12	13	0.39
(1,19)	1:A:47:TYR:H	2:B:362:ILE:HB	8	0.33
(1,11)	1:A:35:LYS:H	2:B:364:ILE:HB	10	0.25
(1,1)	1:A:29:VAL:H	2:B:358:ASN:HA	17	0.25
(1,1)	1:A:29:VAL:H	2:B:358:ASN:HA	19	0.25
(1,1)	1:A:29:VAL:H	2:B:358:ASN:HA	9	0.24
(1,1)	1:A:29:VAL:H	2:B:358:ASN:HA	13	0.24
(1,1)	1:A:29:VAL:H	2:B:358:ASN:HA	1	0.22
(1,1)	1:A:29:VAL:H	2:B:358:ASN:HA	8	0.22
(1,1)	1:A:29:VAL:H	2:B:358:ASN:HA	12	0.22
(1,1)	1:A:29:VAL:H	2:B:358:ASN:HA	16	0.22
(1,1)	1:A:29:VAL:H	2:B:358:ASN:HA	6	0.21
(1,1)	1:A:29:VAL:H	2:B:358:ASN:HA	10	0.21
(1,13)	1:A:35:LYS:H	2:B:364:ILE:HD11	2	0.2
(1,1)	1:A:29:VAL:H	2:B:358:ASN:HA	3	0.2
(1,1)	1:A:29:VAL:H	2:B:358:ASN:HA	15	0.2

Continued from previous page...

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(1,1)	1:A:29:VAL:H	2:B:358:ASN:HA	11	0.19
(1,1)	1:A:29:VAL:H	2:B:358:ASN:HA	18	0.18
(1,1)	1:A:29:VAL:H	2:B:358:ASN:HA	2	0.17
(1,1)	1:A:29:VAL:H	2:B:358:ASN:HA	4	0.17
(1,1)	1:A:29:VAL:H	2:B:358:ASN:HA	14	0.17
(1,7)	1:A:33:LYS:H	2:B:362:ILE:HA	3	0.16
(1,7)	1:A:33:LYS:H	2:B:362:ILE:HA	20	0.16
(1,1)	1:A:29:VAL:H	2:B:358:ASN:HA	20	0.16
(1,1)	1:A:29:VAL:H	2:B:358:ASN:HA	5	0.15
(1,7)	1:A:33:LYS:H	2:B:362:ILE:HA	18	0.14
(1,4)	1:A:29:VAL:H	2:B:359:ARG:H	9	0.14
(1,13)	1:A:35:LYS:H	2:B:364:ILE:HD11	11	0.14
(1,1)	1:A:29:VAL:H	2:B:358:ASN:HA	7	0.14
(1,5)	1:A:31:GLN:H	2:B:360:ASP:HA	3	0.13
(1,5)	1:A:31:GLN:H	2:B:360:ASP:HA	18	0.13
(1,7)	1:A:33:LYS:H	2:B:362:ILE:HA	13	0.12
(1,7)	1:A:33:LYS:H	2:B:362:ILE:HA	19	0.12
(1,18)	1:A:47:TYR:H	2:B:362:ILE:HD11	20	0.12
(1,11)	1:A:35:LYS:H	2:B:364:ILE:HB	13	0.12
(1,7)	1:A:33:LYS:H	2:B:362:ILE:HA	2	0.11

10 Dihedral-angle violation analysis ⓘ

No dihedral-angle restraints found