

Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report (i)

May 28, 2020 – 07:32 pm BST

PDB ID	:	1G5V
Title	:	SOLUTION STRUCTURE OF THE TUDOR DOMAIN OF THE HUMAN
		SMN PROTEIN
Authors	:	Selenko, P.; Sprangers, R.; Stier, G.; Buehler, D.; Fischer, U.; Sattler, M.
Deposited on	:	2000-11-02

This is a Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at validation@mail.wwpdb.org A user guide is available at https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp with specific help available everywhere you see the (i) symbol.

The following versions of software and data (see references (1)) were used in the production of this report:

Cyrange	:	Kirchner and Güntert (2011)
$\operatorname{NmrClust}$:	Kelley et al. (1996)
$\operatorname{MolProbity}$:	4.02b-467
Percentile statistics	:	20191225.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 25th 2019)
RCI	:	v_1n_11_5_13_A (Berjanski et al., 2005)
PANAV	:	Wang et al. (2010)
${ m ShiftChecker}$:	2.11
Ideal geometry (proteins)	:	Engh & Huber (2001)
Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA)	:	Parkinson et al. (1996)
Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP)	:	2.11


Sidechain outliers

1 Overall quality at a glance (i)

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure: $SOLUTION \ NMR$

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 84%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



154315

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for >=3, 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions <=5%

11428

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain			
1	А	88	45%	15% •	36%	



2 Ensemble composition and analysis (i)

This entry contains 10 models. Model 2 is the overall representative, medoid model (most similar to other models). The authors have identified model 1 as representative, based on the following criterion: *closest to the average,lowest energy*.

The following residues are included in the computation of the global validation metrics.

Well-defined (core) protein residues							
Well-defined core	Well-defined core Residue range (total) Backbone RMSD (Å) Medoid model						
1	A:92-A:144 (53)	0.27	2				

Ill-defined regions of proteins are excluded from the global statistics.

Ligands and non-protein polymers are included in the analysis.

The models can be grouped into 3 clusters and 2 single-model clusters were found.

Cluster number	Models
1	2, 3, 9, 10
2	1, 4
3	6, 7
Single-model clusters	5; 8



3 Entry composition (i)

There is only 1 type of molecule in this entry. The entry contains 864 atoms, of which 423 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

• Molecule 1 is a protein called SURVIVAL MOTOR NEURON PROTEIN 1.

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms			Trace			
1	Λ	FC	Total	С	Η	Ν	Ο	S	0
	A	56	864	278	423	72	88	3	U




4 Residue-property plots (i)

4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA and DNA chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.

• Molecule 1: SURVIVAL MOTOR NEURON PROTEIN 1




4.2 Scores per residue for each member of the ensemble

Colouring as in section 4.1 above.

4.2.1 Score per residue for model 1

• Molecule 1: SURVIVAL MOTOR NEURON PROTEIN 1



4.2.2 Score per residue for model 2 (medoid)

• Molecule 1: SURVIVAL MOTOR NEURON PROTEIN 1






ALA GLU GLU GLU GLU GLU GLU VAL SER SER THR SER THR SER GLU

4.2.3 Score per residue for model 3

• Molecule 1: SURVIVAL MOTOR NEURON PROTEIN 1




4.2.4 Score per residue for model 4

• Molecule 1: SURVIVAL MOTOR NEURON PROTEIN 1




4.2.5 Score per residue for model 5

• Molecule 1: SURVIVAL MOTOR NEURON PROTEIN 1



4.2.6 Score per residue for model 6


• Molecule 1: SURVIVAL MOTOR NEURON PROTEIN 1






4.2.7 Score per residue for model 7

• Molecule 1: SURVIVAL MOTOR NEURON PROTEIN 1




4.2.8 Score per residue for model 8

• Molecule 1: SURVIVAL MOTOR NEURON PROTEIN 1




4.2.9 Score per residue for model 9

• Molecule 1: SURVIVAL MOTOR NEURON PROTEIN 1



4.2.10 Score per residue for model 10

• Molecule 1: SURVIVAL MOTOR NEURON PROTEIN 1



VAL SER THR ASP GLU



5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview (i)

The models were refined using the following method: mixed torsion and Cartesian angle dynamics simulated annealing protocol.

Of the 100 calculated structures, 10 were deposited, based on the following criterion: back calculated data agree with experimental NOESY spectrum, structures with acceptable covalent geometry, structures with favorable non-bond energy, structures with the least restraint violations, structures with the lowest energy.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
CNS	${ m refinement}$	0.3

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	input_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	1
Total number of shifts	622
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	622
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	84%

No validations of the models with respect to experimental NMR restraints is performed at this time.



6 Model quality (i)

6.1 Standard geometry (i)

There are no covalent bond-length or bond-angle outliers.

There are no bond-length outliers.

There are no bond-angle outliers.

There are no chirality outliers.

There are no planarity outliers.

6.2 Too-close contacts (i)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	А	415	396	396	2±2
All	All	4150	3960	3960	21

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 3.

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Moo	lels
Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(A)	Distance(A)	Worst	Total
1:A:111:ALA:HB1	1:A:125:VAL:HB	0.58	1.75	3	3
1:A:96:ASP:HB3	1:A:113:ILE:HD12	0.56	1.75	8	3
1:A:127:TYR:HB3	1:A:130:TYR:HB2	0.56	1.77	4	2
1:A:126:VAL:HG22	1:A:133:ARG:HG2	0.51	1.82	1	1
1:A:113:ILE:HG21	1:A:116:ILE:HD11	0.47	1.86	4	4
1:A:126:VAL:HG22	1:A:133:ARG:HG3	0.46	1.86	5	2
1:A:123:CYS:SG	1:A:138:LEU:HG	0.44	2.53	9	1
1:A:137:ASN:ND2	1:A:139:SER:HB3	0.43	2.28	1	1
1:A:137:ASN:HD21	1:A:139:SER:HB3	0.43	1.74	1	1
1:A:99:SER:HB2	1:A:142:LEU:HB2	0.41	1.92	8	1
1:A:98:CYS:HB2	1:A:142:LEU:O	0.41	2.16	8	1
1:A:111:ALA:HA	1:A:126:VAL:O	0.40	2.16	2	1

All unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.



6.3 Torsion angles (i)

6.3.1 Protein backbone (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	Percentiles
1	А	53/88~(60%)	$45\pm2~(84\pm4\%)$	$8\pm2~(14\pm4\%)$	$1\pm1 (1\pm2\%)$	16 63
All	All	530/880~(60%)	447 (84%)	76 (14%)	7 (1%)	16 63

All 6 unique Ramachandran outliers are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	\mathbf{Res}	Type	Models (Total)
1	А	106	GLY	2
1	А	144	PRO	1
1	А	119	LYS	1
1	А	128	THR	1
1	А	113	ILE	1
1	А	121	GLU	1

6.3.2 Protein sidechains (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Rotameric	Outliers	Percentiles
1	А	46/77~(60%)	$34\pm3~(74\pm7\%)$	$12\pm3~(26\pm7\%)$	2 23
All	All	460/770~(60%)	341 (74%)	119 (26%)	2 23

All 29 unique residues with a non-rotameric sidechain are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	А	128	THR	9
1	А	143	SER	8
1	А	139	SER	7
1	А	119	LYS	7

Continued on next page...



Continued from previous page							
Mol	Chain	\mathbf{Res}	Type	Models (Total)			
1	А	120	ARG	6			
1	А	107	CYS	6			
1	А	97	LYS	6			
1	А	99	SER	6			
1	А	103	SER	5			
1	А	115	SER	5			
1	А	105	ASP	5			
1	А	134	GLU	4			
1	А	140	ASP	4			
1	А	93	LYS	4			
1	А	96	ASP	4			
1	А	136	GLN	4			
1	А	117	ASP	3			
1	А	112	THR	3			
1	А	108	ILE	3			
1	А	137	ASN	3			
1	А	104	GLU	3			
1	А	142	LEU	3			
1	А	101	ILE	3			
1	А	121	GLU	2			
1	А	138	LEU	2			
1	А	123	CYS	1			
1	А	122	THR	1			
1	А	132	ASN	1			
1	А	133	ARG	1			

Continued from previous page...

6.3.3 RNA (i)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains (i)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

6.5 Carbohydrates (i)

There are no carbohydrates in this entry.



6.6 Ligand geometry (i)

There are no ligands in this entry.

6.7 Other polymers (i)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

6.8 Polymer linkage issues (i)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.



7 Chemical shift validation (i)

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 84% for the well-defined parts and 85% for the entire structure.

7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: input_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: *assigned_chem_shift_list_1*

7.1.1 Bookkeeping (i)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	622
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	622
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	2

7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing (i)

The following table shows the suggested chemical shift referencing corrections.

Nucleus	# values	${\bf Correction}\pm{\bf precision},ppm$	Suggested action
$^{13}C_{\alpha}$	57	0.25 ± 0.12	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)
$^{13}C_{\beta}$	53	0.35 ± 0.16	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)
$^{13}C'$	0		None (insufficient data)
¹⁵ N	55	3.33 ± 0.61	Should be applied

7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments (i)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 84%, i.e. 528 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 626. 7 out of 7 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^{1}\mathrm{H}$	$^{13}\mathrm{C}$	$^{15}\mathbf{N}$
Backbone	208/261~(80%)	104/104~(100%)	53/106~(50%)	51/51~(100%)
Sidechain	267/308~(87%)	165/180~(92%)	100/116~(86%)	2/12~(17%)

Continued on next page...



	Total	$^{1}\mathbf{H}$	$^{13}\mathrm{C}$	$^{15}\mathbf{N}$
Aromatic	53/57~(93%)	27/29~(93%)	24/26~(92%)	2/2~(100%)
Overall	528/626~(84%)	296/313~(95%)	177/248~(71%)	55/65~(85%)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the full structure. The overall completeness is 85%, i.e. 567 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 670. 7 out of 7 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^{1}\mathbf{H}$	$^{13}\mathrm{C}$	15 N
Backbone	220/276~(80%)	110/110~(100%)	56/112~(50%)	54/54~(100%)
Sidechain	294/337~(87%)	182/197~(92%)	108/126~(86%)	4/14 (29%)
Aromatic	53/57~(93%)	27/29~(93%)	24/26~(92%)	2/2~(100%)
Overall	567/670~(85%)	319/336~(95%)	188/264~(71%)	60/70~(86%)

7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts (i)

The following table lists the statistically unusual chemical shifts. These are statistical measures, and large deviations from the mean do not necessarily imply incorrect assignments. Molecules containing paramagnetic centres or hemes are expected to give rise to anomalous chemical shifts.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Shift, ppm	Expected range, ppm	Z-score
1	А	104	GLU	HB3	-0.05	3.10 - 0.90	-9.3
1	А	104	GLU	HB2	0.39	3.08 - 0.98	-7.8

7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots (i)

The image below reports *random coil index* values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain A:



