



# Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report ⓘ

Jun 6, 2023 – 05:47 pm BST

PDB ID : 2CKA  
BMRB ID : 15098  
Title : Solution structures of the BRK domains of the human Chromo Helicase Domain 7 and 8, reveals structural similarity with GYF domain suggesting a role in protein interaction  
Authors : Ab, E.; de Jong, R.N.; Diercks, T.; Xiaoyun, J.; Daniels, M.; Kaptein, R.; Folkers, G.E.  
Deposited on : 2006-04-14

This is a Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at [validation@mail.wwpdb.org](mailto:validation@mail.wwpdb.org)

A user guide is available at

<https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp>

with specific help available everywhere you see the ⓘ symbol.

The types of validation reports are described at

<http://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/FAQs#types>.

---

The following versions of software and data (see [references ⓘ](#)) were used in the production of this report:

MolProbity : 4.02b-467  
Percentile statistics : 20191225.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 25th 2019)  
wwPDB-RCI : v\_1n\_11\_5\_13\_A (Berjanski et al., 2005)  
PANAV : Wang et al. (2010)  
wwPDB-ShiftChecker : v1.2  
BMRB Restraints Analysis : v1.2  
Ideal geometry (proteins) : Engh & Huber (2001)  
Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA) : Parkinson et al. (1996)  
Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP) : 2.33


# 1 Overall quality at a glance

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure:

*SOLUTION NMR*

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 89%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Metric	Whole archive (#Entries)	NMR archive (#Entries)
Clashscore	158937	12864
Ramachandran outliers	154571	11451
Sidechain outliers	154315	11428

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for  $\geq 3$ , 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions  $\leq 5\%$ .

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain
1	A	95	

## 2 Ensemble composition and analysis

This entry contains 20 models. Model 1 is the overall representative, medoid model (most similar to other models).

The following residues are included in the computation of the global validation metrics.

Well-defined (core) protein residues			
Well-defined core	Residue range (total)	Backbone RMSD (Å)	Medoid model
1	A:2032-A:2078 (47)	0.79	1

Ill-defined regions of proteins are excluded from the global statistics.

Ligands and non-protein polymers are included in the analysis.

The models can be grouped into 3 clusters. No single-model clusters were found.

Cluster number	Models
1	1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 14, 15
2	3, 12, 13, 17, 18, 19, 20
3	11, 16

### 3 Entry composition [i](#)

There is only 1 type of molecule in this entry. The entry contains 952 atoms, of which 476 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

- Molecule 1 is a protein called CHROMODOMAIN-HELICASE-DNA-BINDING PROTEIN 8.


Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms						Trace
			Total	C	H	N	O	S	
1	A	58	952	301	476	85	88	2	0

## 4 Residue-property plots [i](#)

### 4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA, DNA and oligosaccharide chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.

- Molecule 1: CHROMODOMAIN-HELICASE-DNA-BINDING PROTEIN 8




### 4.2 Scores per residue for each member of the ensemble

Colouring as in section 4.1 above.


#### 4.2.1 Score per residue for model 1 (medoid)

- Molecule 1: CHROMODOMAIN-HELICASE-DNA-BINDING PROTEIN 8




#### 4.2.2 Score per residue for model 2

- Molecule 1: CHROMODOMAIN-HELICASE-DNA-BINDING PROTEIN 8




### 4.2.3 Score per residue for model 3

- Molecule 1: CHROMODOMAIN-HELICASE-DNA-BINDING PROTEIN 8




### 4.2.4 Score per residue for model 4

- Molecule 1: CHROMODOMAIN-HELICASE-DNA-BINDING PROTEIN 8




### 4.2.5 Score per residue for model 5

- Molecule 1: CHROMODOMAIN-HELICASE-DNA-BINDING PROTEIN 8



### 4.2.6 Score per residue for model 6


- Molecule 1: CHROMODOMAIN-HELICASE-DNA-BINDING PROTEIN 8



### 4.2.7 Score per residue for model 7


- Molecule 1: CHROMODOMAIN-HELICASE-DNA-BINDING PROTEIN 8







#### 4.2.8 Score per residue for model 8

- Molecule 1: CHROMODOMAIN-HELICASE-DNA-BINDING PROTEIN 8




#### 4.2.9 Score per residue for model 9

- Molecule 1: CHROMODOMAIN-HELICASE-DNA-BINDING PROTEIN 8




#### 4.2.10 Score per residue for model 10

- Molecule 1: CHROMODOMAIN-HELICASE-DNA-BINDING PROTEIN 8




#### 4.2.11 Score per residue for model 11

- Molecule 1: CHROMODOMAIN-HELICASE-DNA-BINDING PROTEIN 8




#### 4.2.12 Score per residue for model 12

- Molecule 1: CHROMODOMAIN-HELICASE-DNA-BINDING PROTEIN 8




#### 4.2.13 Score per residue for model 13

- Molecule 1: CHROMODOMAIN-HELICASE-DNA-BINDING PROTEIN 8




#### 4.2.14 Score per residue for model 14

- Molecule 1: CHROMODOMAIN-HELICASE-DNA-BINDING PROTEIN 8



#### 4.2.15 Score per residue for model 15


- Molecule 1: CHROMODOMAIN-HELICASE-DNA-BINDING PROTEIN 8






#### 4.2.16 Score per residue for model 16

- Molecule 1: CHROMODOMAIN-HELICASE-DNA-BINDING PROTEIN 8




#### 4.2.17 Score per residue for model 17

- Molecule 1: CHROMODOMAIN-HELICASE-DNA-BINDING PROTEIN 8




#### 4.2.18 Score per residue for model 18

- Molecule 1: CHROMODOMAIN-HELICASE-DNA-BINDING PROTEIN 8




#### 4.2.19 Score per residue for model 19

- Molecule 1: CHROMODOMAIN-HELICASE-DNA-BINDING PROTEIN 8



#### 4.2.20 Score per residue for model 20

- Molecule 1: CHROMODOMAIN-HELICASE-DNA-BINDING PROTEIN 8



02084  
R2085

## 5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview

The models were refined using the following method: *CANDID IN CYANA*.

Of the 50 calculated structures, 20 were deposited, based on the following criterion: *LEAST RESTRAINT VIOLATION*.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
CNS	refinement	
Sparky	structure solution	

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	working_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	1
Total number of shifts	674
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	653
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	21
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	89%

## 6 Model quality [i](#)

### 6.1 Standard geometry [i](#)

There are no covalent bond-length or bond-angle outliers.

Chiral center outliers are detected by calculating the chiral volume of a chiral center and verifying if the center is modelled as a planar moiety or with the opposite hand. A planarity outlier is detected by checking planarity of atoms in a peptide group, atoms in a mainchain group or atoms of a sidechain that are expected to be planar.

Mol	Chain	Chirality	Planarity
1	A	0.0±0.0	0.4±0.6
All	All	0	8

There are no bond-length outliers.

There are no bond-angle outliers.

There are no chirality outliers.

All unique planar outliers are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Group	Models (Total)
1	A	2055	ARG	Sidechain	4
1	A	2054	ARG	Sidechain	3
1	A	2073	ARG	Sidechain	1

### 6.2 Too-close contacts [i](#)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	A	379	378	378	2±1
All	All	7580	7560	7560	30

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 2.

All unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
				Worst	Total
1:A:2046:LEU:HD11	1:A:2048:VAL:HG13	0.66	1.68	15	1
1:A:2040:ASN:OD1	1:A:2042:VAL:HB	0.55	2.02	3	1
1:A:2073:ARG:HD3	1:A:2073:ARG:O	0.51	2.05	17	1
1:A:2055:ARG:HD3	1:A:2055:ARG:O	0.50	2.06	4	1
1:A:2055:ARG:H	1:A:2055:ARG:HD3	0.50	1.66	20	1
1:A:2055:ARG:HA	1:A:2058:LEU:HB3	0.49	1.83	13	1
1:A:2059:GLU:O	1:A:2063:GLN:HG2	0.48	2.08	12	3
1:A:2035:ARG:HD2	1:A:2035:ARG:H	0.48	1.69	6	1
1:A:2071:ASP:OD1	1:A:2073:ARG:HB3	0.47	2.08	9	1
1:A:2071:ASP:OD2	1:A:2073:ARG:HB3	0.47	2.10	8	2
1:A:2037:PRO:HA	1:A:2047:LEU:O	0.46	2.11	13	2
1:A:2040:ASN:HA	1:A:2067:GLU:O	0.45	2.12	16	1
1:A:2054:ARG:O	1:A:2057:GLU:HG2	0.45	2.10	18	2
1:A:2035:ARG:H	1:A:2035:ARG:CD	0.44	2.25	6	1
1:A:2074:PHE:O	1:A:2077:TYR:HB3	0.44	2.12	20	1
1:A:2075:LEU:O	1:A:2078:MET:HG2	0.44	2.13	12	1
1:A:2036:ILE:HG13	1:A:2055:ARG:HD3	0.43	1.89	1	1
1:A:2066:PRO:C	1:A:2068:PHE:H	0.43	2.16	17	1
1:A:2035:ARG:HG3	1:A:2049:GLY:O	0.42	2.13	9	1
1:A:2071:ASP:OD2	1:A:2073:ARG:HB2	0.42	2.13	2	1
1:A:2032:LEU:HA	1:A:2055:ARG:HB3	0.42	1.91	13	1
1:A:2067:GLU:OE1	1:A:2067:GLU:HA	0.41	2.15	3	1
1:A:2060:MET:O	1:A:2063:GLN:HB3	0.41	2.16	7	1
1:A:2036:ILE:O	1:A:2052:ALA:HB1	0.41	2.15	3	1
1:A:2036:ILE:CG1	1:A:2055:ARG:HG2	0.40	2.46	3	1

## 6.3 Torsion angles [i](#)

### 6.3.1 Protein backbone [i](#)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	Percentiles	
1	A	47/95 (49%)	45±1 (95±2%)	2±1 (4±2%)	0±1 (1±1%)	26	73
All	All	940/1900 (49%)	895 (95%)	38 (4%)	7 (1%)	26	73

All 4 unique Ramachandran outliers are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	2044	GLY	2
1	A	2067	GLU	2
1	A	2032	LEU	2
1	A	2066	PRO	1

### 6.3.2 Protein sidechains [i](#)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Rotameric	Outliers	Percentiles	
1	A	40/86 (47%)	39±1 (98±3%)	1±1 (2±3%)	51	92
All	All	800/1720 (47%)	781 (98%)	19 (2%)	51	92

All 9 unique residues with a non-rotameric sidechain are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	2033	GLU	5
1	A	2055	ARG	3
1	A	2035	ARG	3
1	A	2060	MET	2
1	A	2073	ARG	2
1	A	2050	GLU	1
1	A	2034	THR	1
1	A	2054	ARG	1
1	A	2063	GLN	1

### 6.3.3 RNA [i](#)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

## 6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains [i](#)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

## 6.5 Carbohydrates [i](#)

There are no monosaccharides in this entry.

## 6.6 Ligand geometry [i](#)

There are no ligands in this entry.

## 6.7 Other polymers [i](#)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

## 6.8 Polymer linkage issues [i](#)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.

## 7 Chemical shift validation

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 89% for the well-defined parts and 78% for the entire structure.

### 7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: working\_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: *assigned\_chem\_shift\_list\_1*

#### 7.1.1 Bookkeeping

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	674
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	653
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	21
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	2

The following assigned chemical shifts were not mapped to the molecules present in the coordinate file.

- No matching atom found in the structure. All 21 occurrences are reported below.

List ID	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Shift Data		
					Value	Uncertainty	Ambiguity
1	A	2025	PRO	HA	4.344	0.020	1
1	A	2025	PRO	HB2	1.779	0.020	2
1	A	2025	PRO	HB3	2.17	0.020	2
1	A	2025	PRO	HG2	1.913	0.020	1
1	A	2025	PRO	HG3	1.913	0.020	1
1	A	2025	PRO	HD2	3.708	0.020	2
1	A	2025	PRO	HD3	3.604	0.020	2
1	A	2025	PRO	CA	63.488	0.400	1
1	A	2025	PRO	CB	32.013	0.400	1
1	A	2025	PRO	CG	27.39	0.400	1
1	A	2025	PRO	CD	50.656	0.400	1
1	A	2026	ASN	H	8.438	0.020	1
1	A	2026	ASN	HA	4.607	0.020	1
1	A	2026	ASN	HB2	2.732	0.020	1

*Continued on next page...*



Continued from previous page...

List ID	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Shift Data		
					Value	Uncertainty	Ambiguity
1	A	2026	ASN	HB3	2.732	0.020	1
1	A	2026	ASN	HD21	6.799	0.020	2
1	A	2026	ASN	HD22	7.598	0.020	2
1	A	2026	ASN	CA	53.218	0.400	1
1	A	2026	ASN	CB	38.991	0.400	1
1	A	2026	ASN	N	118.013	0.400	1
1	A	2026	ASN	ND2	112.772	0.400	1

### 7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing [i](#)

The following table shows the suggested chemical shift referencing corrections.

Nucleus	# values	Correction $\pm$ precision, ppm	Suggested action
$^{13}\text{C}_\alpha$	55	0.01 $\pm$ 0.14	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)
$^{13}\text{C}_\beta$	51	0.01 $\pm$ 0.19	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)
$^{13}\text{C}'$	50	0.14 $\pm$ 0.16	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)
$^{15}\text{N}$	49	0.27 $\pm$ 0.53	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)

### 7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments [i](#)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 89%, i.e. 597 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 670. 0 out of 10 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^1\text{H}$	$^{13}\text{C}$	$^{15}\text{N}$
Backbone	229/230 (100%)	92/93 (99%)	94/94 (100%)	43/43 (100%)
Sidechain	329/392 (84%)	224/256 (88%)	103/121 (85%)	2/15 (13%)
Aromatic	39/48 (81%)	20/24 (83%)	18/22 (82%)	1/2 (50%)
Overall	597/670 (89%)	336/373 (90%)	215/237 (91%)	46/60 (77%)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the full structure. The overall completeness is 78%, i.e. 653 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 839. 0 out of 12 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^1\text{H}$	$^{13}\text{C}$	$^{15}\text{N}$
Backbone	253/285 (89%)	102/115 (89%)	103/116 (89%)	48/54 (89%)
Sidechain	361/506 (71%)	245/326 (75%)	114/156 (73%)	2/24 (8%)
Aromatic	39/48 (81%)	20/24 (83%)	18/22 (82%)	1/2 (50%)
Overall	653/839 (78%)	367/465 (79%)	235/294 (80%)	51/80 (64%)

### 7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts [i](#)


The following table lists the statistically unusual chemical shifts. These are statistical measures, and large deviations from the mean do not necessarily imply incorrect assignments. Molecules containing paramagnetic centres or hemes are expected to give rise to anomalous chemical shifts.

List Id	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Shift, ppm	Expected range, ppm	Z-score
1	A	2053	PRO	HD3	1.39	1.76 – 5.48	-6.0
1	A	2061	TRP	HH2	5.20	5.24 – 8.73	-5.1

### 7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots [i](#)

The image below reports *random coil index* values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition. If well-defined core and ill-defined regions are not identified then it is shown as gray bars.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain A:



## 8 NMR restraints analysis

### 8.1 Conformationally restricting restraints

The following table provides the summary of experimentally observed NMR restraints in different categories. Restraints are classified into different categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved.

Description	Value
Total distance restraints	808
Intra-residue ( $ i-j =0$ )	237
Sequential ( $ i-j =1$ )	225
Medium range ( $ i-j >1$ and $ i-j <5$ )	133
Long range ( $ i-j \geq 5$ )	213
Inter-chain	0
Hydrogen bond restraints	0
Disulfide bond restraints	0
Total dihedral-angle restraints	0
Number of unmapped restraints	0
Number of restraints per residue	8.5
Number of long range restraints per residue <sup>1</sup>	2.2

<sup>1</sup>Long range hydrogen bonds and disulfide bonds are counted as long range restraints while calculating the number of long range restraints per residue

### 8.2 Residual restraint violations

This section provides the overview of the restraint violations analysis. The violations are binned as small, medium and large violations based on its absolute value. Average number of violations per model is calculated by dividing the total number of violations in each bin by the size of the ensemble.

#### 8.2.1 Average number of distance violations per model

Distance violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the calculation.

Bins (Å)	Average number of violations per model	Max (Å)
0.1-0.2 (Small)	2.1	0.18
0.2-0.5 (Medium)	0.2	0.29
>0.5 (Large)	None	None

### 8.2.2 Average number of dihedral-angle violations per model

Dihedral-angle violations less than  $1^\circ$  are not included in the calculation. There are no dihedral-angle violations

## 9 Distance violation analysis [i](#)


### 9.1 Summary of distance violations [i](#)

The following table shows the summary of distance violations in different restraint categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved. Each category is further sub-divided into three sub-categories based on the atoms involved. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

Restrains type	Count	% <sup>1</sup>	Violated <sup>3</sup>			Consistently Violated <sup>4</sup>		
			Count	% <sup>2</sup>	% <sup>1</sup>	Count	% <sup>2</sup>	% <sup>1</sup>
<b>Intra-residue (<math> i-j =0</math>)</b>	<b>237</b>	<b>29.3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>0.4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>
Backbone-Backbone	1	0.1	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	210	26.0	3	1.4	0.4	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	26	3.2	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
<b>Sequential (<math> i-j =1</math>)</b>	<b>225</b>	<b>27.8</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>3.1</b>	<b>0.9</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>
Backbone-Backbone	54	6.7	2	3.7	0.2	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	146	18.1	4	2.7	0.5	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	25	3.1	1	4.0	0.1	0	0.0	0.0
<b>Medium range (<math> i-j &gt;1</math> &amp; <math> i-j &lt;5</math>)</b>	<b>133</b>	<b>16.5</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>6.8</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>
Backbone-Backbone	23	2.8	3	13.0	0.4	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	65	8.0	3	4.6	0.4	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	45	5.6	3	6.7	0.4	0	0.0	0.0
<b>Long range (<math> i-j \geq 5</math>)</b>	<b>213</b>	<b>26.4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2.3</b>	<b>0.6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>
Backbone-Backbone	19	2.4	1	5.3	0.1	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	70	8.7	3	4.3	0.4	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	124	15.3	1	0.8	0.1	0	0.0	0.0
<b>Inter-chain</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
<b>Hydrogen bond</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>
<b>Disulfide bond</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>808</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>3.0</b>	<b>3.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>
Backbone-Backbone	97	12.0	6	6.2	0.7	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	491	60.8	13	2.6	1.6	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	220	27.2	5	2.3	0.6	0	0.0	0.0

<sup>1</sup> percentage calculated with respect to the total number of distance restraints, <sup>2</sup> percentage calculated with respect to the number of restraints in a particular restraint category, <sup>3</sup> violated in at least one model, <sup>4</sup> violated in all the models

### 9.1.1 Bar chart : Distribution of distance restraints and violations [i](#)



Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories. The hydrogen bonds and disulfid bonds are counted in their appropriate category on the x-axis

## 9.2 Distance violation statistics for each model [i](#)

The following table provides the distance violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

Model ID	Number of violations						Mean (Å)	Max (Å)	SD <sup>6</sup> (Å)	Median (Å)
	IR <sup>1</sup>	SQ <sup>2</sup>	MR <sup>3</sup>	LR <sup>4</sup>	IC <sup>5</sup>	Total				
1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0.13	0.13	0.0	0.13
2	0	0	0	1	0	1	0.11	0.11	0.0	0.11
3	0	2	1	0	0	3	0.21	0.29	0.06	0.18
4	1	1	0	1	0	3	0.16	0.17	0.01	0.16
5	0	1	0	0	0	1	0.13	0.13	0.0	0.13
6	0	0	0	1	0	1	0.16	0.16	0.0	0.16
7	1	0	0	0	0	1	0.13	0.13	0.0	0.13
8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
9	0	0	0	1	0	1	0.12	0.12	0.0	0.12
10	0	0	1	0	0	1	0.15	0.15	0.0	0.15
11	1	0	2	1	0	4	0.15	0.21	0.04	0.14


*Continued on next page...*

Continued from previous page...

Model ID	Number of violations					Total	Mean (Å)	Max (Å)	SD <sup>6</sup> (Å)	Median (Å)
	IR <sup>1</sup>	SQ <sup>2</sup>	MR <sup>3</sup>	LR <sup>4</sup>	IC <sup>5</sup>					
12	1	1	1	0	0	3	0.12	0.13	0.01	0.13
13	1	2	3	0	0	6	0.13	0.17	0.02	0.13
14	1	1	1	0	0	3	0.15	0.18	0.03	0.15
15	0	1	1	1	0	3	0.13	0.14	0.01	0.12
16	0	0	4	3	0	7	0.16	0.28	0.06	0.14
17	0	2	2	1	0	5	0.15	0.24	0.05	0.13
18	1	0	0	1	0	2	0.12	0.12	0.0	0.12
19	0	0	0	1	0	1	0.11	0.11	0.0	0.11
20	0	0	0	1	0	1	0.11	0.11	0.0	0.11

<sup>1</sup>Intra-residue restraints, <sup>2</sup>Sequential restraints, <sup>3</sup>Medium range restraints, <sup>4</sup>Long range restraints, <sup>5</sup>Inter-chain restraints, <sup>6</sup>Standard deviation

### 9.2.1 Bar graph : Distance Violation statistics for each model [\(i\)](#)



The mean(dot),median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right

### 9.3 Distance violation statistics for the ensemble


Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of the ensemble. In total, 784(IR:234, SQ:218, MR:124, LR:208, IC:0) restraints are not violated in the ensemble.

Number of violated restraints						Fraction of the ensemble	
IR <sup>1</sup>	SQ <sup>2</sup>	MR <sup>3</sup>	LR <sup>4</sup>	IC <sup>5</sup>	Total	Count <sup>6</sup>	%
1	5	5	3	0	14	1	5.0
1	1	1	1	0	4	2	10.0
0	0	3	0	0	3	3	15.0
1	1	0	0	0	2	4	20.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	5	25.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	6	30.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	7	35.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	8	40.0
0	0	0	1	0	1	9	45.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	10	50.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	11	55.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	12	60.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	13	65.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	14	70.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	15	75.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	16	80.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	17	85.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	18	90.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	19	95.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	20	100.0

<sup>1</sup>Intra-residue restraints, <sup>2</sup>Sequential restraints, <sup>3</sup>Medium range restraints, <sup>4</sup>Long range restraints, <sup>5</sup>Inter-chain restraints, <sup>6</sup> Number of models with violations




### 9.3.1 Bar graph : Distance violation statistics for the ensemble [i](#)



## 9.4 Most violated distance restraints in the ensemble [i](#)

### 9.4.1 Histogram : Distribution of mean distance violations [i](#)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models in the ensemble



#### 9.4.2 Table: Most violated distance restraints [i](#)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violation for each restraint sorted by number of violated models and the mean value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Models <sup>1</sup>	Mean (Å)	SD <sup>1</sup> (Å)	Median (Å)
(1,377)	1:A:2036:ILE:H	1:A:2055:ARG:HG2	9	0.12	0.01	0.12
(1,377)	1:A:2036:ILE:H	1:A:2055:ARG:HG3	9	0.12	0.01	0.12
(1,762)	1:A:2075:LEU:HA	1:A:2075:LEU:HD21	4	0.15	0.01	0.15
(1,762)	1:A:2075:LEU:HA	1:A:2075:LEU:HD22	4	0.15	0.01	0.15
(1,762)	1:A:2075:LEU:HA	1:A:2075:LEU:HD23	4	0.15	0.01	0.15
(1,307)	1:A:2050:GLU:HA	1:A:2051:ASP:HB2	4	0.13	0.02	0.12
(1,160)	1:A:2040:ASN:HB2	1:A:2043:ASP:HB2	3	0.26	0.04	0.28
(1,160)	1:A:2040:ASN:HB2	1:A:2043:ASP:HB3	3	0.26	0.04	0.28
(1,44)	1:A:2030:VAL:HB	1:A:2034:THR:HG21	3	0.15	0.02	0.15
(1,44)	1:A:2030:VAL:HB	1:A:2034:THR:HG22	3	0.15	0.02	0.15
(1,44)	1:A:2030:VAL:HB	1:A:2034:THR:HG23	3	0.15	0.02	0.15
(1,419)	1:A:2054:ARG:HD2	1:A:2057:GLU:H	3	0.13	0.02	0.13
(1,419)	1:A:2054:ARG:HD3	1:A:2057:GLU:H	3	0.13	0.02	0.13
(1,131)	1:A:2039:ILE:HG21	1:A:2041:LYS:HD2	2	0.16	0.05	0.16
(1,131)	1:A:2039:ILE:HG21	1:A:2041:LYS:HD3	2	0.16	0.05	0.16
(1,131)	1:A:2039:ILE:HG22	1:A:2041:LYS:HD2	2	0.16	0.05	0.16

*Continued on next page...*

Continued from previous page...


Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Models <sup>1</sup>	Mean (Å)	SD <sup>1</sup> (Å)	Median (Å)
(1,131)	1:A:2039:ILE:HG22	1:A:2041:LYS:HD3	2	0.16	0.05	0.16
(1,131)	1:A:2039:ILE:HG23	1:A:2041:LYS:HD2	2	0.16	0.05	0.16
(1,131)	1:A:2039:ILE:HG23	1:A:2041:LYS:HD3	2	0.16	0.05	0.16
(1,19)	1:A:2031:ASP:HA	1:A:2032:LEU:H	2	0.16	0.02	0.16
(1,186)	1:A:2040:ASN:HB3	1:A:2045:THR:HB	2	0.12	0.02	0.12
(1,137)	1:A:2041:LYS:HA	1:A:2041:LYS:HD2	2	0.11	0.0	0.11
(1,137)	1:A:2041:LYS:HA	1:A:2041:LYS:HD3	2	0.11	0.0	0.11

<sup>1</sup>Number of violated models, <sup>2</sup>Standard deviation

## 9.5 All violated distance restraints [i](#)

### 9.5.1 Histogram : Distribution of distance violations [i](#)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.



### 9.5.2 Table : All distance violations [i](#)

The following table lists the absolute value of the violation for each restraint in the ensemble sorted by its value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(1,160)	1:A:2040:ASN:HB2	1:A:2043:ASP:HB2	3	0.29
(1,160)	1:A:2040:ASN:HB2	1:A:2043:ASP:HB3	3	0.29
(1,160)	1:A:2040:ASN:HB2	1:A:2043:ASP:HB2	16	0.28
(1,160)	1:A:2040:ASN:HB2	1:A:2043:ASP:HB3	16	0.28
(1,161)	1:A:2042:VAL:HB	1:A:2043:ASP:H	17	0.24
(1,160)	1:A:2040:ASN:HB2	1:A:2043:ASP:HB2	11	0.21
(1,160)	1:A:2040:ASN:HB2	1:A:2043:ASP:HB3	11	0.21
(1,131)	1:A:2039:ILE:HG21	1:A:2041:LYS:HD2	16	0.21
(1,131)	1:A:2039:ILE:HG21	1:A:2041:LYS:HD3	16	0.21
(1,131)	1:A:2039:ILE:HG22	1:A:2041:LYS:HD2	16	0.21
(1,131)	1:A:2039:ILE:HG22	1:A:2041:LYS:HD3	16	0.21
(1,131)	1:A:2039:ILE:HG23	1:A:2041:LYS:HD2	16	0.21
(1,131)	1:A:2039:ILE:HG23	1:A:2041:LYS:HD3	16	0.21
(1,44)	1:A:2030:VAL:HB	1:A:2034:THR:HG21	14	0.18
(1,44)	1:A:2030:VAL:HB	1:A:2034:THR:HG22	14	0.18
(1,44)	1:A:2030:VAL:HB	1:A:2034:THR:HG23	14	0.18
(1,192)	1:A:2044:GLY:H	1:A:2045:THR:HA	3	0.18
(1,376)	1:A:2036:ILE:H	1:A:2055:ARG:HD2	4	0.17
(1,376)	1:A:2036:ILE:H	1:A:2055:ARG:HD3	4	0.17
(1,19)	1:A:2031:ASP:HA	1:A:2032:LEU:H	13	0.17
(1,762)	1:A:2075:LEU:HA	1:A:2075:LEU:HD21	4	0.16
(1,762)	1:A:2075:LEU:HA	1:A:2075:LEU:HD22	4	0.16
(1,762)	1:A:2075:LEU:HA	1:A:2075:LEU:HD23	4	0.16
(1,377)	1:A:2036:ILE:H	1:A:2055:ARG:HG2	6	0.16
(1,377)	1:A:2036:ILE:H	1:A:2055:ARG:HG3	6	0.16
(1,762)	1:A:2075:LEU:HA	1:A:2075:LEU:HD21	13	0.15
(1,762)	1:A:2075:LEU:HA	1:A:2075:LEU:HD22	13	0.15
(1,762)	1:A:2075:LEU:HA	1:A:2075:LEU:HD23	13	0.15
(1,574)	1:A:2065:HIS:HA	1:A:2067:GLU:H	17	0.15
(1,44)	1:A:2030:VAL:HB	1:A:2034:THR:HG21	16	0.15
(1,44)	1:A:2030:VAL:HB	1:A:2034:THR:HG22	16	0.15
(1,44)	1:A:2030:VAL:HB	1:A:2034:THR:HG23	16	0.15
(1,419)	1:A:2054:ARG:HD2	1:A:2057:GLU:H	10	0.15
(1,419)	1:A:2054:ARG:HD3	1:A:2057:GLU:H	10	0.15
(1,307)	1:A:2050:GLU:HA	1:A:2051:ASP:HB2	14	0.15
(1,194)	1:A:2044:GLY:H	1:A:2045:THR:HG21	3	0.15
(1,194)	1:A:2044:GLY:H	1:A:2045:THR:HG22	3	0.15
(1,194)	1:A:2044:GLY:H	1:A:2045:THR:HG23	3	0.15
(1,762)	1:A:2075:LEU:HA	1:A:2075:LEU:HD21	11	0.14
(1,762)	1:A:2075:LEU:HA	1:A:2075:LEU:HD22	11	0.14
(1,762)	1:A:2075:LEU:HA	1:A:2075:LEU:HD23	11	0.14
(1,307)	1:A:2050:GLU:HA	1:A:2051:ASP:HB2	4	0.14
(1,19)	1:A:2031:ASP:HA	1:A:2032:LEU:H	15	0.14

Continued on next page...

*Continued from previous page...*

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(1,186)	1:A:2040:ASN:HB3	1:A:2045:THR:HB	11	0.14
(1,18)	1:A:2030:VAL:HG21	1:A:2032:LEU:H	13	0.14
(1,18)	1:A:2030:VAL:HG22	1:A:2032:LEU:H	13	0.14
(1,18)	1:A:2030:VAL:HG23	1:A:2032:LEU:H	13	0.14
(1,171)	1:A:2039:ILE:HD11	1:A:2044:GLY:HA3	16	0.14
(1,171)	1:A:2039:ILE:HD12	1:A:2044:GLY:HA3	16	0.14
(1,171)	1:A:2039:ILE:HD13	1:A:2044:GLY:HA3	16	0.14
(1,762)	1:A:2075:LEU:HA	1:A:2075:LEU:HD21	12	0.13
(1,762)	1:A:2075:LEU:HA	1:A:2075:LEU:HD22	12	0.13
(1,762)	1:A:2075:LEU:HA	1:A:2075:LEU:HD23	12	0.13
(1,557)	1:A:2063:GLN:HA	1:A:2065:HIS:H	17	0.13
(1,459)	1:A:2058:LEU:HD11	1:A:2059:GLU:HB2	5	0.13
(1,459)	1:A:2058:LEU:HD11	1:A:2059:GLU:HB3	5	0.13
(1,459)	1:A:2058:LEU:HD12	1:A:2059:GLU:HB2	5	0.13
(1,459)	1:A:2058:LEU:HD12	1:A:2059:GLU:HB3	5	0.13
(1,459)	1:A:2058:LEU:HD13	1:A:2059:GLU:HB2	5	0.13
(1,459)	1:A:2058:LEU:HD13	1:A:2059:GLU:HB3	5	0.13
(1,459)	1:A:2058:LEU:HD21	1:A:2059:GLU:HB2	5	0.13
(1,459)	1:A:2058:LEU:HD21	1:A:2059:GLU:HB3	5	0.13
(1,459)	1:A:2058:LEU:HD22	1:A:2059:GLU:HB2	5	0.13
(1,459)	1:A:2058:LEU:HD22	1:A:2059:GLU:HB3	5	0.13
(1,459)	1:A:2058:LEU:HD23	1:A:2059:GLU:HB2	5	0.13
(1,459)	1:A:2058:LEU:HD23	1:A:2059:GLU:HB3	5	0.13
(1,419)	1:A:2054:ARG:HD2	1:A:2057:GLU:H	12	0.13
(1,419)	1:A:2054:ARG:HD3	1:A:2057:GLU:H	12	0.13
(1,377)	1:A:2036:ILE:H	1:A:2055:ARG:HG2	1	0.13
(1,377)	1:A:2036:ILE:H	1:A:2055:ARG:HG3	1	0.13
(1,292)	1:A:2050:GLU:HA	1:A:2050:GLU:HG3	7	0.13
(1,622)	1:A:2067:GLU:H	1:A:2068:PHE:HB2	17	0.12
(1,622)	1:A:2067:GLU:H	1:A:2068:PHE:HB3	17	0.12
(1,44)	1:A:2030:VAL:HB	1:A:2034:THR:HG21	13	0.12
(1,44)	1:A:2030:VAL:HB	1:A:2034:THR:HG22	13	0.12
(1,44)	1:A:2030:VAL:HB	1:A:2034:THR:HG23	13	0.12
(1,377)	1:A:2036:ILE:H	1:A:2055:ARG:HG2	9	0.12
(1,377)	1:A:2036:ILE:H	1:A:2055:ARG:HG3	9	0.12
(1,377)	1:A:2036:ILE:H	1:A:2055:ARG:HG2	15	0.12
(1,377)	1:A:2036:ILE:H	1:A:2055:ARG:HG3	15	0.12
(1,377)	1:A:2036:ILE:H	1:A:2055:ARG:HG2	16	0.12
(1,377)	1:A:2036:ILE:H	1:A:2055:ARG:HG3	16	0.12
(1,377)	1:A:2036:ILE:H	1:A:2055:ARG:HG2	18	0.12
(1,377)	1:A:2036:ILE:H	1:A:2055:ARG:HG3	18	0.12
(1,268)	1:A:2046:LEU:HG	1:A:2048:VAL:H	15	0.12

*Continued on next page...*

*Continued from previous page...*

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(1,419)	1:A:2054:ARG:HD2	1:A:2057:GLU:H	11	0.11
(1,419)	1:A:2054:ARG:HD3	1:A:2057:GLU:H	11	0.11
(1,393)	1:A:2032:LEU:HA	1:A:2056:ALA:H	20	0.11
(1,377)	1:A:2036:ILE:H	1:A:2055:ARG:HG2	2	0.11
(1,377)	1:A:2036:ILE:H	1:A:2055:ARG:HG3	2	0.11
(1,377)	1:A:2036:ILE:H	1:A:2055:ARG:HG2	17	0.11
(1,377)	1:A:2036:ILE:H	1:A:2055:ARG:HG3	17	0.11
(1,377)	1:A:2036:ILE:H	1:A:2055:ARG:HG2	19	0.11
(1,377)	1:A:2036:ILE:H	1:A:2055:ARG:HG3	19	0.11
(1,307)	1:A:2050:GLU:HA	1:A:2051:ASP:HB2	12	0.11
(1,307)	1:A:2050:GLU:HA	1:A:2051:ASP:HB2	13	0.11
(1,186)	1:A:2040:ASN:HB3	1:A:2045:THR:HB	16	0.11
(1,177)	1:A:2042:VAL:H	1:A:2044:GLY:H	16	0.11
(1,137)	1:A:2041:LYS:HA	1:A:2041:LYS:HD2	14	0.11
(1,137)	1:A:2041:LYS:HA	1:A:2041:LYS:HD3	14	0.11
(1,137)	1:A:2041:LYS:HA	1:A:2041:LYS:HD2	18	0.11
(1,137)	1:A:2041:LYS:HA	1:A:2041:LYS:HD3	18	0.11
(1,131)	1:A:2039:ILE:HG21	1:A:2041:LYS:HD2	13	0.11
(1,131)	1:A:2039:ILE:HG21	1:A:2041:LYS:HD3	13	0.11
(1,131)	1:A:2039:ILE:HG22	1:A:2041:LYS:HD2	13	0.11
(1,131)	1:A:2039:ILE:HG22	1:A:2041:LYS:HD3	13	0.11
(1,131)	1:A:2039:ILE:HG23	1:A:2041:LYS:HD2	13	0.11
(1,131)	1:A:2039:ILE:HG23	1:A:2041:LYS:HD3	13	0.11

## 10 Dihedral-angle violation analysis

No dihedral-angle restraints found