

## Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report (i)

#### May 28, 2020 – 07:25 pm BST

PDB ID	:	1BFZ
Title	:	BOUND CONFORMATION OF N-TERMINAL CLEAVAGE PRODUCT
		PEPTIDE MIMIC (P1-P9 OF RELEASE SITE) WHILE BOUND TO HCMV
		PROTEASE AS DETERMINED BY TRANSFERRED NOESY EXPERI-
		MENTS (P1-P5 SHOWN ONLY), NMR, 32 STRUCTURES
Authors	:	Laplante, S.R.; Aubry, N.; Bonneau, P.R.; Cameron, D.R.; Lagace, L.; Mas-
		sariol, MJ.; Montpetit, H.; Ploufe, C.; Kawai, S.H.; Fulton, B.D.; Chen, Z.;
		Ni, F.
Deposited on	:	1998-05-25

This is a Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at validation@mail.wwpdb.org A user guide is available at https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp with specific help available everywhere you see the (i) symbol.

The following versions of software and data (see references (1)) were used in the production of this report:


Cyrange	:	Kirchner and Güntert (2011)
$\operatorname{NmrClust}$	:	Kelley et al. (1996)
MolProbity	:	4.02b-467
Mogul	:	1.8.5 (274361), CSD as541be (2020)
Percentile statistics	:	20191225.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 25th 2019)
$\operatorname{RCI}$	:	v_1n_11_5_13_A (Berjanski et al., 2005)
PANAV	:	Wang et al. $(2010)$
${ m ShiftChecker}$	:	2.11
Ideal geometry (proteins)	:	Engh & Huber (2001)
Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA)	:	Parkinson et al. (1996)
Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP)	:	2.11

## 1 Overall quality at a glance (i)

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure:  $SOLUTION \ NMR$ 

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment was not calculated.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for >=3, 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions <=5%

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain	
1	А	6	67%	33%



## 2 Ensemble composition and analysis (i)

This entry contains 32 models. The atoms present in the NMR models are not consistent. Some calculations may have failed as a result. All residues are included in the validation scores.

Cyrange was unable to find well-defined residues.

Error message: The number of core atoms (5) was below the domain threshold value (8).

NmrClust was unable to cluster the ensemble.

Error message: Wrapper check: not enough residues in core to run NmrClust



## 3 Entry composition (i)

There is only 1 type of molecule in this entry. The entry contains 87 atoms, of which 44 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

• Molecule 1 is a protein called HCMV PROTEASE R-SITE N-TERMINAL CLEAVAGE PRODUCT.

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms		Trace			
1	Λ	6	Total	С	Η	Ν	Ο	0
	A	U	87	28	44	6	9	U



## 4 Residue-property plots (i)

## 4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA and DNA chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.

• Molecule 1: HCMV PROTEASE R-SITE N-TERMINAL CLEAVAGE PRODUCT




## 4.2 Scores per residue for each member of the ensemble


Colouring as in section 4.1 above.

### 4.2.1 Score per residue for model 1

• Molecule 1: HCMV PROTEASE R-SITE N-TERMINAL CLEAVAGE PRODUCT



### 4.2.2 Score per residue for model 2





#### 4.2.3 Score per residue for model 3

• Molecule 1: HCMV PROTEASE R-SITE N-TERMINAL CLEAVAGE PRODUCT

Chain A:	67%	33%
76 85 A1		

#### 4.2.4 Score per residue for model 4

• Molecule 1: HCMV PROTEASE R-SITE N-TERMINAL CLEAVAGE PRODUCT

Chain A:	67%	33%
86 85 A1		

#### 4.2.5 Score per residue for model 5

• Molecule 1: HCMV PROTEASE R-SITE N-TERMINAL CLEAVAGE PRODUCT

Chain A:	67%	33%
<mark>8 8</mark>		

#### 4.2.6 Score per residue for model 6

• Molecule 1: HCMV PROTEASE R-SITE N-TERMINAL CLEAVAGE PRODUCT

Chain A:	50%	50%	_
76 S5 A1			

#### 4.2.7 Score per residue for model 7





#### 4.2.8 Score per residue for model 8

• Molecule 1: HCMV PROTEASE R-SITE N-TERMINAL CLEAVAGE PRODUCT

Chain A:	67%	33%
28 85 A 1		

#### 4.2.9 Score per residue for model 9

• Molecule 1: HCMV PROTEASE R-SITE N-TERMINAL CLEAVAGE PRODUCT

Chain A:	67%	33%
76 85 A1		

4.2.10 Score per residue for model 10

• Molecule 1: HCMV PROTEASE R-SITE N-TERMINAL CLEAVAGE PRODUCT


Chain A:	50%	33%	17%
76 83 A1			

#### 4.2.11 Score per residue for model 11

• Molecule 1: HCMV PROTEASE R-SITE N-TERMINAL CLEAVAGE PRODUCT

Chain A:	67%	33%
28 11		

#### 4.2.12 Score per residue for model 12





### 4.2.13 Score per residue for model 13

• Molecule 1: HCMV PROTEASE R-SITE N-TERMINAL CLEAVAGE PRODUCT

Chain A:	50%	33%	17%
26 55 A1 A1			

### 4.2.14 Score per residue for model 14

• Molecule 1: HCMV PROTEASE R-SITE N-TERMINAL CLEAVAGE PRODUCT

Chain A:	67%	17%	17%
76 55 A1			

4.2.15 Score per residue for model 15

• Molecule 1: HCMV PROTEASE R-SITE N-TERMINAL CLEAVAGE PRODUCT

Chain A:	83%	17%
20 A1		

### 4.2.16 Score per residue for model 16

• Molecule 1: HCMV PROTEASE R-SITE N-TERMINAL CLEAVAGE PRODUCT

Chain A:	67%	33%
76 11		

### 4.2.17 Score per residue for model 17



#### 4.2.18 Score per residue for model 18

• Molecule 1: HCMV PROTEASE R-SITE N-TERMINAL CLEAVAGE PRODUCT

Chain A:	67%	33%
76 85 A1		

#### 4.2.19 Score per residue for model 19

• Molecule 1: HCMV PROTEASE R-SITE N-TERMINAL CLEAVAGE PRODUCT

Chain A:	83%	17%
<b>76</b>		

4.2.20 Score per residue for model 20

• Molecule 1: HCMV PROTEASE R-SITE N-TERMINAL CLEAVAGE PRODUCT

Chain A:	67%	17%	17%
28 85 A1			

#### 4.2.21 Score per residue for model 21

• Molecule 1: HCMV PROTEASE R-SITE N-TERMINAL CLEAVAGE PRODUCT

Chain A:	50%	17%	33%	
76 55 71 41				

#### 4.2.22 Score per residue for model 22





#### 4.2.23 Score per residue for model 23

• Molecule 1: HCMV PROTEASE R-SITE N-TERMINAL CLEAVAGE PRODUCT

Chain A:	50%	33%	17%
36 85 A1			

#### 4.2.24 Score per residue for model 24

• Molecule 1: HCMV PROTEASE R-SITE N-TERMINAL CLEAVAGE PRODUCT

Chain A:	67%	17%	17%
76 85 A1			

4.2.25 Score per residue for model 25

• Molecule 1: HCMV PROTEASE R-SITE N-TERMINAL CLEAVAGE PRODUCT

Chain A:	67%	33%
SS A		

#### 4.2.26 Score per residue for model 26

• Molecule 1: HCMV PROTEASE R-SITE N-TERMINAL CLEAVAGE PRODUCT

Chain A:	67%	33%
22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22		

#### 4.2.27 Score per residue for model 27





## Score per residue for model 28 4.2.28• Molecule 1: HCMV PROTEASE R-SITE N-TERMINAL CLEAVAGE PRODUCT Chain A: 50% 33% 17% Score per residue for model 29 4.2.29• Molecule 1: HCMV PROTEASE R-SITE N-TERMINAL CLEAVAGE PRODUCT Chain A: 67% 17% 17% 4.2.30Score per residue for model 30 • Molecule 1: HCMV PROTEASE R-SITE N-TERMINAL CLEAVAGE PRODUCT Chain A: 67% 33% 4.2.31Score per residue for model 31 • Molecule 1: HCMV PROTEASE R-SITE N-TERMINAL CLEAVAGE PRODUCT Chain A: 67% 17% 17%

#### Score per residue for model 32 4.2.32





## 5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview (i)

The models were refined using the following method: *RESTRAINED SIMULATED ANNEAL-ING*.

Of the 50 calculated structures, 32 were deposited, based on the following criterion: *POTENTIAL ENERGY*, *MINIMAL RESTRAINT VIOLATIONS*.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
DISCOVER	refinement	95.5
DISCOVER	structure solution	95.5

No chemical shift data was provided. No validations of the models with respect to experimental NMR restraints is performed at this time.

COVALENT-GEOMETRY INFOmissingINFO

## 5.1 Too-close contacts (i)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	А	43	44	44	$0\pm 0$
All	All	1376	1407	1408	3

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 1.

All unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

Atom 1	Atom-1 Atom-2 Clash(Å) Distance(Å)		Moo		
Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(A)	Distance(A)	Worst	Total
1:A:2:LYS:NZ	1:A:2:LYS:H	0.42	2.13	7	2
1:A:2:LYS:H	1:A:2:LYS:NZ	0.41	2.13	21	1



## 5.2 Torsion angles (i)

### 5.2.1 Protein backbone (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	Percentiles
1	А	4/6~(67%)	$3\pm1~(64\pm18\%)$	$1\pm1 (27\pm21\%)$	$0\pm0$ (9±12%)	2 12
All	All	128/192~(67%)	82 (64%)	35~(27%)	11 (9%)	2 12

All 1 unique Ramachandran outliers are listed below.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	5	SER	11

#### 5.2.2 Protein sidechains (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Rotameric	Outliers	Percentile	es.
1	А	4/4~(100%)	$4\pm0$ (91 $\pm12\%$ )	$0\pm0$ (9±12%)	14 61	
All	All	128/128~(100%)	117 (91%)	11 (9%)	14 61	

All 1 unique residues with a non-rotameric sidechain are listed below.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	А	2	LYS	11

### 5.2.3 RNA (i)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

## 5.3 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains (i)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.



### 5.4 Carbohydrates (i)

There are no carbohydrates in this entry.

## 5.5 Ligand geometry (i)

There are no ligands in this entry.

### 5.6 Other polymers (i)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

### 5.7 Polymer linkage issues (i)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.



# 6 Chemical shift validation (i)

No chemical shift data were provided

